



MVP for Transportation Pre-MPO Policy Board Meeting

Wednesday, September 21st, 2022
1:00 - 2:30 pm

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Or call in (audio only)

[+1 605-937-6140,,530541339#](#) United States, Sioux Falls

[\(844\) 594-6237,,530541339#](#) United States (Toll-free)

Phone Conference ID: 530 541 339#

Meeting Agenda

1. Call to Order
2. Introduction of Pre-MPO Policy Board Members and other Attendees
3. Approval of the September 21st, 2022, Agenda – **(Action Item)**
4. Approval of the June 15th, 2022, Minutes – **(Action Item)**
5. Committee/Working Group Reports
 - a. Staff Report
6. Voices of the Visitors (Non-Action Items)
7. Old Business
 - a. 2023-2024 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) **(Action Item)**
 - b. Operating Agreement
 - Purpose and Tasks – Policy Board **(Action Item)**
 - Policy Board member recommendations – **(Action Item)**
 - Purpose and Tasks – Technical Committee **(Action Item)**
 - Technical Committee member recommendations – **(Action Item)**
 - Other issues
 - c. Membership dues
8. New Business
9. Other Issues
 - a. Resolutions of support for MVP for Transportation operating funds
10. Informational Items
 - a. Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL) Metropolitan Planning Program (MPP) Fact Sheet
 - b. Other FHWA Fact Sheets: https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/bipartisan-infrastructure-law/fact_sheets.cfm
11. Pre-MPO Policy Board Comments
12. Adjournment

Next Scheduled Pre-MPO Steering Committee Meeting – **Wednesday, October 19th, 1:00pm-2:30pm**, to be held via Microsoft TEAMS Meeting

MVP Transportation Pre-MPO Steering Committee
Action Items
September 13, 2022

Approve the September 13, 2022 agenda. **Motion by Sworts.** Passed unanimously.

Approve the July 12, 2022 minutes. **Motion by Sworts.** Passed unanimously.

Motion to recommend UPWP. **Motion by Charles.** Passed unanimously.

Motion to recommend membership dues structure based on population. **Motion by Charles.** Passed unanimously.

Motion to recommend Policy Board Purpose and Task. **Motion by Winnestaffer.** Passed unanimously.

Motion to recommend Policy Board member recommendations with 7-seat government only representation. **Motion by Hanson.** Passed with one opposed.

Motion to recommend Technical Committee Purpose and Task. **Motion by Charles.** Passed unanimously.

Motion to recommend Technical Committee member recommendations. **Motion by Sworts.** Passed unanimously.

MVP for Transportation Pre-MPO Policy Board Meeting

Wednesday, June 15th, 2022
1:00 - 2:30 pm

Meeting Minutes

1. **Call to Order**
2. **Introduction of Pre-MPO Policy Board Members and other Attendees**

Policy Board Members Present:

- Bob Charles, Knik Tribe IRR Roads Manager
- Wes Hoskins, Mat-Su Trails and Parks Foundation Executive Dir.
- John Moosey, City of Palmer Manager
- Glenda Ledford, City of Wasilla Mayor
- Edna DeVries, MSB Mayor
- Mike Brown, MSB Manager
- Jennifer Busch, Valley Transit Executive Dir.
- Kaylan Wade, Chickaloon Native Village Asst. Dir. Of Transportation

Members Absent:

- Wolfgang Junge, DOT&PF Regional Dir.
- Jesse Sumner, MSB Assemblymember

Guests and Other Attendees:

- Natalie Lyon, RESPEC
- Patrick Cotter, RESPEC
- Donna Gardino, Gardino Consulting Services
- Jewelz Barker, Catalyst Alaska
- Kim Sollien, MSB Planning Services Manager
- Clint Alder, ADOT&PF Mat-Su District Office
- Antonio Weese, MSB TAB Member
- Dave Post, DOT&PF
- John Linnell, DOT&PF
- Aaron Jongenelen, AMATS
- Brian Lindamood, ARRC
- Allen Kemplen, DOT&PF
- Rick Antonio, MSB
- Tom Adams, MSB
- Adam Bradway, MSB Planning

3. **Approval of the June 15th, 2022, Agenda – (Action Item)**

*Motion to approve the June 15th, 2022 agenda (**Ledford**), seconded. Approved unanimously.*

MVP for Transportation Pre-MPO Policy Board Meeting

4. Approval of the April 20th, 2022, Minutes – (Action Item)

Motion to approve the April 20th, 2022 minutes (DeVries), seconded. Approved unanimously.

5. Committee/Working Group Reports (Including the Staff Report)

a. Staff Report

Kim Sollien attended a transit conference in Chicago where the MSB was invited to speak about their unique situation in which non-profits currently provide transit services. How transit funding is administered is likely to change after urbanized designation in the MSB, with funding passing through the borough.

Census data on urbanized areas is now expected in Fall 2022. Kim Sollien explained that the MSB Planning division is currently working on a boundary development analysis with existing data.

6. Voices of the Visitors (Non-Action Items)

N/A

7. Old Business

a. UPWP Comments Review

Kim Sollien is to meet with DOT&PF for statewide MPO meeting regarding funding on 6/16/22. Donna Gardino provided a walkthrough and summary of Steering Committee and Pre-MPO Policy Board comments on the 2023-2024 UPWP and explained the budget.

8. New Business

a. Operating Agreement

Donna Gardino provided a walkthrough and summary of Steering Committee and Pre-MPO Policy Board comments on the Draft Operating Agreement (Comments Response Summary included in packet). Donna Gardino provided an overview of a 1-page white paper (in packet) describing the difference between the MPO Operating Agreement that will be signed by the Governor and the MOU for Operations of the MPO Office.

Donna Gardino highlighted a potential change in the Operating Agreement from quarterly to monthly progress reporting and reimbursement from DOT&PF to the MPO. This is how FAST Planning works with Northern Region DOT&PF. Clint Adler asked that the written request/proposal to move from quarterly to monthly reporting be sent to him.

b. Policy Board Makeup

- Membership dues and voting

Donna Gardino explained that the goal is for the final Policy Board to have an odd number of voting members. Gardino explained that the members required on the Policy Board by law include the Mat-Su Borough, City of Wasilla, and DOT&PF. She provided one possible example of final Policy Board makeup including the required members listed above plus City of Palmer, Chickaloon Native Village, Knik Tribe, and a Multi-modal Advocate for a total of nine seats (includes 3 seats for the MSB: Mayor, Manager, Assemblymember).

MVP for Transportation Pre-MPO Policy Board Meeting

John Moosey explained that Palmer wants to be included in the final Policy Board and that he likes the other groups provided in the example as well. His concern is that organizations on the Policy Board that do not have tax paying constituencies could overrule City of Palmer, which does have taxpayers. He shared concern about being put into an untenable financial situation because of this scenario. He noted that support will be needed from Palmer City Council. Donna Gardino advised that a project cannot move forward in the TIP without a project sponsor, someone to pay the non-federal share and maintain the facility.

Donna Gardino provided an example of a potential membership dues structure that could be used by the MVP MPO, along with that currently used by FAST Planning. She explained that membership dues and voting rights can be handled in many different ways by the MPO.

9. Other Issues

N/A

10. Informational Items

a. Updated Steering Committee Roster

11. Pre-MPO Policy Board Comments

N/A

12. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn (DeVries). None opposed. Meeting adjourned at 2:20pm.

FFY2023 Metropolitan Planning Funds Distribution

											Projected									
FFY2021						FFY2022					FFY2023									
		Federal	Match	Sub-Total	Less ICAP***	Total			Federal	Match	Sub-Total	Less ICAP***	Total			Federal	Match	Sub-Total	Less ICAP***	Total
Estimated FFY23 Apportionment*		\$2,458,627	\$244,052	\$2,702,679	-\$206,485	\$2,496,194	\$2,991,229		\$296,920	\$3,288,149	-\$152,570	\$3,135,579	\$3,051,054		\$302,858	\$3,353,912	-\$240,811	\$3,113,101		
Obligation Limitation (OL)		90%	90%		7.64%		90%		90%		4.75%		90%		90%		7.18%			
Formula Distribution**																				
FHWA PL Apportionment, Less OL		\$2,212,764	\$219,647	\$2,432,411	-\$185,836	\$2,246,575	\$2,692,106		\$267,228	\$2,959,334	-\$137,313	\$2,822,021	\$2,745,949		\$272,572	\$3,018,521	-\$216,730	\$2,801,791		
MPO Distribution		80.00%	\$1,770,211	\$175,717	\$1,945,929	-\$148,669	\$1,797,260	\$1,936,841		\$192,258	\$2,129,099	-\$101,132	\$2,027,967	\$2,196,759		\$218,058	\$2,414,817	-\$173,384	\$2,241,433	
AMATS Base Distribution		77.42%	\$1,370,460	\$136,037	\$1,506,496	-\$115,096	\$1,391,400	\$1,499,461		\$148,842	\$1,648,303	-\$78,294	\$1,570,008	\$1,700,684		\$168,816	\$1,869,499	-\$134,230	\$1,735,269	
FAST Base Distribution		22.58%	\$399,752	\$39,681	\$439,433	-\$33,573	\$405,860	\$437,380		\$43,416	\$480,796	-\$22,838	\$457,958	\$496,075		\$49,242	\$545,318	-\$39,154	\$506,164	
DOT&PF Statewide Discretionary Use		20.00%	\$442,553	\$43,929	\$486,482	-\$37,167	\$449,315	\$484,210		\$48,064	\$532,275	-\$25,283	\$506,992	\$549,190		\$54,514	\$603,704	-\$43,346	\$560,358	
Annual Work Program		46.06%	\$88,511	\$8,786	\$97,296	-\$7,433	\$89,863	\$223,050		\$22,141	\$245,191	-\$11,647	\$233,544	\$252,983		\$25,112	\$278,095	-\$19,967	\$258,128	
Discretionary		53.94%	\$354,042	\$35,143	\$389,186	-\$29,734	\$359,452	\$261,160		\$25,924	\$287,084	-\$13,636	\$273,447	\$296,207		\$29,403	\$325,609	-\$23,379	\$302,231	

Discretionary Distribution

Mat-Su Pre-MPO Allowance*		\$100,000	\$9,926	\$109,926	-\$8,398	\$101,528	\$100,000	\$9,926	\$109,926	-\$5,222	\$104,705	\$100,000	\$9,926	\$109,926	-\$7,893	\$102,034	
Remaining Discretionary Allowance		\$254,042	\$25,217	\$279,259	-\$21,335	\$257,924	\$161,160	\$15,997	\$177,157	-\$8,415	\$168,742	\$196,207	\$19,476	\$215,683	-\$15,486	\$200,197	
AMATS Discretionary Allowance		77.42%	\$196,674	\$19,523	\$216,197	-\$16,517	\$199,679	\$124,767	\$12,385	\$137,151	-\$6,515	\$130,637	\$151,899	\$15,078	\$166,977	-\$11,989	\$154,988
FAST Discretionary Allowance		22.58%	\$57,368	\$5,695	\$63,063	-\$4,818	\$58,245	\$36,393	\$3,613	\$40,006	-\$1,900	\$38,106	\$44,308	\$4,398	\$48,706	-\$3,497	\$45,209

Section 5303 Distribution

Estimated FFY23 5303 Funds, @ 100% OL

AMATS Base Distribution	77.42%							\$480,690	\$43,406	\$524,096	-\$37,630	\$486,466
FAST Base Distribution	22.58%							\$140,196	\$12,660	\$152,856	-\$10,975	\$141,881

MPO Totals

AMATS Total	\$1,567,134	\$155,559	\$1,722,693	-\$131,614	\$1,591,079	\$1,624,228	\$161,227	\$1,785,454	-\$84,809	\$1,700,645	\$2,333,273	\$231,609	\$2,564,881	-\$184,158	\$2,380,723
FAST Total	\$457,120	\$45,375	\$502,495	-\$38,391	\$464,105	\$473,774	\$47,028	\$520,802	-\$24,738	\$496,064	\$680,579	\$67,557	\$748,136	-\$53,716	\$694,420
AMATS Delta (Year over Year)	\$100,087	\$9,935	\$110,022	-\$131,614	-\$21,591	\$57,094	\$5,667	\$62,761	\$46,805	\$109,566	\$709,045	\$70,382	\$779,427	-\$99,349	\$680,078
FAST Delta (Year over Year)	\$29,195	\$2,898	\$32,093	-\$38,391	-\$6,298	\$16,654	\$1,653	\$18,307	\$13,653	\$31,959	\$206,805	\$20,528	\$227,334	-\$28,978	\$198,356

Footnotes

*Inclusive of the Safe and Accessible Transportation Options Set-Aside, i.e., 2.5% of Metropolitan Planning Funds.

**The Formula Distribution (80/20) and the MPO distribution (~78/23) was most recently updated in 2014.

***Indirect Cost Allocation Program (ICAP) will be applied to all newly obligated projects. The Federal Highways ICAP rate has been established at 7.18% for SFY2023.

*Mat-Su MPO Allowance for FFY23 includes the \$100,000 from the State's discretionary allowance as indicated here and an additional \$110,000 from other planning funds, for a FFY23 total of \$210,000.

MATSU VALLEY PLANNING (MVP) FOR TRANSPORTATION

Federal Fiscal Year

2023 - 2024

Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)



Draft

08.25.2022

Contents

Purpose of the UPWP	1
MPO Formation	2
MPO Structure.....	3
MPA Boundary	3
Operation of the MPO	4
Task 100 MPO Planning Process	7
Task 100(A) Metropolitan Transportation Plan.....	7
Task 100(B) Update and Execution of the Public Participation Plan (PPP) and Title VI Implementation Plan	9
Task 100(C) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).....	11
Task 100(D) 2023 - 2024 UPWP Reporting and 2025-2026 UPWP Development	12
Task 100(E) Support Services	13
Task 100(F) MVP Intergovernmental Operating Agreement and Memorandum of Understanding for Transportation Planning within the Metropolitan Area of the Metropolitan Planning Organization (Operating Agreement) and Boundary Development.....	14
Task 100(G)Memorandum of Understanding for the Implementation of the MVP MPO Office (MOU): ..	15
Task 100 (H) MVP Bylaws	15
Task 100 (I) MVP Policies and Procedures	15
Task 100(J) Other Agreements:	15
Task 200 Public Transit System Planning	16
Task 300 Contingency Projects.....	19
Task 300(A) Develop an Active Transportation Plan.....	19
Budget	20

Purpose of the UPWP

The Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) for Matsu Valley Planning for Transportation (MVP) outlines the Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) transportation planning activities. It is a planning document that identifies and describes the MPO's budget, planning activities, studies, and technical support expected to be undertaken in a two-year period (23 CFR 450.104). The purpose of the UPWP is to ensure that a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive (3C) approach to transportation planning is maintained and coordinated between the MPO, Alaska Department of Transportation & Public Facilities (DOT&PF), Matanuska-Susitna Borough (Borough), the Cities of Palmer and Wasilla, and other jurisdictions. It is a statement of work identifying the planning priorities and activities to be carried out within a metropolitan planning area (MPA). At a minimum, a UPWP includes a description of the planning work and resulting products, deadlines, who will perform the work, time frames for completing the work, and the source of funds.

The UPWP is required for the MPO to receive metropolitan planning funds from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) through the DOT&PF. It is a fiscally constrained document based on the amount of programmed planning grants and match contributions and may be revised as needed after adoption by Administrative Modification or Amendment.

In addition to the UPWP, the MPO must develop and implement the following plans as part of the transportation planning process (23 USC 134 & 23 CFR 450):

- **Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)** – a multimodal transportation plan that addresses a 20- year planning horizon that the MPO develops, adopts, and updates every four years.
- **Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)** – a prioritized listing/program of transportation projects covering a four-year period that is developed, adopted, and implemented by the MPO in coordination with the MTP.
- **Public Participation Plan (PPP)** – a guiding document that outlines the goals, strategies, and implementation plan for public involvement in the development of MPO plans, programs, and policies, including the MTP and TIP.

With the prior approval of the DOT&PF, Federal Highways Administration (FHWA), and Federal Transit Administration (FTA), an area not designated as a Transportation Management Area (TMA) may prepare a simplified statement of work in cooperation with the DOT&PF and the public transportation operator(s) in place of a UPWP. The simplified statement of work must include a description of the major activities to be performed during the next one- or two-year period, who will perform the work, the resulting products, and a summary of the total amounts and sources of federal and matching funds. If a simplified statement of work is used, it may be submitted as part of the DOT&PF's planning work program, per 23 CFR 420. The MPO may elect to use a simplified statement of work in the future with direction from and using the desired format as proposed by the DOT&PF. A newly designated MPO does not need to develop an MTP or TIP within the first 12 months. However, the initial MPO work plan should include tasks and a schedule to develop a TIP

and MTP (23 CFR 450.308). Administrative requirements for UPWPs and simplified statements of work are contained in 23 CFR 420 and FTA Circular C8100, as amended (Program Guidance for Metropolitan Planning and State Planning and Research Program Grants). This is the initial official UPWP for MVP as designation as an urbanized area is expected to occur in July 2022 and designation by the Governor as the MPO of the Matsu Valley urbanized area is anticipated to occur within one year.

MVP has utilized the UPWP process to outline the pre-MPO planning activities for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2020 and 2021.

MPO Formation

All Urbanized Areas over 50,000 in population must have an MPO to carry out a 3C transportation planning process, as stipulated in the Federal Highway Act of 1962. In mid-2022, the U.S. Census Bureau will publish a Federal Register notice announcing the qualifying urban areas based on the results of the 2020 Decennial Census and release TIGER/line geographic shapefiles on their website. New for the 2020 Census, an urban area will comprise a densely developed core of census blocks that meet minimum housing density requirements, along with adjacent territory containing non-residential urban land uses as well as other lower density territory included to link outlying densely settled territory with the densely settled core. Three housing unit densities are used in the delineation – 425 housing units per square mile (HPSM) to identify the initial core of urban block agglomerations and the cores of noncontiguous peripheral urban territory; 200 HPSM to expand the urban block agglomerations into less dense, but structurally connected portions of urban areas; and 1,275 HPSM to identify the presence of higher-density territory representing the urban nucleus.¹ In the fall of 2022, the United States Department of Transportation will publish a Federal Register Notice designating Transportation Management Areas (TMAs) for urban areas with populations more than 200,000, as determined by the Census Bureau and the results of the 2020 Decennial Census.²

Designation of a new MPO consists of a formal agreement between the Governor and units of general-purpose local government that together represent at least 75 percent of the population to be included in the MPA. The agreement should, at minimum, **identify the membership structure of the policy board and establish the metropolitan planning area (MPA) boundaries** (23 USC 134 (b) and 49 USC 5303 (c)). An MPO must represent each UZA listed in the relevant Federal Register notice within 12 months of the official Census Bureau listing. A draft operating agreement is available. The MVP is currently waiting for the urbanized area designation and will then go through the process of developing the MPA boundaries.

MVP has utilized a Pre-MPO Steering Committee and Policy Board in its decision-making process

¹ Federal Register/Vol. 87, No. 57/Thursday, March 24, 2022, Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, Docket Number 220228-0062, *Urban Area Criteria for the 2020 Census – Final Criteria*, Page 16711

² U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Census Issues, referenced 05.03.2022, https://www.fhwa.gov/planning/census_issues/urbanized_areas_and_mpos_tma/schedule

before designation as an MPO. The Pre-MPO Steering Committee (Steering Committee) represents general-purpose local governments that together represent at least 75 percent of the expected affected population including the largest incorporated city, based on population, as named by the Bureau of the Census. Also on the Steering Committee, is a representative of a provider of public transportation (Valley Transit), State of Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities (DOT&PF), the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC), the Alaska Railroad (ARRC), the City of Wasilla, the City of Palmer, the Borough, the Borough Transportation Advisory Board, the Native Village of Knik, Chickaloon Native Village, Mat-Su Trails and Parks Foundation, Mat-Su Health Foundation, and members of peer MPOs in Anchorage and Fairbanks.

The Pre-MPO Policy Board is made up of representatives from the Borough, State of Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities, the City of Wasilla, the City of Palmer, Knik Tribe, Valley Transit, Chickaloon Native Village, and Mat-Su Trails and Parks Foundation as multimodal advocates. The Steering Committee meets monthly and makes recommendations to the Pre-MPO Policy Board, who is making decisions on behalf of the stakeholders within the likely urbanized area of the Borough.

MPO Structure

The MPO structure has been discussed at length by the Pre-MPO Steering Committee and Policy Board. The Policy Board recommended, in March 2022, that the MPO form an independent 501(c)3 organization. The Pre-MPO Policy Board also recommended, in April 2022, that MVP use the 2019 Department of Labor forecast in projecting the population of the MPA out twenty years. The final members of the official Policy Board to be named in the Operating Agreement will be decided during the boundary development discussion. The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) of November 2021 requires, under Section 11201, Transportation Planning, that when designating MPO officials or representatives for the first time, subject to the bylaws or enabling statute of the MPO, the MPO shall consider the equitable and proportional representation of the population of the MPA. MVP should consider incorporating a population-based vote share into its initial bylaws. This is not a mandatory requirement for small MPOs but this discussion could be initiated when selecting Policy Board member structure, dues and defining the voting members of the board.

MPA Boundary

The MPA boundary must be examined by the MPO, in cooperation with the State and public transportation operator(s) to determine if MPA boundaries meet the minimum statutory requirements for new urbanized areas and shall adjust them, as necessary. The MPA boundaries shall encompass the entire urbanized area (as defined by the Bureau of the Census) plus the contiguous area expected to become urbanized within a 20-year forecast period for the metropolitan transportation plan. As appropriate, additional adjustments should be made to reflect the most comprehensive boundary to foster an effective planning process that ensures connectivity between modes, improves access to modal systems, and promotes efficient overall

transportation investment strategies. Following the MPA boundary approval by the MPO and the Governor, the MPA boundary descriptions (in GIS format) shall be provided to the FHWA and the FTA for informational purposes.

Under the review and guidance of the Steering Committee, a Boundary Development Strategy was developed and approved by the Policy Board in October 2021. The boundary development task is being conducted by the Borough Planning and GIS team with assistance from subject matter experts in areas such as forecasting, real estate and several planning specialties. This effort began in early 2022 and started with determining the population forecast to be used in the next 20 years and reexamining the developable and undevelopable lands within the Borough. The latest information from the U.S. Census is that the list of urbanized areas will not be released until December 2022, delayed from April 2022. Thus, it is expected that the MPA boundary will be set in early 2023, and from there, the Operating Agreement and Bylaws can be re-worked and sent to all involved agencies for resolutions of support.

Operation of the MPO

The Policy Board desired to hire an interim Project Manager to steer the MPO and complete all necessary documents to become an MPO in good standing. Unfortunately, the Borough was not successful in hiring a Project Manager, so the consulting team will continue to assist in this effort being led by the MSB Planning Manager. In 2021, the following were approved by the Pre-MPO Policy Board:

- Name of the MPO: Matsu Valley Planning for Transportation (MVP)
- 2022 UPWP
- Pre-MPO Policy Board and Steering Committee Mission and Tasks
- Boundary Development Strategy
- Public Participation Plan (PPP) and the 2022 Addendum

The PPP will be consulted and followed as the team finalizes the following:

- Update the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) scope of work to include new requirements under the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA)
- 2023 – 2024 UPWP
- Updates to Intergovernmental Operating Agreement & Memorandum of Understanding for Transportation Planning in the Mat-Su Metropolitan Planning Area (Operating Agreement with boundary map)
- MVP Bylaws
- MVP Policy and Procedures
- Development of the legal entity of the MPO
- Grandfather agreements with the DOT&PF regarding current Community Transportation Program (CTP) projects in the Statewide Improvement Program (STIP)

Federal Planning Factors and Performance-Based Planning: The Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act was signed into law on December 4, 2015. In 23 CFR 450.306, it states that the metropolitan planning process shall be continuous, cooperative, and comprehensive, and provide for consideration and implementation of projects, strategies, and services that will address the following factors:

1. Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency
2. Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users
3. Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users
4. Increase the accessibility and mobility of people and freight
5. Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns
6. Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight
7. Promote efficient system management and operation
8. Improve the resiliency and reliability of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation
9. Enhance travel and tourism
10. Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system

In addition to the planning factors noted above, previous legislation (Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act [MAP-21]) required that state Departments of Transportation (DOTs) and MPOs conduct performance-based planning by tracking performance measures and setting data-driven targets to improve those measures.

Performance-based planning ensures the efficient investment of federal transportation funds by increasing accountability of local agencies receiving the funds, prioritizing transparency to the public, and providing insight for better investment decisions that focus on key outcomes which relate to the seven national goals of:

1. Improving Safety
2. Maintaining Infrastructure Condition
3. Reducing Traffic Congestion
4. Improving System Reliability
5. Improving Freight Movement & Supporting Regional Economic Development
6. Protecting the Environment
7. Reducing Delays in Project Delivery

The current Borough LRTP 2035 addresses the planning factors above and addresses performance-based planning. This LRTP provides a good base to develop the MTP which will likely encompass a much smaller area than the entire Mat-Su Borough, which is over 25,000 square miles. See Table 1 for more information.

Table 1 FFY2022 UPWP Work Tasks & National Performance Goals

FFY2021 Work Tasks	Safety	Infrastructure Condition	Congestion Reduction	System Reliability	Freight Movement and Economic Vitality	Environmental Sustainability	Reduce Project Delivery Delays
100 Plans & Programs							
100(A) Metropolitan Transportation Plan	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
100(B) Update and Execution of the PPP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
100(C) Transportation Improvement Program	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
(100(D) UPWP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
100(E) Support Services	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
100(F) Operating Agreement and Boundary	X			X			
100(G) MOU for MVP Office Operations	X						
100(H) MVP Bylaws	X						X
100(I) MVP Policy and Procedures	X			X			X
100 (J) Other Agreements	X			X			X
200 Borough Public Transit System Planning							
200 PUBLIC TRANSIT SYSTEM PLANNING	X		X	X		X	X
300 Contingency Projects							
300(A) Active Transportation Plan	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Task 100 MPO Planning Process

Task 100(A) Metropolitan Transportation Plan

The MTP is the official multimodal transportation plan addressing no less than a 20-year planning horizon that the MPO develops, adopts, and updates through the metropolitan transportation planning process. The MPO will develop its first MTP using the 2017 MSB LRTP 2035 as its base. The MTP is not due within 12 months of being designated as an urbanized area but must be planned for within the UPWP. The UPWP will provide the work plan that will include the tasks and a schedule to complete the MTP. It must be updated every five years. The MTP planning process shall include the development of a transportation plan addressing no less than a 20-year planning horizon as of the effective date. The MPO shall consider factors described in CFR 450.306 as the factors relate to a minimum 20-year forecast period.



The MTP effort will involve the following:

TransCad Modeling: The development of the MTP will require updates to the TransCad Travel Model currently being managed and updated by the DOT&PF or the creation of a new model. This will be necessary to model the proposed projects to be included in the MTP and to perform any requested scenario planning analyses. Borough staff will provide a demographic analysis to update and recalibrate the travel demand model for existing and future conditions, travel patterns, and utilization of the transportation network with updated population, employment, and household data using the latest planning assumptions. Coordination on the horizon year of the MTP should occur between the MPO, DOT&PF and AMATS.

Development of the MTP: The planned schedule is to release an RFP for consulting services in late 2023 to develop the MTP. The DOT, or its consultants, will provide for all required and desired TransCad modeling. The plan will focus on the MPA boundary and address all transportation planning within those boundaries, regardless of ownership. In updating the transportation plan, the MPO shall base the update on the latest available estimates and assumptions for population, land use, travel, employment, congestion, and economic activity. The update will include the collection of traffic data, analysis of the transportation network, evaluation of land use and supporting transportation scenarios for travel demand model forecasts, and outreach to local agencies and the public to confirm project needs outlined in the 2035 MSB LRTP and new project needs not yet identified. The Public Participation Plan will define the minimum public involvement efforts, but the efforts may be more robust. The draft MTP will be released for public comment, and after the resolution of public comments, the final MTP will then be presented to the Technical Committee and Policy Board for

consideration of adoption. Following adoption, the final MTP will be transmitted to FHWA and FTA for approval.

The FAST Act supplemented the MAP-21 legislation by establishing timelines for state DOTs and MPOs to comply with the requirements of MAP-21. State DOTs are required to establish statewide targets and MPOs have the option to support the statewide targets or adopt their own. The MPO will need to develop a Memorandum of Understanding between the DOT&PF, AMATS, and FAST Planning to cooperatively support a performance-based approach to the metropolitan transportation planning and programming process and to develop and share information related to transportation performance data. The table on the following page shows how UPWP work tasks relate to these seven national performance goals.

The IIJA was signed into law in November 2021. New considerations for the metropolitan transportation planning process include:

- Dedicated funding to build out electric vehicle charging systems and expand current programs eligibility to support climate mitigation activities and emphasize resiliency to natural disasters
- Complete Streets standards and policies
- Many competitive grant opportunities outside of the program funds such as grants to support local initiatives to prevent deaths and serious injuries on roads, demonstration projects focused on community technologies and systems to improve transportation efficiency and safety, and rail crossing elimination programs (list not inclusive)

Advanced Project Definition and Financial Estimates: The MTP must be fiscally constrained. The DOT&PF will provide Scope, Schedule, and Estimate (SSEs) for all projects included in the MTP. This will be an ongoing project as estimates may need to be updated as new projects are nominated. The SSEs are completed by DOT&PF staff at the MPO's request when projects are nominated by local agencies, DOT&PF, and the public for funding and inclusion in the MTP. The local agencies may be able to reach an agreement with the State to participate in the development of SSEs, but the work must be done under the federal project development regulations.

The DOT&PF will also assist in the development of financial projections for funding anticipated to be received by the MPO for the period covered by the MTP. This will include all reasonably expected funding sources. This will be provided by the DOT&PF in-kind.

Development of a Complete Streets Policy: Section 11206 of the IIJA outlines the federal definition of a Complete Street and establishes that MPOs must adopt a complete streets policy and incorporate the application of said policy into the development of its transportation plan to receive federally apportioned funds. This work can be done concurrently with the development of the MTP by the MTP consultant team. The term "Complete Street" standards or policies means standards or policies that ensure the safe and adequate accommodation of all users of the transportation system, including pedestrians, bicyclists, public transportation users, children, older individuals, individuals with disabilities, motorists, and freight vehicles (see IIJA, Section 11206(a)). Not less than 2.5 percent of the amounts made available to the MPO under section 23 USC 104(d) shall be used for complete

streets activities. Any project developed with federally apportioned funds must use the federal guidelines in the design and construction of capital projects, not regional or local standards. The capital projects must be developed using the Design and Construction Standards found at <https://dot.alaska.gov/stwddes/dcsaboutus/>.

Completion Date: July 31, 2025

Responsible Party: Pre-MPO Staff, Borough Staff, Consultant(s), MPO Executive Director and DOT&PF

Task 100(B) Update and Execution of the Public Participation Plan (PPP) and Title VI Implementation Plan

Before the development of the MTP, the PPP should be updated to reflect the planned public involvement for the MTP. The PPP will be updated by the MTP consulting team relevant to how the MTP will be developed and the public involvement process that will be utilized. The use of social media will be incorporated into the PPP as well as any web-based interactive techniques.

The PPP will also assist in outlining the proper public involvement necessary for the development and operation of the MPO. The Project Manager and, subsequently, the MPO Executive Director will implement the Public Participation Plan (PPP). Staff will be responsible for:

- Maintaining the MVP website complete with staff and committee member contact information, operating documents, plans and policies, meeting calendar, meeting agendas, meeting packets and minutes, calendar, project information, and a method for interaction with the public such as a comment form
- Hosting all MPO meetings in an accessible manner with proper public notice
- Preparing all meeting materials
- Providing public comment periods, open house events, workshops, surveys, interactive maps, and other opportunities for the public to be involved in the transportation planning process
- Maintaining a presence on social media (Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, and LinkedIn) to provide additional opportunities for the general public to engage in the transportation planning process
- Hosting local events that introduce the public to the MPO
- Advertising all meetings, events, and public comment opportunities in the newspaper, on the website and social media accounts, local bulletin boards, radio, television, and the Alaska DOT&PF public notice website
- Development and execution of the ***Title VI Implementation Plan***: Once recognized as the MPO through an *Inter-Governmental Operating Agreement and Memorandum of Understanding for Transportation Planning*, the MPO has the responsibility to ensure, for all people, that its programs, plans, and policies are carried out in a manner that is not discriminatory, regardless of race, color, national origin, or sex (gender). Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, prohibits discrimination based upon race, color, and national origin. Specifically, 42 USC 2000d states that “No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.” *The Federal Aid Highway Act of 1973 (23 USC 324), and related federal regulations (23 CFR 200.5 (p)), prohibit discrimination on the basis of sex (gender).*

Later Executive Orders placed further emphasis on the Title VI protections of race and national origin, added low-income populations to the list of protected groups, and clarified that minority and limited English proficient populations are included under national origin. The Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 broadened the scope of Title VI coverage by expanding the definition of the terms “programs or activities” to include all programs or activities of Federal Aid recipients, sub-recipients, and contractors, whether such programs and activities are federally assisted or not (Public Law 100259 [S.557], March 22, 1988).

Together these requirements form the legal basis for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Title VI Program. According to 49 CFR 21.7 (b), recipients of federal financial assistance are required to provide for such methods of administration, as determined by the Secretary of Transportation, for a program to give a reasonable guarantee that it, and other participants under the program including contractors and subcontractors, will comply with all requirements imposed or pursuant to Title VI related federal regulations. The guidance provided by FHWA, Public Funds for Public Benefit: Subrecipient’s Guide to Implement Title VI, outlines additional information to be addressed in a Title VI Nondiscrimination Plan.

The State of Alaska has long recognized the importance of ensuring non-discrimination in how they conduct business and provide services to the public. This Title VI Non-Discrimination Implementation Plan will document a process specifically for ensuring non-discrimination by the MPO and should be consistent with non-discrimination policies of both the DOT&PF and FHWA Title VI requirements.

The Title VI Plan is integral to the PPP and provides specific goals, objectives, and strategies for reaching low-income, minority, and Limited English Proficiency populations to help mitigate barriers to public participation in the transportation planning process. As a Federal Aid recipient, the MPO has the responsibility to ensure that its programs, plans, and policies are carried out in a manner that is not discriminatory, regardless of race, color, national origin, or sex (gender) in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended. Measures to include are listed but are not limited to:

- Hosting all meetings open to the public and broad advertising of meetings, events, and public comment opportunities
- Opportunities in accordance with the PPP to reach different demographics of the population
- Holding meetings in a location familiar and comfortable to the public, accessible by non-motorized travel and transit, and in ADA-accessible buildings
- Providing contact information on all public notices and advertisements for individuals to request special accommodations for translation (language barriers) and hearing and sight impairments
- Preparing Title VI Reports for the DOT&PF Civil Rights Office for every meeting and event held open to the public and public comment periods
- Advertisement of Title VI complaint procedures and complaint form for any person who believes they have been excluded from or denied the benefits of, or subjected to discrimination based on race, color, national origin, or sex (gender) under any MPO plan, program, or activity
- Annual participation by all MPO staff in Title VI training

Completion Date: July 30, 2023

Responsible Party: Pre-MPO Staff, Borough Staff, Borough managed Consultant(s), new MPO Executive Director, and DOT&PF Staff

Task 100(C) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

The TIP is a prioritized listing/program of transportation projects covering four years developed and formally adopted by an MPO as part of the metropolitan transportation planning process, consistent with the MTP and required for projects to be eligible for funding under 23 USC and 49 USC Chapter 53. Currently, the federally funded transportation projects for the area can be found in the 2020–2023 Alaska Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). Upon official designation as an MPO, projects funded by federal transportation funds on locally or state-owned (non-NHS) roadways and transit projects will be found in the MPO's TIP. Federally funded projects within the MPO boundaries that are located on the State-owned National Highway System (NHS) or are owned by the Alaska Railroad Corporation will generally be shown in the TIP for informational purposes. Including these projects will require careful coordination with the state and transit providers.

For all MPAs, concurrent with the submittal of the entire proposed TIP to the FHWA and FTA as part of the STIP approval, the State and the MPO shall certify at least every four years that the metropolitan transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with all applicable requirements as set forth in 23 CFR 450.336.

Development of a new TIP will begin concurrently with the development of the MTP, which is anticipated to be completed in September 2024. The initial effort will be consultant-led and will involve development of project scoring criteria and nomination forms, followed by a call for project nominations. Projects included in the TIP must be prioritized in the MTP. The project nominations often, but are not all required to, come from the short-range list of projects included in the updated MTP. A workshop will be held for local agencies and the public to learn about the nomination process, scoring criteria, and project selection process for funding. At the close of the nomination period, the Technical Committee members will score and rank the projects in order of priority for consideration of funding in the new TIP.

Concurrently, DOT&PF staff will prepare a scope, schedule, and estimate (SSE) for each project nominated. Once the SSEs and project rankings are complete, the MPO will develop a fiscally constrained draft TIP providing a funding plan for the top-ranked projects for release for public

2020-2023 Alaska Statewide Transportation Improvement Program
2020-2023 Original STIP; Approved April 28, 2020

Need ID: 20911
Title: Mine Road Improvements Kink-Goose Bay Road to Hollywood Blvd
Region: Central
Place Name: Central Region
Highway: N/A

Project Description:
Project will rehabilitate the existing two-lane rural road from the Hollywood Boulevard to Kink-Goose Bay Road. The road will be designed to accommodate ongoing traffic growth. Scope includes repaving roadbed, drainage improvements, repaving, pedestrian accommodations and possible HSIP safety improvements.



Phase	Fund	FFY20	FFY21	FFY22	FFY23	After 2023
Design	SM	40,184	0	0	0	0
Design	STP	404,816	0	0	0	0
Right of Way	AC	0	3,296,753	0	0	0
Right of Way	ACC	0	0	-3,296,753	0	0
Right of Way	SM	0	327,247	0	0	0
Right of Way	STP	0	0	3,296,753	0	0
Construction	AC	0	0	0	5,840,140	0
Construction	SM	0	0	0	559,880	0
Utilities	SM	0	0	0	207,800	0
Utilities	STP	0	0	0	2,062,310	0
Totals		445,000	3,624,000	0	8,500,000	5,840,140

Program: Alaska Highway System
Primary Work: System Preservation
2013 Election District: 8 Big Lake/Palea Mackenzie
Borough/Census Area: Matanuska - Susitna Borough
Municipal Planning Org. (MPO): non-MPO
Performance Measures: Safety, Travel Time

Sponsor:
PEB Score:
Criteria:
Functional Class: MINOR ARTERIAL

STIP

comment. After public comments are addressed and/or resolved, the final TIP will then be presented to the Technical Committee and Policy Board for consideration and adoption. Following adoption, the final TIP will be transmitted to FHWA and FTA for approval and to DOT&PF for inclusion into the STIP.

The MPO Staff will work in cooperation with the DOT&PF in the development of an E-TIP that is compatible with the State's Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), if available.

The MPO Staff, with DOT&PF assistance, will be responsible for:

- Project scoring and ranking by the MVP Technical Committee and preparation of Scope, Schedules, and Estimates (SSEs)
- Development of draft TIP for advertisement for public comment
- Review and respond to comments received during the public comment period
- Presentation of final TIP to FAST Planning Technical Committee and Policy Board for consideration of adoption, and transmittal of adopted TIP to FHWA and FTA for approval
- Monthly tracking of obligated funds in the TIP and receipt of offsets from project closures, reductions to bid award, and other de-obligations through FFY2024
- Administrative Modifications and Amendments to current TIP on an as-needed basis through FFY2024

Completion Date: July 30, 2025

Responsible Party: Pre-MPO Staff, new MPO Executive Director with DOT&PF providing Advanced Project Definition (estimates and schedules) and financial constraint limits and technical support for E-TIP, as necessary

Task 100(D) 2023 - 2024 UPWP Reporting and 2025-2026 UPWP Development

The DOT&PF is responsible for providing the management oversight of the UPWP. The MPO and its partners that receive Federal PL funding will prepare and submit quarterly reports through FFY2024 to the DOT&PF. The quarterly reports will document the planning activities performed and expenditures by the MPO per the tasks listed in the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP). The DOT&PF will review and compile the quarterly reports into annual reports at the end of each fiscal year. The MPO will initiate Administrative Modifications and Amendments to the UPWP as needed following the provisions of the MPO's Intergovernmental Operating Agreement, when executed. The Borough Staff is currently doing this work.

FFY2025-2026 UPWP: Beginning in spring 2024, the MPO staff will develop the draft 2025-2026 UPWP. This will involve consultation with the State and other MPOs regarding the Planning fund allocation.

Completion Date of the new UPWP: August 15, 2024

Quarterly reports for the current UPWP.

Responsible Party: Pre-MPO Staff, new MPO Executive Director with DOT&PF assistance

Task 100(E) Support Services

This task encompasses all planning and program needs for the operation of the MPO. It is recommended that the MPO hire an Executive Director as soon as possible after designation by the Governor, anticipated to be the third quarter of FFY2023, to manage the critical tasks listed below.

- Management and operation of the MVP 501(c)(3) Non-profit Corporation (human resources, payroll, accounts payable/receivable, office space leasing, asset management, insurance coverages, audits, business licensing, and tax filings) (May 2023)
- The Project Manager will obtain all the necessary items for the formation of the MPO office including the hiring of an Executive Director and Transportation Planner (May 2023)
- Procurement of office space. (May 2023)
- Supply or cause to arrange supplies, information technology, website development, social media presence, office administration, utilities, payroll, and benefits, and the like. (May 2023)
- Procure services as necessary to bring the MPO office to an operational status based on the agreed-upon structure. (May 2023)
- MVP budget preparation, tracking, and amendment (ongoing)
- Review of agreements and policies and procedures as needed (ongoing)
- Professional development for staff (online and in-person training and conferences) (ongoing)
- Attending and participating in local, regional, and State committee and commission meetings (ongoing)
- Providing guest presentations to committees, commissions, local organizations and chapters, and other interest groups (ongoing)
- Serving on the Statewide Transportation Innovation Council, Statewide Connected & Autonomous Team
- Attending project status meetings, open house events, stakeholder groups, and other Alaska DOT&PF and Borough planning meetings (ongoing)
- GIS mapping of the transportation network, including preparation of areawide and project-specific maps (ongoing)
- Review and submit comments on local, state, and federal legislation and planning documents (ongoing)
- Monitor the Federal Highway Bill guidance and modify the development of the final MPO structure and documents in accordance with the latest planning assumptions (ongoing)
- Review the Federal Regulations for Metropolitan Transportation Planning and research and apply for other available grant opportunities (ongoing)
- Conduct general communication, correspondence, and presentations to members of the public, organizations, agencies, elected/appointed officials, and other interested parties (ongoing)
- Coordinate with the DOT and other MPOs, as requested (ongoing)
- Attend annual AMPO Conference and Alaska American Planning Association Conference and trainings (ongoing)

Completion Date: September 30, 2024

Responsible Party: Pre-MPO Staff, new MPO Executive Director, DOT&PF, Borough Staff,

consultant staff

Task 100(F) MVP for Transportation Intergovernmental Operating Agreement and Memorandum of Understanding for Transportation Planning within the Metropolitan Area of the Metropolitan Planning Organization (Operating Agreement) and Boundary Development

This agreement details the structure and process for the continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive consideration, development, and implementation of transportation plans and programs for intermodal transportation in the MPA. MPO designation shall be made by agreement between the Governor and units of general-purpose local government that together represent at least 75 percent of the affected population (including the largest incorporated city, based on population, as named by the Bureau of the Census) or in accordance with procedures established by applicable or local law. It defines the membership of the Policy Board by Title and voting rights of its members. It also defines the members of the Technical Committee by Title. Federal and State law are silent on the size, composition and voting rights of a Policy Board in a non-Transportation Management Area (under 200,000 persons). The Pre-MPO will define the committee, board, and boundary in FFY2022. This agreement defines the key plans and programs of the MPO, rules for consultant contracts, reporting requirements, planning reports, division of cost and payment, audit procedures as well as other standard required contractual elements. It will be finalized in late FFY2022 or early FFY2023.

The Operating Agreement and Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary will have to be presented to the member agency councils and assemblies for review and obtain a resolution of support for the package to be given to the Governor. The package will also need to be reviewed and approved by their respective attorneys before submission to the Governor for designation. It is the responsibility, with Staff oversight and assistance, of the Pre-MPO Steering Committee members to champion this package through their respective organizational processes to ensure the timely designation of the Mat-Su MPO by the Governor. This can take time and may be an iterative process. This includes the review by the State's Attorney General office as well. Staff, which is limited due to the current part-time Project Manager's status, may be available to present information regarding the MPO to each council/assembly, but it may be that the Pre-MPO Steering Committee member of each respective organization will have to take the lead. Documentation on the time spent on this process may serve as in-kind match so each person participating in this effort should document their time, by the quarter hour, and present this monthly to the Project Manager. This includes all time by all involved in obtaining the resolutions of support. Format and information necessary to document the time will be provided by the DOT.

After the boundary is approved, DOT&PF or the FHWA Division Office should provide the boundary files electronically to the FHWA Office of Planning (HEPP-30) for inclusion in the FHWA Office of Planning Executive Geographic Information System (HEPGIS) database. The preferred submission formats are ArcGIS or TransCAD GIS file formats, the GIS software packages most used by State DOTs and MPOs.

Completion Date: December 2023

Responsible Party: Pre-MPO Staff, DOT & PF, Borough Staff

Task 100(G) Memorandum of Understanding for the Implementation of the MVP MPO Office (MOU):

The MPO, DOT, and providers of public transportation shall cooperatively determine their mutual responsibilities in carrying out the metropolitan transportation planning process. These responsibilities shall be clearly identified in written agreements with the MPO. The purpose of the MOU is to outline the responsibilities of each of the parties to the Operating Agreement regarding operation of the MPO. The responsibilities will be based on the MPO becoming an independent 501(c)3 organization. Items that may need to be addressed include membership dues, project development, financial reporting, audits, GIS services, land use planning, project planning, programming, human resources, risk management, office space, telephone services, IT services, accounting services, procurement services and the like. A stand-alone MPO needs to file Articles of Incorporation, obtain EIN and DUNS numbers, complete IRS Form 1023 to obtain tax-exempt status, complete a DOT&PF internal review audit to establish an indirect cost rate for billing to receive federal reimbursement, lease office space, consider outsourcing payroll and IT services, and the like. This work will begin in FFY2022 and be completed in early FFY2023.

Completion Date: April 2024

Responsible Party: Pre-MPO Staff, DOT & PF, Borough Staff

Task 100 (H) MVP Bylaws

The Bylaws spell out the members and officers of the organization, how the Chair and Vice Chair are chosen, and who will serve as Secretary of the organization and what those responsibilities entail. The Bylaws define when meetings occur, at a minimum, and line out the standard order of business. The Bylaws define committee structures and the purpose and duties of the Technical Committee. The Bylaws also address ethics, conflict of interest, and other miscellaneous standards of conduct. The Bylaws should be submitted with the Operating Agreement. If not completed in FFY22, they will be done in early FFY23.

Completion Date: April 2024

Responsible Party: Pre-MPO Staff, DOT & PF, Borough Staff

Task 100 (I) MVP Policies and Procedures

Finalize the Policies and Procedures of MVP to ensure operations are in accordance with the Operating Agreement, MOU, and Bylaws. Some policies to be developed include, administrative policies, amendment and administrative modification policies, personnel policies, social media policies, human resources, employment practices, and the like.

Completion Date: July 2024

Responsible Party: Pre-MPO Staff, DOT & PF, Borough Staff

Task 100(J) Other Agreements:

- Develop an agreement(s) to coordinate with the DOT&PF, Anchorage Metropolitan Transportation Solutions (AMATS), and FAST Planning on PL and STPBG funding, target setting, and other transportation issues of common interest.

- Set performance targets in coordination with the State and other MPOs in accordance with a to-be-established memorandum of understanding for a performance-based approach to the metropolitan transportation planning and programming process.
- Consider a coordination agreement with AMATS, and others, as appropriate and necessary
- Consider an agreement with the local tribal governments regarding future consultation processes and to address the government - government relationship with the MPO

Completion Date: September 2023

Responsible Party: MPO Executive, DOT & PF, Borough Staff

Task 200 Public Transit System Planning

Non-urbanized Formula Program grants provide transit capital, operating assistance, and program administration to non-urbanized areas for public transportation. State agencies, local public bodies and agencies thereof, private-non-profit and private for-profit (inter-city only) organizations, and operators of public transportation services are eligible to apply. These program grants are detailed in 49 USC 5311.

To be eligible for Federal Transit Administration (FTA) or Alaska Mental Health Trust funds through the Alaska DOT&PF Alaska Community Transit (ACT) office, projects must be derived from a locally developed, coordinated plan that is updated at least every five (5) years. These funding sources substantially support transit operations in the Borough. The Borough's previous plan update was in 2011 and required significant changes to reflect current community needs and opportunities, in addition to meeting federal and State requirements. The 2018-2022 Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan (CHSTP) serves this purpose. It documented community efforts to coordinate public and human service transportation for the Borough's residents—especially older adults and individuals with disabilities. FTA 5310 grants through the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act and Alaska Mental Health Trust funding each focus on the transportation needs of disadvantaged persons and those with special transportation needs that cannot be met through traditional personal automobile or public transportation means. Valley Transit and Sunshine Transit Coalition are prepared to receive Alaska Community Transit State Fiscal Year 2021 Public Transit Grants for Administration, Operating and Preventive Maintenance. Sunshine Transit Coalition was awarded \$729,663, and Valley Transit was awarded \$1,100,000. Sunshine Transit Coalition and Valley Transit are also to receive Public Transit Capital Grants of \$737,692 and \$1,350,207, respectively.

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) has defined the goals of the 5311 Program to:

- Enhance the access of people in non-urbanized areas to health care, shopping, education, employment, public services, and recreation.
- Assist in the maintenance, development, improvement, and use of public transportation systems in rural and small urban areas.
- Encourage and facilitate the most efficient use of all rural transportation funds used to provide passenger transportation in non-urbanized areas through the coordination of programs and services.
- Assist the development and support of intercity bus transportation.

- Provide for the participation of private transportation providers to the extent feasible.

Due to the operational changes in FFY2020 related to the COVID-19 pandemic, these planning funds will be critical to planning the future of the public transit system within the MPA. Beginning in FFY21, and continuing through FFY2022 and FFY2023, the transit providers will need to develop, implement, and continually update Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) to include the processes and procedures to implement Safety Management Systems (SMS)

The transit services within an MPA, once designated, are eligible to receive **FTA Section 5303, 5304 and 5305 planning funds** through a Metropolitan Planning Grant Agreement between the DOT&PF and FTA. Metropolitan & Statewide Transportation Planning Section 5303, 5304 and 5305 provide funding and procedural requirements for multimodal transportation planning in metropolitan areas and states. Eligible activities include the development of transportation plans and programs, plan, design and evaluate a public transportation project and conduct technical studies related to public transportation. The MSB is currently working on updating the long- and short-term transit plans for the area. It is likely that the State will execute a Coordinated Planning Agreement with the MPO to conduct future plans or with the MSB. Funds are apportioned to states by formula that includes each state's urbanized area population in proportion to the total urbanized area population for the nation, as well as other factors.

- FTA Section 5303: Metropolitan Planning
- FTA Section 5304: Statewide Planning
- FTA Section 5305: Planning Programs

Section 5307 funds provide transit capital and operating assistance in urbanized areas.

- The State Is the Designated Recipient for all small urbanized areas, but most grantees are Direct Recipients
- Private Non-Profits Can't Be Subrecipients to the Urban Formula Program
- Sub-Recipient: An entity that receives FTA funds via a pass-through agreement with a direct recipient or designated recipient, whereby the original recipient remains responsible for compliance with all terms, conditions, and requirements associated with the grant).
- It is likely that the DOT&PF will want to execute a supplemental agreement with the Borough for the distribution of Section 5307 as it is required for all grantees in urbanized areas under 200,000 in population .
-
- Non-profit organizations can be subrecipients under the Job Access Reverse Commute (JARC) program

Designation as an urbanized area will result in significant changes to ownership, operation and ridership of the system and may affect the transit routes, frequency, and timing, as well as staff employment, facilities, equipment, and fare collection.

Planning needs to be cooperative, continuous, and comprehensive, resulting in long-range plans and short-range programs reflecting transportation investment priorities. Federal planning funds

are first apportioned to State DOTs. State DOTs then allocate planning funding to MPOs. One meeting has been conducted between the local transportation provider, DOT&PF, Alaska Railroad and FTA and more coordination will take place to determine the necessary work tasks for this UPWP.

Funds are available for planning activities that:

- support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency
- increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
- increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
- increase the accessibility and mobility of people and for freight;
- protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns;
- enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight; and
- promote efficient system management and operation; and (H) emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.

This funding is used to conduct planning activities related to the operation and improvement of the public transit system, including data collection, studies, system performance management, capital planning, and asset management, preparation of reports and plans, and training and technical assistance for staff. Example plans include:

- Coordinated Transportation Plan
- Short- and Long-Range Transit Plan
- Mobility Management Plan
- Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan
- Bus Stop Amenity & Design Development Plan
- ITS Improvement Plan
- Comprehensive Fixed Route Analysis & Improvement Plan
- Traffic Signal Prioritization Impact Study



Upon designation as an MPO, the Mat-Su MPO transit providers will be eligible to apply for the urbanized FTA 5307 formula grants and other competitive grant programs.

Completion Date: Upon designation as an MPO

Responsible Party: Valley Transit, Sunshine Transit Coalition, Chickaloon Area Transit (CAT), Borough Staff, DOT&PF

Task 300 Contingency Projects

The following projects are Contingency Projects, which are, by definition, a future event or circumstance which is possible but cannot be predicted with certainty. These are projects that could occur in FFY2023 -* 2024 if funding becomes available.

Task 300(A) Develop an Active Transportation Plan

Hire a consultant to complete an Active Transportation Plan (ATP). This plan will address local interest in non-motorized travel and the desire for better transportation options, quality of life, and access to the area's natural surroundings. It will outline policy, programmatic, and infrastructure improvements to help achieve a vision for a more pedestrian and bicycle-friendly community with a non-motorized network that provides safe and comfortable transportation options to many area residents and visitors.

Completion Date: TBD

Responsible Party: MPO Staff, Borough Staff, DOT&PF

Budget

MVP MPO FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2023-2024
Estimated Costs by Task
October 1, 2022 - September 30, 2024

DRAFT

Task	Description	Fund Code	FFY2023	Fund Code	FFY2024
100	MPO Planning Process				
100(A)	Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and Travel Modelling	PL	\$10,000	PL	\$ 500,000
100(B)	Update and Execution of the PPP and Title VI Plan	PL	\$46,000	TBD	\$ 100,000
100(C)	Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)	PL	\$0	TBD	\$ 15,000
100(D)	2023-2024 UPWP Reporting and 2025-2026 UPWP	PL	\$10,000	TBD	\$ 10,000
	kim's time	In-kind			
100(E)	Support Services	PL	\$48,000	TBD	\$ 130,000
100(F)	Operating Agreement and Boundary Development	PL	\$15,000	TBD	\$ -
100(G)	MOU for MVP Office Operations	PL	\$5,000	TBD	\$ -
		in-kind	\$10,000		
100(H)	MVP Bylaws	PL	\$10,000	TBD	\$ -
100(I)	MVP Policy and Procedures	PL	\$26,000	TBD	\$ 15,000
100(J)	Other Agreements	PL	\$20,000	TBD	\$ -
	<i>Subtotal</i>		\$200,000		\$770,000
	<i>9.03% match</i>		\$19,853		\$76,433
	<i>Subtotal</i>		<u>\$219,853</u>		<u>\$846,433</u>
	<i>Less Estimated ICAP (7.18%)</i>		\$17,006		\$65,475
	Total Task 100		<u>\$202,846</u>		<u>\$780,958</u>
200	Public Transit System Planning	FTA 5303	\$ -		
		9.03% match	\$0		\$0
		Subtotal	<u>\$ -</u>		
300	Contingency Projects				
300(A)	Active Transportation Plan	TBD	\$ 150,000		\$ 150,000
	Total Task 300		<u>\$150,000</u>		
	<i>9.03% match (cash)</i>		\$14,890		
	<i>Subtotal</i>		<u>\$164,890</u>		
	<i>Less Estimated ICAP (7.18%)</i>		\$12,755		
	Total Task 300		<u>\$152,135</u>		

Assumptions:

Draft 08.09.2022

MVP MPO FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2023-2024
Estimated Costs by Task
October 1, 2022 - September 30, 2024

DRAFT

FED TBD = Federal Funding Type to Be Determined by the State

ICAP = Indirect Cost Allocation Plan for FFY23 per DOT&PF

EXAMPLE MPO POLICY BOARD MEMBERSHIP AND DUES STRUCTURE

September 7, 2022

[MPO Staffing and Organizational Structures October 2017 U.S.](#)

[Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration Report](#)

This research report documents how MPOs have structured their organizations and allocated staff resources and expertise. A survey was sent to 396 of the 409 MPOs and received a 70% response rate. On Page B-4 this question was raised: How should the MPO achieve sufficient capital float and meet required local match? The response follows.

Respondents said that achieving capital float and meeting the local match were the two most difficult administrative issues at their MPO. Raising cash for capital float can be very difficult for new MPOs, since the federal government and most states do not have a dedicated source of capital to help MPOs start operations. The most common way to achieve a stable capital float was to be hosted by an agency that has a stable current cash flow, such as a county, city, or regional council. If the MPO wants to be independent, member local governments need to provide up-front cash to fund MPO startup costs and other ongoing costs that are not eligible for federal reimbursement. Some MPOs have been able to collect a one-time payment, although regular dues are a more stable route. MPOs can be creative with raising matching dollars for federal planning funds. Local match can be met using cash, a soft match of in-kind services, or crediting the value of local planning work. A few state DOTs supply a match on behalf of MPOs through general funds or crediting the value of toll receipts. In some states, the MPOs are able to credit the value of state-supplied or coordinated items like travel demand modeling software or data collection. Dues are a popular way to raise cash for a local match. Many hosted MPOs credit the value of goods and services received from the host toward the required match—things like office rent, supplies, utilities, and employee benefits. Some MPOs credit the cost of supporting planning projects (i.e. corridor studies, traffic counts) performed by member local governments.

SMALL MPO EXAMPLES

[Bannock Planning Organization](#) dba Bannock Transportation Planning Organization

Powers and Duties:

The powers and duties of BPO are to conduct and/or coordinate various planning services, activities, and functions of and for the parties which may include the preparation, review and/or recommendations of plan, policies and programs related to long-range transportation planning. Bannock Planning Organization is a separate legal entity and a non-profit association and only governments or governmental agencies may be members of the association.

Members: City of Pocatello, City of Chubbuck and Bannock County (Idaho)

Population: 91,123 (covers 8.6% of Bannock County and includes 87% of Bannock County's population)

Area: 100.16 square miles

Other Members: Agencies of the State shall be allowed to be members. Providers of transit service recognized by the FTA shall be allowed to become members. Initial other members are Pocatello Regional Transit and Idaho Department of Transportation.

EXAMPLE MPO POLICY BOARD MEMBERSHIP AND DUES STRUCTURE

September 7, 2022

Ex Officio Members: Other public agencies that do not qualify to be Members. Idaho State University is an ex-officio member.

Membership Dues: Determined by a two-part formula which allocates 50% of the funding required on the percentage of urban area population and 50% based on the number of votes held by each member organization.

Member Organization (votes)	Population (50% of funding)	Voting (50% of funding)	Percentage of Total Dues
Pocatello (3)	73.72%	37.5%	55.61%
Chubbuck (2)	19.53%	25.0%	22.26%
Bannock County (1)	6.75%	12.5%	9.63%
Pocatello Regional Transit (1)	0.00%	12.5%	6.25%
Idaho Department of Transportation (1)	0.00%	12.5%	6.25%
2010 Urban Population	74,674	100.0%	100.00%

Flagstaff MPO (FMPO)

Mission: Leverage cooperation to maximize financial and political resources for a premier transportation system. Their vision is to create the finest transportation system in the country.

Population: 79,383

Area: 525 Square miles

Members: Flagstaff City Council (3)

Coconino County Board of Supervisors (2)

Arizona Department of Transportation (1)

Member appointed by the Northern Arizona Intergovernmental Public Transportation Authority (NAIPTA) Board of Directors; Mountain Line Board of Directors (Mountain Line is an intergovernmental Public transit agency serving Northern Arizona)

For operating support including grant-related match requirements, and non-federal aid eligible expenses, the Parties will make an annual contribution to be determined through the Parties' respective annual budget processes. FMPO is a separate legal entity; specifically a domestic nonprofit corporation and not hosted by any agency.

EXAMPLE MPO POLICY BOARD MEMBERSHIP AND DUES STRUCTURE

September 7, 2022

Member Organization (votes)	Dues Annually	Percentage of Total Dues
Flagstaff City Council (3)	\$15,000	50%
Coconino County Board of Supervisors (2)	\$10,000	33.33%
Arizona Department of Transportation (1)	\$5,000	16.66%
Total Voting: 6	\$30,000	100.00%
79,383 population		
525 Square miles		
For operating support including grant-related match requirements, and non-federal aid eligible expenses, the Parties will make an annual contribution to be determined through the Parties' respective annual budget processes.		

[Midland Area Transportation Study \(MATS\)](#)

Vision: Striving for a safe and efficient transportation system which promotes the region's attractiveness to live, work and visit.

Population: 103,435

Area: 598.8 square miles

MATS is the MPO serving Midland, MI. The Policy Committee has 20 voting members from the cities and towns in the urbanized area as well as transportation providers, local road commissions, and MDOT. Some of the seats are held by local elected officials, but the determination of the representatives is the responsibility of the localities. An additional seven organizations participate in a non-voting manner. These include federal, state, and county officials as well as representatives from two regional airports. Representatives from two nearby MPOs also participate as non-voting members. The active participation of nearby MPOs is viewed as a check for overlap on issues that potentially matter to both MPOs. There is no weighted voting. Local match is generally split between the Midland County Road Commission and the City of Midland.

The local contributions to MATS serve as a financial bridge for current expenses until reimbursements are received from the federal government. The MPO is housed in the Midland County Services Building and is a single purpose agency. All staff are hired by the Policy Committee and all salaries and benefits, and employment policies are set by the Policy Committee.

EXAMPLE MPO POLICY BOARD MEMBERSHIP AND DUES STRUCTURE

September 7, 2022

In 2013, one local government member provided a start-up loan to allow MATS to start operations (payroll, etc.) and buy computer and other office equipment. That loan was repaid in full in 2014. Local match is generally split between the Midland County Road Commission and the City of Midland.

20 member board	<i>Match</i>
City of Midland	\$ Split
Midland County Road Commission	\$ Split
• <i>Bay County Road Commission</i> • <i>Saginaw County Road Commission</i> • <i>MDOT Regional Services Unit</i> • <i>Midland Dial-A-Ride Transit</i> • <i>County Connection of Midland</i> • <i>Bay Metro Transit Authority</i> • <i>Midland Charter Township</i> • <i>Larkin Township</i> • <i>Mt. Haley Township</i> • <i>Homer Township</i> • <i>Jerome Township</i> • <i>Lincoln Township</i> • <i>Edenville Township</i> • <i>Ingersoll Township</i> • <i>City of Auburn</i> • <i>Village of Sanford</i> • <i>Williams Charter Township</i> • <i>Tittabawassee Township</i> • <i>Midland County</i> • <i>East Michigan COG</i> • <i>MBS Airport</i> • <i>City of Midland</i> • <i>Federal Highway Administration</i> • <i>Bay City Area Transportation Study</i> • <i>Saginaw Metropolitan Area Transportation Study</i>	\$0.00
Population: 103,435	
598.8 square miles	
An additional seven organizations participate in a non-voting manner. These include federal, state, and county officials as well as representatives from two regional airports.	

EXAMPLE MPO POLICY BOARD MEMBERSHIP AND DUES STRUCTURE

September 7, 2022

LARGE MPO EXAMPLES

Coastal Region Metropolitan Planning Organization (CORE MPO)

The MPO for the Savannah, Georgia area developed a formula as follows: The amount of each member's contribution would be \$1,000 plus a proportion based on population. Authorities would contribute a fixed amount based upon the 70th percentile of the counties and municipalities contributions. The Authorities share and the total of the \$1,000 base amounts would be subtracted from total local fund contribution amount before applying the proportional population calculation for the county or each municipality. The Georgia Department of Transportation, the Georgia Ports Authority, the Economic Development and Freight, Citizens and Accessible Transportation Advisory groups and the Chatham County – Savannah Metropolitan Planning Commission members will not be assessed dues.

Member Organization (votes)	Dues Annually	+ Percentage by population
Georgia Department of Transportation		Does not pay dues
Georgia Ports Authority		Does not pay dues
Economic Development and Freight		Does not pay dues
Citizens and Accessible Transportation Advisory		Does not pay dues
Savannah Metropolitan Planning Commission		Does not pay dues
Chatham County	\$1,000 plus a contribution based on the unincorporated population plus 25% of the municipalities' population	
Effingham County	\$1,000 plus a contribution based on the unincorporated population plus 25% of the	

EXAMPLE MPO POLICY BOARD MEMBERSHIP AND DUES STRUCTURE

September 7, 2022

	municipalities' population	
City of Bloomingdale, Garden City, Port Wentworth, Pooler, Richmond Hill, Savannah, Tybee Island, Thunderbolt, Vernonburg	\$1,000 plus a proportion based on 75% of population	
310,047 population		
542 square miles		

[RTC Board](#) Sub-Committee: Member Dues Review

The Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council (RTC) conducted a Dues Peer Review in 2015, surveying 11 of the 14 MPO/RTPOs in Washington and the largest MPOs in Oregon, Idaho and Utah. The review found that most MPOs due shares are **based on population of members with non-municipal members most frequently assessed a flat dues amount or a fixed percentage of total dues** as determined by the Policy Board. A few organizations assessed dues based on a combined formula of regional share of population and assessed property values.

Examples for MVP for Transportation

9-seat board (alt 2)	Government	Population*	Original dues example		Percent	Members	% of voting	Bannock model		Flagstaff model				
			Membership Fee	Annuals Dues				% of dues	Annual Dues	% of dues	Annual Dues*			
	State of Alaska	82,662	\$ 194,632	\$ 19,012				48%	1	11%	0.29	\$ 11,724.37	11%	\$ 4,500
	MatSu Borough	68,662	\$ 161,644	\$ 15,790				39%	3	33%	0.36	\$ 14,559.49	33%	\$ 13,500
	City of Wasilla	8,000	\$ 18,851	\$ 1,862				5%	1	11%	0.08	\$ 3,141.84	11%	\$ 4,500
	City of Palmer	6,000	\$ 14,138	\$ 1,381				3%	1	11%	0.07	\$ 2,911.93	11%	\$ 4,500
	Chickaloon Village													
	Traditional Council	3078	\$ 7,069	\$ 691				2%	1	11%	0.06	\$ 2,576.04	11%	\$ 4,500
	Knik Tribe	5334	\$ 12,489	\$ 1,220				3%	1	11%	0.07	\$ 2,835.38	11%	\$ 4,500
	Multimodal	250	\$ 589	\$ 58				0%	1	11%	0.06	\$ 2,250.96	11%	\$ 4,500
	173,986	\$ 409,411	\$ 40,013		9		TOTAL	\$ 40,000.00	TOTAL	\$ 40,500				
Assumptions: \$410,000 PL funds annually; 9.03% non-federal share														
* MPA population minus City populations														
9-seat board (alt 2)	Government	Population	Percent	Members	% of voting	Bannock model		Flagstaff model						
						% of dues	inital dues	% of dues	initial dues					
	State of Alaska	82,662	48%	1	11%	0.29	\$ 120,174.75	11%	\$ 44,444					
	MatSu Borough	68,662	39%	3	33%	0.36	\$ 149,234.73	33%	\$ 133,333					
	City of Wasilla	8,000	5%	1	11%	0.08	\$ 32,203.82	11%	\$ 44,444					
	City of Palmer	6,000	3%	1	11%	0.07	\$ 29,847.31	11%	\$ 44,444					
	Chickaloon Village													
	Traditional Council	3078	2%	1	11%	0.06	\$ 26,404.45	11%	\$ 44,444					
	Knik Tribe	5334	3%	1	11%	0.07	\$ 29,062.59	11%	\$ 44,444					
	Multimodal	250	0%	1	11%	0.06	\$ 23,072.34	11%	\$ 44,444					
	173,986		9		TOTAL	\$ 410,000.00	Total	\$ 400,000						

7-seat govt. only		Population	Percent	Members	% of voting	Bannock model		Flagstaff model		
						% of dues	annual dues	% of dues	annual dues*	
	State of Alaska	82,662	48%	1	14%	0.31	\$ 12,363.30	14%	\$ 5,715	
	Mat Su borough	68,662	39%	2	29%	0.34	\$ 13,611.53	29%	\$ 11,430	
	City of Wasilla	8,000	5%	1	14%	0.09	\$ 3,777.98	14%	\$ 5,715	
	City of Palmer	6,000	3%	1	14%	0.09	\$ 3,548.01	14%	\$ 5,715	
	Knik tribe	3078	2%	1	14%	0.08	\$ 3,212.01	14%	\$ 5,715	
	Chickaloon Village Traditional Council	5334	3%	1	14%	0.09	\$ 3,471.42	14%	\$ 5,715	
						TOTAL	\$ 39,984.25	Total	\$ 40,005	
*assume \$5,715 per voting seat										
7-seat govt. only		Population	Percent	Members	% of voting	Bannock model		Flagstaff model		
						% of dues	inital dues	% of dues	initial dues	
	State of Alaska	82,662	48%	1	14%	0.31	\$ 126,682.69	14%	\$ 57,143	
	Mat Su borough	68,662	39%	2	29%	0.34	\$ 139,472.82	28%	\$ 114,286	
	City of Wasilla	8,000	5%	1	14%	0.09	\$ 38,711.76	14%	\$ 57,143	
	City of Palmer	6,000	3%	1	14%	0.09	\$ 36,355.25	14%	\$ 57,143	
	Knik tribe	3078	2%	1	14%	0.08	\$ 32,912.39	14%	\$ 57,143	
	Chickaloon Village Traditional Council	5334	3%	1	14%	0.09	\$ 35,570.53	14%	\$ 57,143	
						TOTAL	\$ 409,705.44	Total	\$ 400,000	

**MVP for Transportation
Policy Board
Draft Purpose and Tasks
08.30.2022**

Purpose

To carry out the metropolitan transportation planning process through intergovernmental and stakeholder collaboration, rational analysis, and consensus-based decision-making for the metropolitan planning area, to allocate scarce federal and other transportation funding resources, and to serve as the responsible party for all structural, administrative, and operational decisions of the metropolitan planning organization.

Tasks

- Serve as a key decision maker for the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)
- Conduct adequate yet comprehensive transportation planning in examining the region's future and investment alternatives
- Supervise the Executive Director
- Conduct public meetings in accordance with Roberts Rules of Order, the Bylaws, and the Public Participation Plan
- Attend all meetings of the Policy Board
- Communicate with MPO staff and your staff member(s) on the Technical Committee on a regular basis to obtain answers to any questions you may have regarding matters before the Policy Board
- Vet, approve and oversee the implementation of the:
 - Public Participation Plan (PPP)
 - Title VI Implementation Plan
 - Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)
 - Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)
 - Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)
 - Policy and Procedures of the MPO
 - Interagency and Intergovernmental Agreements, as applicable
 - Other plans, as desired
- Serve as the Board of Directors for the MVP for Transportation Corporation and fulfill the required fiduciary duties
- Participate in Technical Committee meetings as time allows
- Represent the MPO at local, regional and National Transportation Planning Events
- Other tasks, as required

Rule of Engagement

- No proxy voting will be allowed as it is expected that the leaders selected for the Policy Board are fully involved in the process to make the best decisions regarding the future of transportation infrastructure, policy, and organizational structure. While a Proxy member can attend in case of an absence by a Policy Board member, that individual will not have the ability to vote.

EXTERNAL MEMORANDUM

To: Kim Sollien
Planning Services Manager
Mat-Su Borough
350 East Dahlia Avenue
Palmer, AK 99645

From: Patrick Cotter, AICP
Project Manager
RESPEC
1028 Aurora Drive
Fairbanks, AK 99709

Date: September 1, 2022

Subject: MVP for Transportation Policy Board Composition

Kim:

The RESPEC team has identified four potential configurations for the Policy Board.

9-seat board with broad representation:

1. MSB Manager
2. MSB Assembly
3. City of Wasilla Mayor
4. City of Palmer Manager
5. DOT&PF Central Region Director
6. Knik Tribe
7. Chickaloon Village Traditional Council
8. Multi-modal advocate
9. Health & safety advocate

7-seat board with broad representation:

1. MSB (Manager or Assembly)
2. City of Wasilla Mayor
3. City of Palmer Manager
4. DOT&PF Central Region Director
5. Knik Tribe
6. Chickaloon Village Traditional Council
7. Multi-modal advocate

9-seat board second alternative:

1. MSB Manager
2. MSB Assembly
3. MSB Mayor
4. City of Wasilla Mayor
5. City of Palmer Manager
6. DOT&PF Central Region Director
7. Knik Tribe
8. Chickaloon Village Traditional Council
9. Multi-modal advocate

7-seat board with government-only representation:

1. MSB Manager
2. MSB (Assembly or Mayor)
3. City of Wasilla Mayor
4. City of Palmer Manager
5. DOT&PF Central Region Director
6. Knik Tribe
7. Chickaloon Village Traditional Council

**Mat-Su Valley Planning for Transportation
Technical Committee
Draft Purpose and Tasks
09.15.2022**

Purpose

To assist the Policy Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities by acting as an advisory body for transportation issues that are primarily technical in nature.

Tasks

- Interact with the Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO's) professional staff on technical matters related to planning, analysis tasks and projects.
- Review all draft plans and policies and provide recommendations on plans, projects, federal regulations, and policy for the Policy Board's consideration that are in the best interest of the MPO
- Meet with their leadership representatives on the Policy Board on a regular basis to inform leaders about technical issues and provide answers to any questions they may have regarding matters before the Policy Board

- Conduct public meetings in accordance with Roberts Rules of Order and the Public Participation Plan
- Review, provide written feedback, and make recommendations to the Policy Board on the development and implementation of the:
 - Public Participation Plan
 - Title VI Implementation Plan
 - Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)
 - Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)
 - Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)
 - Policy and Procedures of the MPO
 - Interagency and Intergovernmental Agreements, as applicable
 - Other plans and policies
- Other tasks, as required.

Rules of Engagement

- Proxy voting will be allowed at the Staff Level of the Technical Committee, given written notice by the voting member prior to the meeting.

EXTERNAL MEMORANDUM

To: Kim Sollien
Planning Services Manager
Mat-Su Borough
350 East Dahlia Avenue
Palmer, AK 99645

From: Patrick Cotter, AICP
Project Manager
RESPEC
1028 Aurora Drive
Fairbanks, AK 99709

Date: September 15, 2022

Subject: MVP for Transportation Technical Committee Composition

Kim:

The RESPEC team has modified the Technical Committee membership in accordance with the recommendations from the September 13 Steering Committee meeting.

1. MSB Transportation Advisory Board chair
2. Alaska Railroad Corporation
3. MSB School District operations
4. Public transit provider
5. Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities – planning
6. Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities – pre-construction
7. MSB – Planning
8. MSB – Public Works
9. Mobility advocate
10. Road Service Area Advisory Board chair
11. City of Wasilla
12. City of Palmer
13. Knik Tribe
14. Chickaloon Village Traditional Council
15. Trucking Industry Advocate
16. State of Alaska Department of Conservation Air Quality (in the event air quality issues are identified within the Metropolitan Planning Area)

RESOLUTION NO:

**A RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF THE DESIGNATION OF MVP FOR
TRANSPORTATION AS THE MPO OF THE MAT-SU AREA AND OF FUNDING FOR
THE FORMATION, ORGANIZATION AND OPERATION OF THE METROPOLITAN
PLANNING ORGANIZATION OF THE MAT-SU AREA, MATSU VALLEY PLANNING
FOR TRANSPORTATION (MVP)**

WHEREAS, 49 U.S.C. § 5303 as amended by the Infrastructure and Investment Jobs Act, which section is identical to 23 U.S.C. § 134 of the Federal Aid Highway Act, requires the designation of a metropolitan planning organization (“MPO”) for each urbanized area within the country of at least 50,000 population; and

WHEREAS, USC § 104(f), 23 USC § 134 and 49 USC § 5303 – 5306 are the Federal Transportation Planning Statutes that provide funding and require designation of a metropolitan planning organization for urbanized areas of at least 50,000 population to carry out a transportation planning process and receive federal funding;

WHEREAS, those Statutes require the State and the local governments to coordinate the planning and construction of all urban transportation facilities with a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, an MPO, is generally comprised of representative from local government, transportation stakeholders and governmental transportation authorities with the purpose of ensuring regional cooperation in transportation planning and providing a conduit for the federal funding of transportation projects and programs; and

WHEREAS, designation or selection of officials or representatives to serve on the MPO Board is not set by federal law or regulation and the State of Alaska is silent on who is to serve on small MPO (less than 200,000 persons) Boards; and

WHEREAS, a yet to be defined core area of the MSB will assuredly be included when the Census Bureau publishes a Federal Register notice, in December 2022, announcing the qualifying urban areas based on the results of the 2020 Decennial Census; and

WHEREAS, under 23 U.S.C. § 134, via an agreement with the Governor of the State of Alaska and units of general purpose local government that together represent at least 75 percent of the affected population, an MPO shall be designated within **one-year** of the release of the Federal Register Notice announcing the qualifying urban areas; and

WHEREAS, this agreement must contain a map of the new Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA) which delineates the area of responsibility of the MPO and is defined by the U.S. Census, and that area that is anticipated to be considered urban in the next 20 years; and

WHEREAS, in July 2020, a consultant team was retained by the Matanuska-Susitna Borough ("MSB") to coordinate the planning for a new MPO funded by federal transportation Planning funds; and

WHEREAS, in October 2020, a Steering Committee was established, made up of transportation stakeholders of the region, whose mission is to guide the MPO formation process as it relates to presenting recommendations to the Pre-MPO Policy Board regarding organizational and operational structure and <insert name> is represented on this committee; and

WHEREAS, in September 2021, a Pre-MPO Policy Board was established, made up of local government officials, the State of Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities, and multi-modal advocates to oversee the development of all federally required documents necessary to be designated by the Governor as the MPO of the Mat-Su urbanized area and <insert name> is represented on this board; and

WHEREAS, the planning effort for the establishment of the new MPO has progressed in earnest since July 2020, conducting monthly public meetings, developing a website, annual work plans, Public Participation Plan, Public Participation Plan for Pre-MPO designation efforts, MPO Boundary Development Strategy, Metropolitan Transportation Plan Scope of Work, draft organizational Bylaws and Operating Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Pre-MPO Policy Board, in October 2021, approved the name of the new MPO to be Matsu Valley Planning for Transportation (MVP for Transportation); and

WHEREAS, the Pre-MPO Policy Board, in March 2022, unanimously voted to adopt the formation of an independent, 501(c)(3) organization for MVP for Transportation; and

WHEREAS, MVP for Transportation is awaiting imminent designation by the Governor as the new MPO for the Mat-Su urbanized area and needs to establish its office, hire staff, establish a bank account, file Articles of Incorporation with the State of Alaska Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development Division of Corporations, obtain Business and Professional Licensing to become a non-profit corporation, negotiate intergovernmental agreements to establish participation by member agencies and associated dues, so that transportation planning work can begin on behalf of the MPO.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the <insert name> supports the designation of MVP for Transportation as the MPO of the Mat-Su metropolitan planning area.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the <insert name> supports funding for the formation, organization, and operation of the MPO.

PASSED and **APPROVED** this <insert date>.

U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration
1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE
Washington, DC 20590
202-366-4000

BIPARTISAN INFRASTRUCTURE LAW



[Home](#) | [Overview](#) | [Funding](#) | [Assistance / Local Support](#) | [Fact Sheets](#) | [Guidance](#)

FACT SHEETS

Metropolitan Planning Program (MPP)

	FAST Act (extension)	Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL)				
Fiscal year (FY)	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Contract authority	\$358 M	\$438 M*	\$447 M*	\$456 M*	\$465 M*	\$474 M*

*Calculated (sum of estimated individual State Metropolitan Planning Program apportionments)

Note: Except as indicated, all references in this document are to the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL), enacted as the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, Pub. L. 117-58 (Nov. 15, 2021).

Program Purpose

The BIL continues the Metropolitan Planning Program, which establishes a cooperative, continuous, and comprehensive framework for making transportation investment decisions in metropolitan areas. Program oversight is a joint Federal Highway Administration/Federal Transit Administration responsibility.

Statutory Citations

- § 11201; 23 U.S.C. 134

Funding Features

Except as specified above and below, BIL continues all funding features that applied to Metropolitan Planning (PL) funding under the FAST Act.

Type of Budget Authority

- Contract authority from the Highway Account of the Highway Trust Fund, subject to the overall Federal-aid obligation limitation.

Apportionment of Funds

- As under the FAST Act, the BIL directs FHWA to apportion funding as a lump sum for each State then divide that total among apportioned programs.
- Each State's PL apportionment is calculated based on a ratio specified in law. [23 U.S.C. 104(b)(6)] (See "Apportionment" fact sheet for a description of this calculation)
- The State DOT is then required to make the PL funds available to metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) in accordance with a formula developed by the State DOT and approved by the FHWA. [23 U.S.C. 104(d)]

Set-aside for Increasing Safe and Accessible Transportation Options

- The BIL requires each MPO to use at least 2.5% of its PL funds (and each State to use 2.5% of its State Planning and Research funding under 23 U.S.C. 505) on specified planning activities to increase safe and accessible options for multiple travel modes for people of all ages and abilities. [§ 11206(b)]
- A State or MPO may opt out of the requirement, with the approval of the Secretary, if the State or MPO has Complete Streets standards and policies in place, and has developed an up-to-date Complete Streets prioritization plan that identifies a specific list of Complete Streets projects to improve the safety, mobility, or accessibility of a street. [§ 11206(c) and (e)]
- For the purpose of this requirement, the term "Complete Streets standards or policies" means standards or policies that ensure the safe and adequate accommodation of all users of the transportation system, including pedestrians, bicyclists, public transportation users, children, older individuals, individuals with disabilities, motorists, and freight vehicles. [§ 11206(a)]

Transferability to Other Federal-aid Apportioned Programs

- The BIL continues to prohibit transfer of PL funds to other apportioned programs. [23 U.S.C. 126(b)(1)]

Federal Share

- As a general rule, in accordance with 23 U.S.C. 120. (See the “Federal Share” fact sheet for additional detail.)
- For activities funded by the set-aside for Increasing Safe and Accessible Transportation Options (see above), the BIL provides for a Federal share of 80%, unless the Secretary determines that the interests of the Federal-aid Highway Program would be best served by decreasing or eliminating the non-Federal share. [§ 11206(d)]

Travel Demand Data and Modeling

- The BIL requires the Secretary to—
 - carry out a study that gathers travel data and travel demand forecasts from a representative sample of States and MPOs and compares travel demand forecasts with observed data; and to use this information to develop best practices or guidance for States and MPOs to use in forecasting travel demand for future investments in transportation improvements; [§ 11205(b)(1)]
 - seek opportunities to support States’ and MPOs’ transportation planning processes by providing data to improve the quality of transportation plans, models, and travel demand forecasts; and [§ 11205(b)(2)]
 - develop, and make publicly available, a multimodal web-based tool to enable States and MPOs to evaluate the effect of highway and transit investments on the use and conditions of all transportation assets within the State or area served by the metropolitan planning organization, as applicable. [§ 11205(b)(3)]

Other Program Features

Except as specified above and below, BIL continues all requirements that applied to the Metropolitan Planning Program under the FAST Act.

Fiscal Constraint on Long-range Plans

- The BIL requires the United States Department of Transportation to amend Federal regulations to define a metropolitan transportation plan’s outer years as beyond the first four years. [§ 11202; 23 CFR 450.324(f)(11)(v)]

Representation

- The BIL requires an MPO that serves an area designated as a transportation management area, when designating officials or representatives for the first time and subject to the MPO’s bylaws or enabling statute, to consider the equitable and proportional representation of the

population of the metropolitan planning area. [§ 11201(a)(1); 23 U.S.C. 134(d)(3)(D)]

Designation of More Than One MPO in an Urbanized Area

- The BIL changes an existing requirement such that more than one MPO may be designated within an existing urbanized area (as opposed to within a metropolitan planning area under the FAST Act) only if the Governor and the existing MPO determine that the size and complexity of the area make such a designation for the area appropriate. [§ 11201(a)(1)(B); 23 U.S.C. 134(d)(7)]
- If more than one MPO is designated within an urbanized area, the BIL requires the MPOs to—
 - consult with the other MPOs designated for the area and the State in coordination of plans and transportation improvement plans (TIPs) required by 23 U.S.C. 134; and [§ 11201(a)(2); 23 U.S.C. 134(g)(1)]
 - ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, the consistency of any data used in the planning process, including information used in forecasting travel demand, while clarifying that they are not required to jointly develop planning documents, including a unified long-range transportation plan or unified TIP. [§ 11201(a)(2); 23 U.S.C. 134(g)(4) and (5)]

Public Participation

- The BIL allows MPOs to use social media and other web-based tools to encourage public participation in the transportation planning process. [§ 11201(a)(3); 23 U.S.C. 134(i)(6)(D)]

Housing Coordination

- The BIL makes several changes to include housing considerations in the metropolitan transportation planning process, including—
 - updating the policy to include, as items in the national interest, encouraging and promoting the safe and efficient management, operation, and development of surface transportation systems that will better connecting housing and employment; [§ 11201(d)(1); 23 U.S.C. 134(a)(1)]
 - adding officials responsible for housing as officials with whom the Secretary shall encourage each MPO to consult; [§ 11201(d)(2); 23 U.S.C. 134(g)(3)(A)]
 - requiring the metropolitan transportation planning process for a metropolitan planning area to provide for consideration of projects and strategies that will promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local housing patterns (in addition to planned growth and economic development patterns); [§ 11201(d)(3); 23 U.S.C. 134(h)(1)(E)]
 - adding assumed distribution of population and housing to a list of recommended components to be included in optional scenarios developed for consideration as part of development of the metropolitan transportation plan; [§ 11201(d)(4)(A); 23 U.S.C. 134(i)(4)(B)]

- adding affordable housing organizations to a list of stakeholders MPOs are required to provide a reasonable opportunity to comment on the metropolitan transportation plan; and [§ 11201(d)(4)(B); 23 U.S.C. 134(i)(6)(A)]
- within a metropolitan planning area that serves a transportation management area, permitting the transportation planning process to address the integration of housing, transportation, and economic development strategies through a process that provides for effective integration, including by developing a housing coordination plan. [§ 11201(d)(5); 23 U.S.C. 134(k)]

Additional Information and Assistance

- For more information about this program, visit the FHWA Planning webpage: <https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/planning/index.cfm>
- FHWA can connect you with your local FHWA office and support you with technical assistance for planning, design, construction, preserving, and improving public roads and in the stewardship of Federal funds. For assistance, visit: https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/bipartisan-infrastructure-law/technical_support.cfm.

Page last modified on February 8, 2022