Representatives:

Bob Charles - Knik Tribe (Secretary)
Edna DeVries, Mayor - MSB (Vice Chair)
Glenda Ledford, Mayor - City of Wasilla
Brian Winnestaffer - Chickaloon Native Village
Mike Brown - MSB
Sean Holland - DOT&PF (Treasurer)
Jim Cooper, Mayor - City of Palmer (Chair)

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app.

Join the meeting now

Meeting ID: 253 618 394 457 5

Passcode: v7Xb63rV

Or call in (audio only)

+1 689-223-3510 (U.S. Sioux Falls) (844) 594-6237 (toll-free)

Phone Conference ID: 568 381 409#

Agenda Wednesday, December 17th, 2025 1:30-3:00 pm

Meeting Location

Alaska DOT Mat Su District Office at 500 S. Seward Meridian Pkwy, Wasilla, Alaska There is limited parking at the building's main entrance, an overflow parking lot to the south.

- 1. Call to Order and Roll Call
- 2. Consent Agenda (Action Item)
 - a. Approval of the December 17th, 2025, Agenda
 - b. Approval of the November 19th, 2025, Minutes
- 3. Staff Report
 - Staff Report
 - a. Schedule of topics
- 4. Voices of the Visitors (Non-Action Items)
- 5. Technical Committee Action Items
 - a. MTP Vision, Goals, and Objectives Motion to recommend that the Policy Board approve the MTP vision, Goals, and Objectives as presented. Motion by Dan Tucker, seconded, passed without objection
- 6. Action Items
 - a. MTP Vision, Goals, and Objectives Recommended Motion: Motion to approve the MTP vision, Goals, and Objectives as presented
 - b. 26-29 DOT&PF Carbon Reduction Program Consultation: 34464 Fleet Conversion Adam Bradway, Alaska DOT&PF. Recommended Motion, Motion to approve ADOT&PF usage of \$636,790 of MVP's CRP FFY25 suballocation for Fleet Conversion and requesting a written agreement that the funds be returned to MVP in the form of STBG funding in FFY27. See TC discussion notes in page 3 of the packet.
- 7. Old Business
 - a. MVP Improvement Program Update on Scope, Schedule, and Budget. ADOT&PF Chris Bentz.
 - b. MTP Update

- Interactive Project Map Live
- Formal Call for Projects from agencies date pending mid-late January
- Stakeholder outreach and special meeting schedule- letters sent
- c. MVP FFY 24&25 Funding Allocations, Carryover, and FFY26 Funding Award
 - Letter from ADOT&PF documenting improvements that could be made with the 3C process, STIP involvement, carryover funding, and usage of MPV's suballocations without consultation- Ben White, Alaska DOT&PF
 - a. Letter to the Policy Board From ADOT&PF
 - MVP FFY 24,25,26 funding and project documentation Update -Adam Bradway, Alaska DOT&PF
 - a. FFY2026 suballocation documentation from ADOT&PF
 - b. Carry forward the workbook from ADOT&PF
 - c. MVP Program of Projects Accounting (purple highlights =funding projects)
 - d. MVP Accounting of Projects that used our funding
- d. FFY26-29 STIP Update Adam Bradway, Alaska DOT&PF
- e. Alaska DOT&PF SAFEROADS initiative Adam Bradway, Alaska DOT&PF
- 8. New Business
- 9. Other Issues
 - a. MTP Video edit request by Alaska DOT&PF
 - b. Small Urban Apportionment (split) letter for FY 2024 in the Wasilla Knik Fairview North Lakes (WKFNL) small urban area
 - c. Transit update- 5307 Split letter
- 10. Informational Items
 - a. Community Outreach & Engagement Analytics Report
- 11. Policy Board Comments
- 12. Adjournment

Next Scheduled MPO Policy Board Meeting – January 17th, from 1:30 pm to 3:00 p.m. to be held via Microsoft TEAMS and at the Alaska DOT Mat-Su District Office at 500 S Seward Meridian Pkwy, Wasilla, Alaska.

Policy Board Action Item Overview December 17th

Purpose: To guide the Board discussion and action on key agenda items.

a. Action Item

MTP Vision, Goals, and Objectives Recommended Motion: **Motion to** approve the MTP vision, Goals, and Objectives as presented

Motion

Second

Vote

Staff Summary: The Draft Vision, Goals, and Objectives were out for public comment for 30 days. We received eight comments during the comment period; none of the comments required that we propose an edit to the Vision, Goals, and Objectives. Two of the comments were in support of the goals and objectives, one requested that we make our goals and objectives measurable, another focused on environmental concerns, and the others were about the project idea and problem areas within the network. The comments and the response to each from MVP staff are in the packet for your review.

b. Action Item

26-29 DOT&PF Carbon Reduction Program Consultation: 34464 Fleet Conversion Adam Bradway, Alaska DOT&PF. Recommended Motion, Motion to approve ADOT&PF usage of \$636,790 of MVP's CRP FFY25 suballocation for Fleet Conversion and requesting a written agreement that the funds be returned to MVP in the form of STBG funding in FFY27

Motion

Second

Vote

Staff Summary

TC did not have this as an action item because the request came in after the packet deadline. They did discuss the request and recommended that the Policy Board approve the request and with a written agreement signed by ADOT&PF and MVP that the funding will be reimbursed in the form of STBG in FFY27.

Background: STIP Amendment #2 documented that DOT&PF utilized MVP's FFY25 CRP allocation for Fleet Conversion. MVP was not notified or engaged in any discussion regarding the allocation of these funds.

The federal requirements for MPO consultation regarding Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) funding are established under the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), also known as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL), and codified in Title 23 of the U.S. Code and Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). 23 U.S.C. § 175 Carbon Reduction Program

This section creates the CRP and outlines how funds are to be apportioned, obligated, and programmed, specifically referencing the role of Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs).

23 U.S.C. § 175(c)(1):

(4)Coordination in urbanized areas.

"Before obligating funds for an eligible project under subsection (c) in an urbanized area that is not a transportation management area, a State shall <u>coordinate with any metropolitan planning organization</u> that represents the urbanized area prior to determining which activities should be carried out under the project."

November 2025 Staff Report

FFY25/26 UPWP Tasks

TASK 100 A UPWP

- Prepared the Technical Committee and Policy Board agenda and packet
- Hosted the Technical Committee meeting and Policy Board Meeting

Task 100 B Metropolitan Transportation Plan

- ➤ Developed social media posts and mailers for the MTP Vision, Goals, and Objectives, MVP intro, and December 3rd open house
- ➤ Emailed all stakeholders for MTP Project Nomination Notice as well as inviting them to our Open House
- Worked with MSB GIS to finalize the public-facing interactive map, the project nomination survey 123, and the GIS based scoring form.
- Scheduled a special work session for the TC to review the project nomination form, interactive comment map, travel model data review, and the final scoring criteria and guide amended at the November TC meeting.
- MVP staff prepared and reviewed materials associated with the December 3 – MTP Open House presentation including slide decks, fliers and print media notices, maps, and posters.
- On November 20, delivered presentations to the Wasilla Comprehensive Plan Steering Committee and North Lakes Community Council on MVP and the MTP process.
- Prepared and pre-recorded interview for KTNA's Su Valley Voice radio hour, introducing MVP and announcing the MTP process and December 3 Open House.

TIP / Project Scoring Criteria

Finalized the MTP project Scoring Criteria, Scoring Guide, and Project Nomination Application

Complete Streets Policy

Task 100 C TransCad Modeling

- > Reviewed the new MVP 2050 Allocation Memo from RSG
- > Met with RSG to discuss the TC work session model review
- During the TC review of the base run of the model, questions were raised about the population data for the base year and assumptions about the roadway data. Another special meeting will be scheduled for the week of November 18th.
- At the November 12th special meeting, Bob Charles asked that the model include larger projects like the West-Su Access Road so that MVP can be responsive to the needs of the system if these projects develop. This contract does not have a budget to run multiple scenarios. RSG will only be analyzing the business as usual, with no alternative scenarios for the MTP. When MVP does our own full Travel Model Development, we will have the option to include multiple scenarios.
- ▶ Met with RSG, RESPEC, and members of the TC on Dec 19th to review the updated model with the new roadway connections and identified that all the updated intersections were not included in the model. RSG is performing another model run. The draft report should be done by December 12th

TASK 100 D Household Travel Survey

TASK 100 E Transportation Improvement Program

TASK 100 F: Update and Implementation of the Public Participation Plan and Title VI Plan

- MVP received a Title VI complaint about Valley Transit and the services being provided. MVP staff are following up with the individual and intend to direct them to the MSB to file a formal complaint.
- ➤ Follow-up with the resident interested in having MVP host a persons with disabilities, civil rights, and disabilities advocates meeting to discuss transportation needs and issues. Staff are working on a guest list and dates for the meeting sometime in January.

TASK 100 G Support Services

Budget Management

➤ Received an engagement letter from Altman, Rogers & Co. for the FFY25 audit and 990 prep.

Meetings

- > Met with MSB GIS staff to work on MVP's MTP GIS needs
- > Attended eleven MTP project management and contract check-in
- > Attended the Alaska Active Transportation Coalition Goal Area meeting
- ➤ Hosted MatSu Transit Roundtable meeting on November 12th the focus of the meeting was on developing questions that would be used during a special stakeholder meeting to discuss needs with providers and riders.
- Attended Travel Demand Model Users Group Meeting hosted by R&M Consulting and RSG on November 21st

Staffing

No activity

Correspondence

Nonprofit Filings and Reports

Organizational Documents

- Drafted updates to the Personnel Policy on COLA and Performance Evaluations
- Requested Ashburn & Mason provide guidance on MVP and the Open Meetings Act and Robert's Rules of Order Executive Session. Waiting for their reply

Agency Relationships

Became a Member of the Wasilla Chamber and attended lunch.

Contract Management

Met with RESPEC to request that they bring on additional resources to help manage the MTP project.

Requests from the Policy Board and Technical Committee directed to the staff

- Bob Charles and Brian Winnestaffer requested a timeline/flow chart for our website that tracks and displays all of our projects, deliverables, and timelines. RESPEC made a simple one for the MTP. MVP staff have selected Smartsheet platform to support project and task management and display via dashboard.
- ➤ Mike Brown suggested that we explore the Palmer Senior Center for space rental and the new CVB Gateway Visitor Center.
 - Staff reached out to the Palmer Senior Center and discussed space needs. Senior Center staff assured followup on pricing and availability, but we have not heard back after two follow-up calls and an email.- PSC let me know they would follow up after Dec 15th with options.
 - Staff reached out to the CVB about space rental. They will not have office space to rent but will have meeting space at the new center. They mention space in the Mann Dentistry building on the corner of Bogard and Seldon. Staff will follow up on this option.

 Alaska DOT offered office space at the Mat-Su District office, and we are following up on this option.

Strategic Planning

Short-Range and Tactical Planning

Long-Range Planning

Funding / Budget

Began Audit prep documentation- the firm requested significant documentation about our funding, accounting procedures, back-up documentation on expenses, and board meeting minutes from the quarterly financial reports.

Training

- Staff continue with the AMPO MTP and MPO 101 training
- GIS training to use the MSB GIS system

Transit Support

- Met with Valley Transit to discuss how MVP could support them with operations and or infrastructure
- VT asked MVP to ask Alaska DOT about changing their transit management plan to allow a provider to apply for rural and urban funding because they are in a unique situation with their service area. This discussion was postponed to the December meeting.
- Requested information from Julius Adolfsson about the change to the Transit Management Plan

TASK 200 A MSB Public Transit Planning Support

No activity

TASK 200 B Transit Development Plan

No activity

TASK 300 Asset Management Plans

No activity

TASK 300 A MVP Sign Management Plan

No activity

TASK 300 B MVP Advanced Project Definition

Requested an update on the MVP Improvement Program Scope, Schedule and Budget

TASK 300 C MVP Streetlight and Intersection Management Plan

No activity

TASK 300 D Pavement Asset Management Plan

No activity

December 2025 Staff Report

FFY25/26 UPWP Tasks

TASK 100 A UPWP

Prepared the Technical Committee agenda and packet

Task 100 B Metropolitan Transportation Plan

- Launched the MTP Public Engagement call for projects, and the interactive comment map went live
- ➤ Hosted our first MTP public open house on Dec 3rd
- Reviewed the first draft of the Level of Service report
- Reviewed the first draft of the RESPEC data-driven project list
- > Reviewed public comments on the public nomination comment map
- Drafted letter to BLM about engagement with MVP on the MTP
- Presented to the Active Transportation Coalition about the MTP
- Presented at the DOT Tribal Coordination meeting about the MTP
- > Sent emails to key stakeholders requesting to present to them about the MTP during the call for projects nomination period.

TIP / Project Scoring Criteria

Complete Streets Policy

Task 100 C TransCad Modeling

TASK 100 D Household Travel Survey

TASK 100 E Transportation Improvement Program

TASK 100 F: Update and Implementation of the Public Participation Plan and Title VI Plan

- Collected all the comments that were received during the public comment period for the MTP Vision, Goals, and Objectives and worked on a response for each
- Produced a public engagement report tracking all the public engagement/traffic on social media and our website since we launched Facebook and Instagram, and the email newsletter

TASK 100 G Support Services

Budget Management

Meetings

Staffing

Advertised the Communication/Office manager position

Correspondence

➤ Received a request from ADOT&PF to edit our MTP video. Staff discussed the issues with the Board chair and responded by declining the request. ADOT&PF offered to pay for the edits. MVP staff sent the request to the Policy Board via email, and the majority of the board responded, requesting that MVP allow ADOT&PF to make the edit.

Nonprofit Filings and Reports

Organizational Documents

Updated website

Agency Relationships

Contract Management

Met with RESPEC to coordinate with additional staff and to reach an agreement on all the deliverables, expectations, and timelines.

Requests from the Policy Board and Technical Committee directed to the staff

Strategic Planning

Purchased Smartsheets to help track all of MVP' projects and deliverables, and began populating overlapping and corresponding timelines.

Short-Range and Tactical Planning

Long-Range Planning

Funding / Budget

Began Audit prep documentation- the firm requested significant documentation about our funding, accounting procedures, back-up documentation on expenses, and board meeting minutes from the quarterly financial reports.

Training

- > Staff continue with the AMPO MTP and MPO 101 training
- GIS training to use the MSB GIS system

Transit Support

- Met with DOT and the MSB planning staff to discuss the FTA split letter
- ➤ Hosted Transit Roundtable meeting and discussed the Split letter and the Transit Stakeholder Group Open house

TASK 200 A MSB Public Transit Planning Support

No activity

TASK 200 B Transit Development Plan

No activity

TASK 300 Asset Management Plans

No activity

TASK 300 A MVP Sign Management Plan

No activity

TASK 300 B MVP Advanced Project Definition

Requested an update on the MVP Improvement Program Scope, Schedule, and Budget from Chris Bentz

TASK 300 C MVP Streetlight and Intersection Management Plan

No activity

TASK 300 D Pavement Asset Management Plan

No activity

MVP Monthly Schedule of Topics October 2025-December 2026

October 2025

- UPWP Quarterly /Final annual Report
- Interactive comment map for the MTP
- Review the Model run business as usual
- Present the MTP Goals, Objectives to TC and PB
- MVP Annual Budget Proposal to PB
- Engage an audit firm for MVPs audit and 990 prep
- Staff Evaluations
- Review and update MVP's updated Program of Projects FFY26
- Review and update STIP memo to DOT
- Develop content for the Internal call for MTP projects from MSB, cities, and tribes
- Set up an MVP Facebook, Instagram, and LinkedIn account
- Schedule first round of MTP public Involvement
- Special work Session to develop eligibility and evaluation criteria for projects

November 2025

- Finalize MTP outreach plan and content development, including stakeholder meeting, focus groups, survey, social media outreach, and news articles
- Develop MTP 101 presentation
- Review MTP 101 video draft
- Finalize and launch new MVP website
- Purchase LOGO items and prizes to give out at open house events
- Determine if there are federal lands in the MPA and schedule Formal Consultation of Federal Land Owners.
- Schedule Formal Consultation with Tribes about the MTP (December meeting)
- Schedule MSB and City Planning Commission Presentations about MTP (December)
- Alaska DOT 3c policy review and comments
- Review Travel Demand Model Run presentation with Consultant projects with TC and PB
- Internal Call for Projects and interactive map review

- Presentation about MTP Project Eligibility Criteria, Travel Demand
 Model Run presentation with Consultant projects discussion with staff
- Launch Interactive Map
- TC and PB Officer Elections
- FFY26 Meeting Schedule and Location
- Launch survey

December 2025

- · Approve the MTP Vision, Goals and Objectives
- Special TC meeting to review Existing Conditions Report, Level of Service Report, Model Report and the System Deficiency Report
- Present at the monthly DOT Tribal Coordination meeting and request for follow-up with Tribal Councils on Formal Consultation about the MTP
- Schedule MSB Assembly and City Councils Presentations about MTP
- Finalize Existing Conditions report, System Deficiency Report, Model Report, and the internal Project list and present to TC and PB for Review
- Send a letter to Federal Land Managers about MTP engagement
- Send a letter to key stakeholders about the MTP and call for projects, requesting a meeting
- Reach out to Mat-Su Delegation about MVP and our MTP call for projects
- MTP Public Involvement Open House
- Launch Public Engagement interactive comment map
- Continue social media engagement

January 2026

- Launch Formal Call for Projects
- Present to key stakeholders
- · Host a transit stakeholder meeting
- Host a bike and pedestrian stakeholder meeting
- Presentation about complete streets/link to federal regulations and plan goals to TC and PB
- Develop Carbon Reduction Program Criteria: priorities for MVPprojects need to be awarded under a competitive process

- Grandfather agreements with ADOT&PF for all the current CTP & TAP projects so that we have them prior to the TIP development
- Review TIP Funding Policy to Technical Committee and Policy Board <u>TIP policies MVP K.s. commnets.docx</u>
- Discussion on Planning Studies/ new committees bike and ped and freight that may be needed as a result of the MTP what else do we need to look at to support the building of our transportation system/infrastructure

February 2026

- Review and Approve Complete Streets Policy with TC and PB
- Review Projects Nominated
- Score Nominated Projects
- Project Review Committee Special Meeting
- Review and Approve TIP Funding Policy to Technical Committee and Policy Board <u>TIP policies MVP K.s. commnets.docx</u>

March 2026

- Public engagement to review nominated projects
- ADOT provides planning-level cost estimates for projects
- Finalize MTP Project list
- Present project list to TC and PB for approval

April 2026

- 30-day public notice about MTP projects
- Draft FFY27&28 UPWP
- Draft TIP
- Draft Fiscal Plan for MTP and TIP

May 2026

- Draft TIP and Review with TC and PB
- O&M state of the system maintenance report
- Draft Summary Fiscal Plan Report

June 2026

- Receive FFY27 PL allocations
- Approval of FFY27&28 UPWP 30-day public review
- Apply Performance Measures to MTP projects
- Fiscal Plan Summary Report Review with TC and PB

July 2026

- MTP and Complete Streets Completion
- 30-UPWP Review
- Review and Approve 30-day public comment period TIP and Review with TC and PB
- Public Event final draft project list

August 2026

 Review and Approve FFY27/28 UPWP and submit to ADOT, FHWA, and FTA

September 2026

October 2026

TIP Completion

December 2026

New MPOs should have a formally adopted MTP and TIP by **December** 29, 2026

MVP MTP VISION, GOALS, AND OBJECTIVES APPROVED

VISION STATEMENT

MatSu Valley Planning for Transportation is committed to creating a safe, efficient, and multimodal transportation system that fosters reliable and accessible options for all modes of travel, supports the economy and environment, and promotes healthy communities.

Goals and Objectives for Public Review Approved 10/22/2025

Revised Goal	Revised Objectives
Ensure transportation	/ Improve coordination between transportation planning and local land use plans to ensure consistency between transportation projects and community development patterns.
improvements align	/ Enhance multimodal connections between residential areas and employment hubs.
with local land use patterns and connect housing to employment	/ Prioritize transportation investments that maximize network efficiency based on local growth patterns.
Improve	/ Utilize data-driven safety analysis to identify high-risk locations
transportation safety	/ Increase safety education programs
for all modes	/ Reduce the number and severity of crashes at high-risk locations
Leverage all available	/ Diversify funding streams by working with local, state, federal, and tribal partners to utilize all available formula funding
funding resources	/ Increase applications for competitive grants year-over-year
	/ Educate MPO membership and the community about funding opportunities
Maintain the system in a state of good	 Utilize data-driven asset management principles and establish a preventative maintenance program Strengthen collaboration with maintenance entities to provide consistent, year-round maintenance
repair	/ Increase public outreach to identify maintenance needs
	/ Prioritize an annual allocation of funding for preservation and rehabilitation projects
Create opportunities for more diverse transportation options	 / Utilize transportation data analyses for gap and need assessments / Strengthen collaboration between transportation providers and stakeholders and increase public outreach and communication / Identify potential multimodal corridors and build infrastructure for all user groups / Support the implementation of the Transit Asset Management plan to guide investments in transit facilities
Shorten commute times and improve mobility	 Identify and remove network gaps for all modes Decrease congestion by building capacity, improving operational efficiency, and increasing transportation choices Increase connectivity for all modes
Build a resilient transportation network	 / Provide transportation solutions that enhance the natural environment / Integrate stormwater management into infrastructure design / Increase the resiliency of the transportation infrastructure to natural and manufactured hazards

Vison, Goals, and Objectives Comment Period

During the 30-day public comment period, MVP received eight comments. Five of the comments specifically addressed the vision, goals, and objectives. Two comments addressed transportation issues in general. One comment was incomplete. All comments were reviewed by MVP staff; no changes were made to the Vison, Goals, and Objectives based on the comments. All comments received are listed below, and MVP staff responded to each comment directly.

Comments specific to Vision, Goals, and Objectives received during the 30-day Vision, Goals, and Objectives period:

	Vision, Goals, and Objectives 30-Day Public Comment Log						
Date	Comment						
11/24/2025	Thank you for seeking comments on MVP's vision statement and goals related to the development of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan. My comments today are in support of the focus that MVP included in the vision document related to efficient, multimodal transportation systems.						
	Technology advancements provide individual drivers and managers of vehicle fleets with a variety of options to increase the efficiency of the individual vehicle and the overall system and improve cost and environmental performance. The growth in market demand for light-duty electric vehicles reflects consumer and fleet manager interest in cost-savings and safety. This innovative technology requires planning and specific infrastructure. I recommend MVP review the actions of Fairbanks Area Surface Transportation (FAST) related to the development of the Fairbanks and North Pole Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Deployment Plan, available here - https://fastplanning.us/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/20241125-Final-Fairbanks-and-North-Pole-EV-Plan.pdf, and the recently closed solicitation for EV charging stations, available here - https://fastplanning.us/evplan/#call-projects. I also recommend MVP coordinate with Alaska Energy Authority (AEA) and the Department of Transportation and Public Facilities (DOT&PF) on the National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure (NEVI) funding and AEA on the Alaska Rural EVSE Deployment (ARED) project funding.						
	Electrification may be a good fit for specific medium- and heavy-duty vehicle use cases, however, electrification demonstrations of heavier vehicles that have longer range requirements between fuelings has shown that electrification is not suited for all use cases. Alternative fuels beyond electrification should be considered for these energy intensive applications. While potentially outside of the transportation planning scope of MVP's immediate next steps, the local and regional production of alternative fuels will help ensure a reliable and resilient supply chain while spurring job growth and economic development. One concept that is being discussed is the development of a biorefinery at Port MacKenzie which would produce both sustainable aviation fuel (SAF) and renewable diesel (RD). DOT&PF will be finalizing its SAF study this winter. There are also opportunities for the local and regional production of renewable natural gas (RNG).						
	Market demand is growing for alternative fuels, as we have seen for EVs and SAF, and our state agencies and other MPOs are helping lower barriers and prepare infrastructure to enable consumer choice. The Alaska Clean Cities and Communities Coalition is ready to support MVP with technical assistance for alternative fuels across transportation modalities and partnership that unlocks DOE Vehicle Technology Office competitive funding for the research, development and deployment of these innovative technologies.						
, ,	I agree with the stated Goals and Objectivesthey are promising statements inclusive, and clearly specifying, "ALL" multi-modal modes. Recommend the intended/likely modes to be supported identified Of course, auto and bus modesbut what about rail? Does "ALL" encompass water or air ferry modes (less likely)? I suspect your "ALL" really means just roadsCommuter/Passenger rail between the Valley and Anchorage is a major potential playeridentifying which modes the MVP are serious about will bring transparency and clarity for future considerations.						
	Recommend SMART objectives with identified data sources and method(s) for collecting data. Right now it's unclear what the baseline data and data sources might be, whether or not future data will be available, and whether or not the objective will be quantitatively or qualitatively measured. For example, "Enhance multimodal connections between residential areas and employment hubs." Is 2050 when the objective will be measured again, or will it be measured before 2050? What does "enhance" mean? What elements are considered multimodal connections? How are residential areas and employment hubs defined? What are the data sources/collection methods? Revised objectives could look more like this: OBJ. 1) By 2040, implement at least three new multimodal connections (bike/ped path, transit stop, and/or fixed bus route) between large residential areas* and employment hubs**. *definition of large residential area (maybe >250 dwelling units w/in 1/2 mile radius?) **definition of employment hubs**. *definition of large residential area (maybe >250 dwelling units w/in 1/2 mile radius?) **definition of employment hubs**. *definition of large residential area of the mayor increase use of multimodal connections by 10% for large residential areas* connecting to employment hubs** as measured by [data collection/source]. If there's no baseline data or the data can't be quantified in some way, it might be better to chose a different objective for the goal. Some data may be directly related to the goal or objective, while other data might be more of a proxy for data that can't be collected more directly. It's important to consider the data source and collection methods carefully when lining out objectives so that the plan and progress to achieving the plan is measurable, transparent, and accountable.						
11/13/2025	What about boosting public health by making more walkable green spaces. Pro walking doesn't need to be anti car. https://www.upworthy.com/getting-pizza-in-two-suburban-neighborhoods-one-built-for-cars-the-other-for-community						
11/6/2025	In Progress						
10/27/2025	Build a Resilient Transportation Network should have a goal to work with and protect the natural environment. This would include the creation of effective animal crossings to reduce roadkill and protect human safety. Installation of lights to reduce light pollution and help maintain other animals' migration patterns. Items that would make development more harmonious with nature should be goal.						

General comments received during the 30-day Vision, Goals, and Objectives period:

	Public Comment Log				
Date	Comment				
11/21/2025	No more roundabouts. No more roads that have so many turns to cross the road, example is KGB. Let Alaskan companies construit the roads. GRANITE is a Los Angles company. Their construction is terrible and extremely slow. No more stupid designs and bottle neck roads. No more roads like the Palmer Wasilla extension by Home Depot, two lanes out of town and only one lane into town. Use co.mon sense in designing roads. Plan for the future also.				
11/16/2025	The PWH from KGB to the Parks MUST be addressed! There is room for two lanes to the Parks, get the traffic turning right onto the parks moving. There are two lanes going the opposite direction, there should be two going towards the parks				

Responses to above comments:

Response to 11/24/25 Comment:

Thank you for your comments on MVP's Vision, Goals and Objectives for our Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). We appreciate your support for efficient, multimodal transportation systems.

We recognize electric vehicle infrastructure and alternative fuels as important elements of future transportation planning. Regional governments are welcome to submit EV charging infrastructure or related facilities for consideration in the MTP planning process

As we shift into the project nomination phase of our MTP process, we also encourage you to submit additional comments on our <u>interactive comment map</u> about where you feel that EV infrastructure would be most beneficial in the Mat-Su Valley. The information collected via our interactive comment map will be used to help inform our project formulation and evaluation process.

Thank you for your continued engagement in our transportation planning process.

Response to 11/23/25 Comment:

Thank you for your thoughtful comments on MVP's Vision, Goals and Objectives for our Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). We appreciate your support for our inclusive "all modes" approach.

To clarify, "all modes" encompasses highway/roadways (automobiles, trucks, freight), public transit (bus systems), active transportation (pedestrian and bicycle facilities), rail (including potential commuter/passenger rail connections), and ports (Port MacKenzie and maritime freight).

Regarding air and ferry modes, these are less applicable to the Mat-Su Valley given our geographic context. Air service is handled at the state level through DOT&PF's Aviation Division, and ferry service does not extend to our region. However, we do consider connections to these systems where relevant, such as access to Ted Stevens Anchorage International Airport.

As we shift into the project nomination phase of our MTP process, we encourage you to submit additional comments on our <u>interactive comment map</u> about specific transportation issues or opportunities you see within our planning area. Again, thank you for your continued engagement in our transportation planning process.

Response to 11/18/25 Comment:

Thank you for your detailed comments regarding SMART objectives and measurable performance indicators for MVP's Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Vision, Goals and Objectives. We recognize the importance of clear, measurable objectives with identified data sources.

This is MVP's first MTP and must be completed by December 2026. Consistent with federal regulations, MVP will update our MTP once every five years. Each update will include a review of the Vision, Goals and Objectives.

Our vision, goals, and objectives are based on federal planning factors established at 23 U.S.C. 134(h), which address safety, infrastructure condition, congestion reduction, system reliability, freight movement, environmental sustainability, multimodal connectivity, efficient system management, land use consistency, and resiliency. Our objectives also align with federal performance measures required under the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA).

Supporting data will be documented in technical reports currently under development (Existing Conditions Report, Level of Service Report, and Travel

Demand Model Report). These will be available for public review in our MTP Draft in Fall 2026 and will provide baseline data to measure progress.

Your point about SMART objectives with quantitative targets is well taken. Given our compressed timeline and ongoing baseline data development, we're working with our Policy Board to understand pressing transportation issues, identify meaningful projects, and complete the plan to ensure continued federal funding.

More specific, quantitatively measurable objectives may be incorporated into future MTPs, benefiting from complete baseline data, established performance measurement systems, five years of data collection, and refined understanding of regional patterns.

Our current objectives will be measured through federal performance measures coordinated with Alaska DOT&PF, progress reports comparing system performance against benchmarks, and qualitative assessments of project implementation.

We have included data-driven metrics in our project evaluation criteria for the MTP. This evaluation framework provides a consistent, transparent, and data-driven approach to prioritizing investments that advance regional goals and meet federal performance-based planning requirements.

Types of evaluation criteria include:

- Quantitative/Objective measurable, data-based metrics such as safety performance or asset condition improvement.
- Qualitative/Subjective context-based assessments such as consistency with land use plans or community priorities.

Once our formal project nomination period is open, we would be happy to share the project evaluation criteria with you, so you are able to see how we are using data to make decisions about project selection.

Thank you for this constructive feedback. We welcome your continued engagement.

Response to 11/13/25 & 10/27/25 Comment: (Comments from same person)

Thank you for your thoughtful comments on MVP's Vision, Goals and Objectives for the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) regarding environmental protection, wildlife crossings, walkability, and public health and for the resource you shared We support balanced multimodal planning—our vision explicitly calls for "reliable and accessible options for all modes of travel." Projects that enhance pedestrian

connectivity, green space access, and harmonious development with nature align with our goals to create diverse transportation options, protect the environment, integrate stormwater management, and build resilient infrastructure.

As we shift into the project nomination phase of our MTP process, we encourage you to submit additional comments on our <u>interactive comment map</u> about specific transportation issues or opportunities you see within our planning area. Again, thank you for your continued engagement in our transportation planning process.

Response to 11/6/25 Comment:

I am following up because I saw a comment come in from you on our website, but it only says, 'In progress'. So, I wanted to make sure we didn't miss anything you intended to send.

I also want to invite you to our public open house on December 3rd, where we'll be sharing current system deficiencies and asking the community to review potential project nominations—as well as submit their own ideas. You can find more details about the event here: www.facebook.com/share/1AU2QKxrdL/

Additionally, our board meetings are open to the public and provide another valuable opportunity for community members like yourself to help our policymakers understand local needs and priorities. You can find information on how to attend at: www.mvpmpo.com/meetings

Thank you again for your engagement.

Response to 11/21/25 Comment:

Thank you for your comments on MVP's Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Vision, Goals and Objectives.

We appreciate your feedback on road design, construction quality, and planning for future growth. Your concerns about practical, efficient design and construction practices are noted.

As we shift into the project nomination phase of our MTP process, we encourage you to submit additional comments on our <u>interactive comment map</u> about specific transportation issues or opportunities you see within our planning area. Again, thank you for your continued engagement in our transportation planning process.

Response to 11/16/25 Comment:

Thank you for your comment about the Parks Highway from KGB to the Parks. We understand your concerns about traffic flow and capacity in this corridor.

The Parks Highway is maintained and operated by the Alaska Department of Transportation & Public Facilities (DOT&PF), and decisions about lane configuration, traffic control, and capacity improvements on state highways fall under their jurisdiction. We encourage you to share your specific concerns directly with DOT&PF, as they are responsible for operational decisions on this segment of the highway.

However, your feedback is valuable for our Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). Capacity and safety improvements on the Parks Highway corridor are important considerations in our regional planning. We invite you to mark the specific location of your concern on our <u>interactive comment map</u> so we can document this need as we evaluate projects for inclusion in our MTP.

Thank you for taking the time to share your input on this important corridor.

Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of the Vison, Goals and Objectives as presented.

Program Deep-Dives

34464

DOT&PF Fleet Conversion

The project focuses on transitioning the DOT&PF fleet to lower-emission alternatives. Work includes developing designs for the installation of electric vehicle (EV) charging stations and alternative fueling facilities, procurement of electric vehicles, and installation of the designed infrastructure. These efforts aim to reduce carbon emissions and improve operational efficiency within the DOT&PF fleet, directly supporting the Alaska Carbon Reduction Strategy through practical, construction-based initiatives.

Place Name	2024-2027 STIP Total- Including Advance
Alaska-wide	Construction Conversion
	\$6,746,731


Strategic Investment Area
Sustainability
Landscape
Alaska-wide

AC Balance EOY FY24	FY24-27 AC Conversions	\$0
\$0	Post FY27 AC Conversions	\$0

	2024-2027 STIP Funding by Phase									
FY24 P0	Y24 P0 FY24 P2 FY24 P3 FY24 P4 FY24 P7 FY24 P8 FY24 P9 FY24 ACC FY24 Planned Project Obligations FY24 STIP ID Fund Total									
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
FY25 P0	FY25 P2	FY25 P3	FY25 P4	FY25 P7	FY25 P8	FY25 P9	FY25 ACC	FY25 Planned Project Obligations	FY25 STIP ID Fund Total	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,746,731	\$0	\$6,746,731	\$6,746,731	
FY26 P0	FY26 P2	FY26 P3	FY26 P4	FY26 P7	FY26 P8	FY26 P9	FY26 ACC	FY26 Planned Project Obligations	FY26 STIP ID Fund Total	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FY27 P0	FY27 P2	FY27 P3	FY27 P4	FY27 P7	FY27 P8	FY27 P9	FY27 ACC	FY27 Planned Project Obligations	FY27 STIP ID Fund Total
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

	2024-2027 STIP Funding by Source					
FY	Fund Source	Programmed				
FY25	Carbon Reduction Program: Population <5K	\$4,147,014				
FY25	Carbon Reduction Program: Population 5-49,999K	\$1,361,559				
FY25	Carbon Reduction Program 50-200k MVP	\$636,790				
FY25	State Match	\$601,368				



Mat-Su Valley Planning (MVP) Improvements Program 2025 Project Nomination Area Map

Mat-Su Borough


- 1. Vine Road Striping
- 2. Gail Drive Mill & Pave
- 3. Mulchatna Drive Mill & Pave
- 4. Seldon Road Striping
- 5. Seward Meridian Parkway Striping
- 6. Earl Drive and Eek Street Mill & Pave
- 7. Gershmel Loop Mill & Pave
- 8. Bogard Road Striping


City of Wasilla

- 9. N. Lucille Street Mill & Pave
- 10. N. Peck Street

City of Palmer

- 11. Caribou Ave
- 12. N. Chugach Street
- 13. E. Dolphin Ave
- 14. E. Gold Key Lane





AKDOT & PF, Mat-Su District Office					
Date: May 7th, 2025 Drafted By: CRH Checked By: CLE					
SOA Project No.	CFHWY01288 27				

2025 MVP Project Nominations SSE Summary Page

April, 2025

This package includes scope, schedule, and estimates developed for projects nominated to the MVP Improvement Program for 2025 by AKDOT&PF.

Number	Project Name	Phase 2	Phase 4	Est. Ph4 Match	Total
1	Vine Road Striping - MSB	\$34,000	\$337,000	\$30,431	\$371,000
2	Gail Drive Mill & Pave - MSB	\$184,000	\$2,071,000	\$187,011	\$2,255,000
3	Mulchatna Drive Mill & Pave - MSB	\$200,000	\$2,257,000	\$203,807	\$2,457,000
4	Seldon Road Striping - MSB	\$57,000	\$571,000	\$51,561	\$628,000
5	Seward Meridian Parkway Striping - MSB	\$64,000	\$633,000	\$57,160	\$697,000
6	Earl Drive and Eek Street Mill & Pave - MSB	\$108,000	\$1,222,000	\$110,347	\$1,330,000
7	Gershmel Loop Mill & Pave - MSB	\$108,000	\$1,212,000	\$109,444	\$1,320,000
8	Bogard Road Striping - MSB	\$82,000	\$819,000	\$73,956	\$901,000
9*	N Lucille St. Mill & Pave - Wasilla - 25% Digouts	\$62,000	\$701,000	\$63,300	\$763,000
10	N Peck St - Wasilla	\$125,000	\$1,407,000	\$127,052	\$1,532,000
11	Caribou Ave - Palmer	\$7,000	\$83,000	\$7,495	\$90,000
12	N. Chugach Street - Palmer	\$14,000	\$152,000	\$13,726	\$166,000
13	E. Dolphin Ave - Palmer	\$13,000	\$148,000	\$13,364	\$161,000
14	E. Gold Key Lane -Palmer	\$12,000	\$133,000	\$12,010	\$145,000

	MSB	Wasilla	Wasilla*	Palmer	Program Cost*
Phase 2	\$837,000	\$172,000	\$187,000	\$46,000	\$1,070,000
Phase 4	\$9,122,000	\$1,934,000	\$2,108,000	\$516,000	\$11,746,000
Total	\$9,959,000	\$2,106,000	\$2,295,000	\$562,000	\$12,816,000

*NOTE: This estimate includes 25% structural digouts for the City of Wasilla's N Lucille St. Mill & Pave project. Estimate also provided for a mill & pave with no digouts.

Scope, Schedule, Estimate (SSE) Confirmation

Project Name	MSB MVP Project Totals								
DATE	4/15/2025	CATEGORY	Modernization						
NEED ID		REASON	New Project Update SSE						

PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	Total estimate	d cost for all N	ASB projects:								
	Striping Only: I	Bogard Road, S	Seldon Road, Se	ward Meridia	n Parkway, Vin	e Road					
	1R: Earl Drive a	and Eek Street	, Gershmel Loo	p, Mulchatna I	Drive, Gail Driv	re					
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design	837,000										837,000
Utilities											-
Right of Way											-
Construction			9,122,000								9,122,000
TOTAL	837,000	-	9,122,000	-	-	-	-	-		-	9,959,000

CONFIRMED SSE					
CONFIRMED SCOPE					

ENGINEERS CONFIRMED	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											-
Utilities											-
Right of Way											-
Construction											-
TOTAL	-	-	-	-							-

Scope, Schedule, Estimate (SSE) Confirmation

Project Name	Vine Road Striping								
DATE	4/15/2025	CATEGORY	Modernization						
NEED ID		REASON	New Project Update SSE						

PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	Restripe Vine F	Road MP 0-2.7	5 (Parks Highwa	y to W Hollyv	wood Rd).						
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											34,000
Utilities											-
Right of Way											-
Construction			337,000								337,000
TOTAL	34,000	-	337,000	-		-	. -			-	371,000
CONFIRMED SSE CONFIRMED SCOPE											
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design	-	10012	10013	TCUI T	i cai 3	i cui o	icai ,	10010	i cai 3	100110	
Utilities										†	-
Right of Way										†	-
Construction										1	-
TOTAL	_	-	_	-							-

MVP COST ESTIMATES

Vine Road Striping - MSB

Cost Estimate

SCOPE

April

Restripe Vine Road MP 0-2.75 (Parks Highway to W Hollywood Rd).

2025

INPUTS ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS

Assume inlaid markings.

AADT 4240 miles 2.75

Width (ft) 24.00

12 foot lanes with no shoulders

Area (SY) 38720.00 Markings Only yes Pave. Exist yes Excav. (%) 0.00% Excav. (CY) Surface Excav. (in) 0.00 D-1 Lift (in) 2.00 HMA Lift (in) 2.00 Pathway (PW) no

0.00

0.00

PW Length (ft)

PW Width (ft)

	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	0	SY	\$12.00	\$0	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	0	CY	\$15.00	\$0	
202(9)	Removal of Curb and Gutter	0	LF	\$21.00	\$0	
INSTALLATIO	NS					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	0	TON	\$15.00	\$0	
301(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	0	TON	\$35.00	\$0	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A)	HMA, Type II: Class A	0	TON	\$150.00	\$0	Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40	0	TON	\$705.00	\$0	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
401(14)	Joint Adhesive	0	LF	\$1.00	\$0	
608(6)	Curb Ramp	0	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$0	
608(17)	Detectable Warning Tile	0	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$0	
609(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	0	LF	\$80.00	\$0	
TRAFFIC CON						
670(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Req'd	\$165,000	Inlaid 60K per mile
	Roundabout MMA	0	LS	\$50,000.00	\$0	
REMOVALS +	INSTALLATIONS + TRAFFIC CONTROL					
Sum					\$165,000	
OTHER						
640	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$13,200	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
641	Erosion/Pollution Control	0	LS	All Req'd	\$0	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
642	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	0	LS	All Req'd	\$0	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
643	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$24,750	15% of pay items
CONSTRUCTION	ON SUBTOTAL					
Sum					\$202,950	
COST ESTIMA	TE SUMMARY				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP
	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$30,443	\$32,016
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Req'd	\$202,950	\$213,443
	Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$30,443	\$32,016
	Misc.		%	0.00%	\$0	\$0
	Contigency		%	25.00%	\$69,369	\$72,810
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS	3.00%	\$21,017	
Improvements:		<u> </u>	1			
	MARKINGS			Total:		\$371,000

Scope, Schedule, Estimate (SSE) Confirmation

Project Name	Gail Drive Mill and Pave with Digouts								
DATE	4/15/2025	CATEGORY	Modernization						
NEED ID		REASON	New Project						

Mill and pave Gail Drive from Lucille Street to Sands Drive with structural digouts (max. 25%)

L											
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design	184,000										184,000
Utilities											
Right of Way											
Construction			2,071,000								2,071,000
TOTAL	184,000	-	2,071,000	-	-			-		-	2,255,000
CONFIRMED SSE											
CONFIRMED SCOPE											
_											
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											
Utilities											
Right of Way											
Construction											

PLANNING SSE PROPOSED SCOPE

MVP COST ESTIMATES Gail Drive Mill & Pave - MSB

2025 **Cost Estimate April**

SCOPE

Mill and pave Gail Drive from Lucille Street to Sands Drive with structural digouts (max. 25%)

INPUTS ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS

AADT <3000

4329.60

2.00

2.00

no

0.00

0.00

Length (mi)

Width (ft) 24.00 12 foot lanes with no shoulders

17318.40 Area (SY) Markings Only no

Pave. Exist yes Excav. (%) 25.00%

Surface Excav. (in) 0.00

Excav. (CY)

D-1 Lift (in)

HMA Lift (in)

Pathway (PW)

PW Length (ft)

PW Width (ft)

3 foot excavation depth max with a max of 25% of project area

ROW must be verified - refer to ROW research map that shows potential conflict between Gwene Lane and Sands Drive. However, as work will be contained within existing roadway footprint, assume prescriptive rights will allow for this project to be completed.

Assume inlaid markings.

Drainage work not included due to ROW and utility implications, in addition to ineligibility under a 1R project according to the current HPCM.

		_		I	I	<u>-</u>
	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	17318	SY	\$12.00	\$207,821	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	4330	CY	\$15.00	\$64,944	
202(9)	Removal of Curb and Gutter	0	LF	\$21.00	\$0	
INSTALLATIO	ONS					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	8417	TON	\$15.00	\$126,251	
301(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	468	TON	\$35.00	\$16,366	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A)	HMA, Type II: Class A	1961	TON	\$150.00	\$294,196	Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40	104	TON	\$705.00	\$73,284	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
401(14)	Joint Adhesive	6494	LF	\$1.00	\$6,494	
608(6)	Curb Ramp	0	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$0	
608(17)	Detectable Warning Tile	0	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$0	
609(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	0	LF	\$80.00	\$0	
TRAFFIC CON	VTROL					
670(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Req'd	\$36,900	Assume surface markings 30K per mile
	Roundabout MMA	0	LS	\$50,000.00	\$0	
MATERIAL SU	JBTOTAL					
Sum					\$826,257	
OTHER						
640	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$66,101	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
641	Erosion/Pollution Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$49,575	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
642	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	1	LS	All Req'd	\$33,050	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
643	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$123,939	15% of pay items
CONSTRUCTI	ON SUBTOTAL					
Sum					\$1,098,922	
COST ESTIMA	TE SUMMARY				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP
	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$164,838	\$173,360
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Req'd	\$1,098,922	\$1,155,736
	Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$164,838	\$173,360
	Misc.		%	10.00%	\$109,892	\$115,574
	Contigency		%	30.00%	\$485,409	\$509,485
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS	3.00%	\$127,651	
Improvements:		I			<u>I</u>	
	PAVEMENT			Total:		\$2,255,000

Scope, Schedule, Estimate (SSE) Confirmation

Project Name	Mulchatna Drive Mill and Pave with Digouts									
DATE	4/15/2025 CATEGORY Modernization									
NEED ID		REASON	New Project Update SSE							

PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	Mill and pave N	Mulchatna Dri	ve from Lucille 9	Street to Wasi	lla Fishhook Ro	ad with struct	ural digouts (m	ax. 25%)			
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design	200,000						· cui ·				200,000
Utilities											
Right of Way											
Construction			2,257,000								2,257,000
TOTAL	200,000		2,257,000	_	_			_		_	2,457,000
TOTAL	200,000		2,237,000					_			2,437,000
CONFIRMED SSE											
CONFIRMED SCOPE											
			1 1				T =				
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											
Utilities											
Right of Way											
Construction											

MVP COST ESTIMATES

Mulchatna Drive Mill & Pave - MSB

April 2025 Cost Estimate

SCOPE

Excav. (%)

PW Width (ft)

Mill and pave Mulchatna Drive from Lucille Street to Wasilla Fishhook Road with structural digouts (max. 25%)

INPUTS ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS

AADT <3000

25.00%

0.00

Length (mi) 1.34

Width (ft) 24.00 12 foot lanes with no shoulders

Area (SY) 18867.20 Markings Only no Pave. Exist yes

3 foot excavation depth max with a max of 25% of project area

Excav. (CY) 4716.80
Surface Excav. (in) 0.00
D-1 Lift (in) 2.00
HMA Lift (in) 2.00
Pathway (PW) no
PW Length (ft) 0.00

ROW must be verified - refer to ROW research map that shows potential conflict between Hematite Drive and Camino Cilo Road alont the curve. However, as work will be contained within existing roadway footprint, assume prescriptive rights will allow for this project to be completed.

Assume inlaid markings.

Drainage work not included due to ROW and utility implications, in addition to ineligibility under a 1R project according to the current HPCM.

	W. I	1 0	*1	H. 'A C. A	TALC	B I
	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	18867	SY	\$12.00	\$226,406	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	4717	CY	\$15.00	\$70,752	
202(9)	Removal of Curb and Gutter	0	LF	\$21.00	\$0	
INSTALLATIO	NS					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	9169	TON	\$15.00	\$137,542	
301(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	509	TON	\$35.00	\$17,830	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A)	HMA, Type II: Class A	2137	TON	\$150.00	\$320,507	Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40	113	TON	\$705.00	\$79,838	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
401(14)	Joint Adhesive	7075	LF	\$1.00	\$7,075	
608(6)	Curb Ramp	0	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$0	
608(17)	Detectable Warning Tile	0	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$0	
609(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	0	LF	\$80.00	\$0	
TRAFFIC CON	TROL					
670(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Req'd	\$40,200	Assume surface markings 30K per mile
	Roundabout MMA	0	LS	\$50,000.00	\$0	
MATERIAL SU	UBTOTAL					
Sum					\$900,150	
OTHER						
640	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$72,012	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
641	Erosion/Pollution Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$54,009	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
642	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	1	LS	All Req'd	\$36,006	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
643	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$135,023	15% of pay items
CONSTRUCTION	ON SUBTOTAL					
Sum					\$1,197,199	
COST ESTIMA				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP	
	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$179,580	\$188,864
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Req'd	\$1,197,199	\$1,259,094
	Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$179,580	\$188,864
	Misc.		%	10.00%	\$119,720	\$125,909
	Contigency		%	30.00%	\$528,820	\$555,049
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS	3.00%	\$139,067	
Improvements:		1	1			
	PAVEMENT			Total:		\$2,457,000

Scope, Schedule, Estimate (SSE) Confirmation

Project Name	Seldon Road Striping								
DATE	4/15/2025 CATEGORY Modernization								
NEED ID		REASON	New Project Update SSE						

PLANNING SSE												
PROPOSED SCOPE	Restripe Seldor	Restripe Seldon Road from MP 2.18-6.0 (Church Rd. to Wasilla Fishhook Rd).										
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL	
Design											57,000	
Utilities											-	
Right of Way											-	
Construction			571,000								571,000	
TOTAL	57,000	-	571,000	-	-		-			-	628,000	
CONFIRMED SSE												
CONFIRMED SCOPE												
ENCINEEDS CONFIDMEN	V4	V2	V2	V 4	V	VC	V7	V0	V0	V10	TOTAL	
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED Design		Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL	
Utilities										+	-	
Right of Way										+	_	
Construction										+	-	

Seldon Road Striping - MSB

April 2025 Cost Estimate

SCOPE

Restripe Seldon Road from MP 2.18-6.0 (Church Rd. to Wasilla Fishhook Rd).

INPUTS

AADT 5310

miles 3.82 Width (ft) 24.00

12 foot lanes with no shoulders

Area (SY) 53785.60

Markings Only yes

Pave. Exist yes

Excav. (%) 0.00%

Excav. (CY) 0.00

Surface Excav. (in) 0.00

D-1 Lift (in) 2.00

HMA Lift (in) 2.00

no

0.00

0.00

Pathway (PW)

PW Length (ft)

PW Width (ft)

ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS

Assume inlaid markings.

ROW must be verified - refer to ROW research map that shows potential conflict with properties overlaping the roadway along most of the corridor. However, as work will be contained within existing roadway footprint, assume prescriptive rights will allow for this project to be completed.

	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
DEMONALS	11 OFK	Quantity	Unit	Omit Cost	1 Otal Cost	Nemarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	0	SY	\$12.00	\$0	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	0	CY	\$15.00	\$0	
202(9)	Removal of Curb and Gutter	0	LF	\$21.00	\$0	
INSTALLATIO	ons					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	0	TON	\$15.00	\$0	
301(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	0	TON	\$35.00	\$0	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A)	HMA, Type II: Class A	0	TON	\$150.00	\$0	Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40	0	TON	\$705.00	\$0	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
401(14)	Joint Adhesive	0	LF	\$1.00	\$0	
608(6)	Curb Ramp	0	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$0	
608(17)	Detectable Warning Tile	0	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$0	
609(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	0	LF	\$80.00	\$0	
007(2)	Care and Sauce, Type T			\$00.00		
TRAFFIC CON	TROL					
670(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Req'd	\$229,200	Inlaid 60K per mile
	Roundabout MMA	1	LS	\$50,000.00	\$50,000	
MATERIAL SU	JBTOTAL					
Sum					\$279,200	
OTHER						
640	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$22,336	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
641	Erosion/Pollution Control	0	LS	All Req'd	\$0	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
642	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	0	LS	All Req'd	\$0	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
643	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$41,880	15% of pay items
CONSTRUCTION	ON SUBTOTAL					
Sum					\$343,416	
COST ESTIMA	TE SUMMARY				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP
	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$51,512	\$54,176
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Reg'd	\$343,416	\$361,171
	Construction (1 hase 4) Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$51,512	\$54,176
	Misc.		%	0.00%	\$0	\$54,176
	Contigency		%	25.00%	\$117,380	\$123,203
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS	3.00%	\$35,563	\$123,203
2027	imation (1 car)		LEARS	3.0070	\$33,363	
Improvements:		ı	1		I	ı
	MARKINGS			Total:		\$628,000

Project Name	Seward Meridian Parky	way Stripi	ng				
DATE	4/15/2025 CATEGORY Modernization						
NEED ID		REASON	New Project	Update SSE			

PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	Restripe Sewa	rd Meridian Pa	rkway from MP	0-1 (Old Mat	tanuska to Bay	view Drive).					
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design										1	64,000
Utilities										1	-
Right of Way										1	-
Construction			633,000								633,000
TOTAL	64,000	-	633,000	-	-	-				-	697,000
CONFIRMED SSE											
CONFIRMED SCOPE											
			I I		T =	1	T =	T	T	T	
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED		Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design Utilities										 	-
Right of Way										 	-
Construction										+	-
CONSTRUCTION								1			_

Seward Meridian Parkway Striping - MSB

April 2025 Cost Estimate

4 lanes with turn lane

SCOPE

Restripe Seward Meridian Parkway from MP 0-1 (Old Matanuska to Bayview Drive).

INPUTS ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS

Assume inlaid markings.

AADT 11800
miles 5.16 Length adjusted by a multiple of 5.16 to account for 4 lanes rather than 2 lanes

Width (ft) 60.00
Area (SY) 181632.00
Markings Only yes
Pave. Exist yes
Excav. (%) 0.00%
Excav. (CY) 0.00
Surface Excav. (in) 0.00

D-1 Lift (in) 2.00 HMA Lift (in) 2.00 Pathway (PW) no PW Length (ft) 0.00 PW Width (ft) 0.00

	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	0	SY	\$12.00	\$0	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	0	CY	\$15.00	\$0	
202(9)	Removal of Curb and Gutter	0	LF	\$21.00	\$0	
INSTALLATIO	ONS					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	0	TON	\$15.00	\$0	
301(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	0	TON	\$35.00	\$0	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A)	HMA, Type II: Class A	0	TON	\$150.00	\$0	Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40	0	TON	\$705.00	\$0	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
401(14)	Joint Adhesive	0	LF	\$1.00	\$0	
608(6)	Curb Ramp	0	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$0	
608(17)	Detectable Warning Tile	0	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$0	
609(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	0	LF	\$80.00	\$0	
TRAFFIC CON	TROL					
670(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Req'd	\$309,600	Inlaid 60K per mile
070(10)	Roundabout MMA	0	LS	\$50,000.00	\$307,000	iniaid took per inite
	Roundabout WIVIA	O O	Lo	\$30,000.00	\$0	
REMOVALS +	INSTALLATIONS + TRAFFIC CONTROL					
Sum					\$309,600	
OTHER						
640	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$24,768	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
641	Erosion/Pollution Control	0	LS	All Req'd	\$0	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
642	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	0	LS	All Req'd	\$0	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
643	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$46,440	15% of pay items
CONSTRUCTION	ON SUBTOTAL					
Sum					\$380,808	
COST ESTIMA	TE SUMMARY				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP
	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$57,121	\$60,074
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Req'd	\$380,808	\$400,496
	Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$57,121	\$60,074
	Misc.		%	0.00%	\$0	\$0
	Contigency		%	25.00%	\$130,161	\$136,617
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS		\$39,436	\$150,017
Improvements:						_
1	MARKINGS			Total:		\$697,000

Project Name	Earl Drive and Eek Street Mill a	Earl Drive and Eek Street Mill and Pave with Digouts									
DATE	4/15/2025	CATEGORY	Modernization								
NEED ID		REASON	New Project Update SSE								

PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	Mill and pave addition of two		Eek Street from	Bogard Road	to Finger Lake	Elementary Sc	hool with digo	uts (max. 25%)	. Include path	way mill and pa	ve and
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design	108,000										108,000
Utilities											-
Right of Way											-
Construction			1,222,000								1,222,000
TOTAL	108,000	-	1,222,000	-	-	-	-			-	1,330,000
CONFIRMED SSE											
CONFIRMED SCOPE											
ENGINEERS CONFIRME	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design			1 33. 3								
Utilities			1								
Pight of May											_

Earl Drive and Eek Street Mill & Pave - MSB

April 2025 Cost Estimate

SCOPE

Mill and pave Earl Drive and Eek Street from Bogard Road to Finger Lake Elementary School with digouts (max. 25%). Include pathway mill and pave and addition of two curb ramps.

INPUTS	ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS

AADT <3000

Assume inlaid markings.

Length (mi) 0.55

Width (ft) 24.00 12 foot lanes with no shoulders

Area (SY) 7744.00

Markings Only no

Pave. Exist yes
Excav. (%) 25.00%

(%) 25.00% 3 foot excavation depth max with a max of 25% of project area

ROW must be verified - refer to ROW research map that shows potential conflict. However, as work will be contained within existing roadway footprint, assume prescriptive rights will allow for this project to be completed.

Recommend 3R project for this corridor due to poor

condition of roadway.

Excav. (CY) 1936.00 Surface Excav. (in) 2.00

D-1 Lift (in) 2.00 HMA Lift (in) 2.00

Pathway (PW) yes Paved

PW Length (ft) 2904.00 Full length of Corridor

PW Width (ft) 8.00 8ft pathway

					I	
	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	10325	SY	\$12.00	\$123,904	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	2079	CY	\$15.00	\$31,191	
202(9)	Removal of Curb and Gutter	30	LF	\$21.00	\$630	
INSTALLATIO	ONS					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	4042	TON	\$15.00	\$60,636	
301(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	488	TON	\$35.00	\$17,076	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A)	HMA, Type II: Class A	1169	TON	\$150.00	\$175,402	Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40	62	TON	\$705.00	\$43,693	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
401(14)	Joint Adhesive	2904	LF	\$1.00	\$2,904	
608(6)	Curb Ramp	2	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$10,000	
608(17)	Detectable Warning Tile	2	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$5,500	
609(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	0	LF	\$80.00	\$0	
TRAFFIC CON	TROL					
670(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Req'd	\$16,500	Assume surface markings 30K per mile
	Roundabout MMA	0	LS	\$50,000.00	\$0	
MATERIAL SU	JBTOTAL					
Sum					\$487,434	
OTHER						
640	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$38,995	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
641	Erosion/Pollution Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$29,246	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
642	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	1	LS	All Req'd	\$19,497	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
643	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$73,115	15% of pay items
CONCERNICE	ON GUIDEOU I					
CONSTRUCTION Sum	ON SUBTOTAL				\$648,288	
Sum					\$048,288	
COST ESTIMA	TE SUMMARY				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP
COSTESTIMA	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$97,243	\$102,271
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Reg'd	\$648,288	\$681,804
	Construction (Thase 4) Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$97,243	\$102,271
	Misc.		%	10.00%	\$64,829	\$68,180
	Contigency		%	30.00%	\$286,358	\$300,561
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS		\$75,305	\$300,501
2027	(1 viii)	1 -	LAKS	5.0070	Ψ15,505	
Improvements:		1	1		<u> </u>	I
T	PAVEMENT			Total:		\$1,330,000
				ı vtalı		Ψ1,000,000

Project Name	Gershmel Loop Mill and Pa	Gershmel Loop Mill and Pave with Digouts									
DATE	4/15/2025 CATEGORY Modernization										
NEED ID		REASON	New Project Update SSE								

PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	Mill and pave of	Gershmel from	n Fireweed Road	d to Fireweed	Road with stru	ctural digouts	(max. 25%)				
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design	108,000										108,000
Utilities											
Right of Way											
Construction			1,212,000								1,212,000
TOTAL	108,000	-	1,212,000	-	-	-	-			-	1,320,000
CONFIRMED SSE CONFIRMED SCOPE											
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											
Utilities											
Right of Way											
Construction											

Gershmel Loop Mill & Pave - MSB

Cost Estimate

SCOPE

April

Mill and pave Gershmel from Fireweed Road to Fireweed Road with structural digouts (max. 25%)

INPUTS
AADT Assume <3000

2025

ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS

Assume inlaid markings.

Length (mi) 0.72

Width (ft) 24.00

12 foot lanes with no shoulders

Area (SY) 10137.60 Markings Only no

Pave. Exist yes

Excav. (%) 25.00% 3 foot excavation depth max with a max of 25% of project area

Excav. (CY) 2534.40 Surface Excav. (in) 0.00 D-1 Lift (in) 2.00

HMA Lift (in) 2.00
Pathway (PW) no
PW Length (ft) 0.00
PW Width (ft) 0.00

ROW must be verified - refer to ROW research map that shows potential conflict at the intersection with Homung

Spur Road and the beginning of Gershmel Loop.

However, as work will be contained within existing roadway footprint, assume prescriptive rights will allow for this project to be completed.

Drainage work not included due to ROW and utility implications, in addition to ineligibility under a 1R project according to the current HPCM.

			3	ı	I	
	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	10138	SY	\$12.00	\$121,651	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	2534	CY	\$15.00	\$38,016	
202(9)	0	LF	\$21.00	\$0		
INSTALLATION	NS					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	4927	TON	\$15.00	\$73,903	
301(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	274	TON	\$35.00	\$9,580	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A)	HMA, Type II: Class A	1148	TON	\$150.00	\$172,212	Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40	61	TON	\$705.00	\$42,898	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
401(14)	Joint Adhesive	3802	LF	\$1.00	\$3,802	
608(6)	Curb Ramp	0	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$0	
608(17)	Detectable Warning Tile	0	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$0	
609(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	0	LF	\$80.00	\$0	
TRAFFIC CONT	TROL					
670(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Reg'd	\$21,600	Assume surface markings 30K per mile
.,.(,)	Roundabout MMA	0	LS	\$50,000.00	\$0	
MATERIAL SUI	BTOTAL					
Sum					\$483,663	
OTHER						
640	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$38,693	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
641	Erosion/Pollution Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$29,020	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
642	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	1	LS	All Req'd	\$19,347	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
643	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$72,549	15% of pay items
CONSTRUCTIO	ON SUBTOTAL					
Sum					\$643,271	
COST ESTIMAT	TE SUMMARY				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP
	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$96,491	\$101,479
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Req'd	\$643,271	\$676,528
	Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$96,491	\$101,479
	Misc.		%	10.00%	\$64,327	\$67,653
	Contigency		%	30.00%	\$284,142	\$298,235
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS	3.00%	\$74,723	
Improvements:		1	1			
	PAVEMENT			Total:		\$1,320,000

Project Name	Bogard Road Striping									
DATE	4/15/2025 CATEGORY Modernization									
NEED ID		REASON	New Project Update SSE							

								0		0 1	
PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	Restripe Bogar	d Road MP 0-	4 from Glenn Hig	ghway to Trui	nk Road.						
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design		TCui Z	Tear 5	TCui 4	Tear 5	Tear o	TCal 7	Teal o	Tear 5	Tear 10	82,000
Utilities										+	-
Right of Way										+	-
Construction			819,000							+	819,000
TOTAL			819,000	-						-	901,000
CONFIRMED SSE											
CONFIRMED SCOPE											
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED		Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											-
Utilities											-
Right of Way										 	-
Construction											-
TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-						-

Bogard Road Striping - MSB

April 2025 Cost Estimate

SCOPE

AADT

Restripe Bogard Road MP 0-4 from Glenn Highway to Trunk Road.

MSB Project ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS

Assume inlaid markings.

Length (mi) 5.00 plus 1 mile for 4 lane section
Width (ft) 24.00 12 foot lanes with no shoulders
Area (SY) 70400.00

Marking Only yes

6760

Markings Only yes Pave. Exist yes Excav. (%) 0.00% Excav. (CY) Surface Excav. (in) 0.00 D-1 Lift (in) 2.00 HMA Lift (in) 2.00 Pathway (PW) no PW Length (ft) 0.00

PW Width (ft)

						<u></u>
	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	0	SY	\$12.00	\$0	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	0	CY	\$15.00	\$0	
202(9)	Removal of Curb and Gutter	0	LF	\$21.00	\$0	
INSTALLATIO	NS					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	0	TON	\$15.00	\$0	
301(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	0	TON	\$35.00	\$0	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A)	HMA, Type II: Class A	0	TON	\$150.00	\$0	Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40	0	TON	\$705.00	\$0	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
401(14)	Joint Adhesive	0	LF	\$1.00	\$0	
608(6)	Curb Ramp	0	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$0	
608(17)	Detectable Warning Tile	0	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$0	
609(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	0	LF	\$80.00	\$0	
TRAFFIC CON	TROI					
670(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Reg'd	\$300,000	Inlaid 60K per mile
070(10)	Roundabout MMA	2	LS	\$50,000.00	\$100,000	imaid ook per inne
	Roundabout WIVIA	2	LS	\$50,000.00	\$100,000	
MATERIAL SU	BTOTAL					
Sum					\$400,000	
OTHER						
640	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$32,000	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
641	Erosion/Pollution Control	0	LS	All Req'd	\$0	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
642	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	0	LS	All Req'd	\$0	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
643	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$60,000	15% of pay items
CONSTRUCTION	ON SUBTOTAL					
Sum					\$492,000	
COST ESTIMA	TE SUMMARY				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP
	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$73,800	\$77,615
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Reg'd	\$492,000	\$517,436
	Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$73,800	\$77,615
	Misc.		%	0.00%	\$0	\$0
	Contigency		%	25.00%	\$168,167	\$177,000
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS		\$50,980	
Improvements:						
pro vemento.	MARKINGS			Total:		\$901,000
						,

Project Name	Wasilla MVP Proje	ect Totals	
DATE	4/15/2025	CATEGORY	Modernization
NEED ID		REASON	New Project Update SSE

PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	Total estimate N Lucille St. an		R Wasilla projec	ts:							
	*Note: Assumo	e 25% digouts	for both project	S.							
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											187,000
Utilities											-
Right of Way											-
Construction			2,108,000								2,108,000
TOTAL	187,000	-	2,108,000	-	-		-			-	2,295,000
CONFIRMED SSE											
CONFIRMED SCOPE											
			1		•	1	1	1		ı	
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED		Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											-
Utilities											-
Right of Way											-
Construction											-

Project Name	N Lucille Street Mill	N Lucille Street Mill and Pave									
DATE	4/15/2025	CATEGORY	Modernization								
NEED ID	F	REASON	New Project Update SSE								

PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	Mill and pave I	N. Lucille St. f	rom Parks Highv	way to E/W N	elson Ave. No s	tructural digo	uts.				
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design	47,000										47,000
Utilities											
Right of Way											
Construction			527,000								527,000
TOTAL	47,000	-	527,000		-		•		-	-	574,000
CONFIRMED SSE											
CONFIRMED SCOPE											
					,	_	,		·	,	_
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED		Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											
Utilities											,
Right of Way											
Construction			1		1	1	1	1	1	1	

N Lucille St. Mill & Pave - Wasilla - No Structural Digouts

2025 **Cost Estimate** April

SCOPE

Mill and pave N. Lucille St. from Parks Highway to E/W Nelson Ave. No structural digouts.

INPUTS AADT

6660

Length (mi) 0.27 1450 ft Width (ft) 36.00 12 foot lanes with no shoulders with turn pockets

Area (SY) 5786.88 Markings Only no

Existing Pavement yes

Excav. (%) 0.00% Excav. (CY) 0.00

3 foot excavation depth max with a max of 25% of project area

Surface Excav. (in) 0.00 D-1 Lift (in) 2.00 HMA Lift (in) 2.00

Pathway (PW) no

PW Length (ft) Full length of Corridor 0.00

PW Width (ft) 0.00 8ft pathway

ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS

Assume no utility conflicts.

ROW must be verified - refer to ROW research map that shows potential conflict at NE quadrant of Swanson Ave. intersection. However, as work will be contained within existing roadway footprint, assume prescriptive rights will allow for this project to be completed.

	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	5787	SY	\$12.00	\$69,443	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	0	CY	\$15.00	\$0	
202(9)	Removal of Curb and Gutter	0	LF	\$21.00	\$0	
INSTALLATIO	NS					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	0	TON	\$15.00	\$0	
301(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	0	TON	\$35.00	\$0	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A)	HMA, Type II: Class A	655	TON	\$150.00	\$98,305	Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40	35	TON	\$705.00	\$24,488	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
401(14)	Joint Adhesive	1447	LF	\$1.00	\$1,447	
608(6)	Curb Ramp	0	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$0	
608(17)	Detectable Warning Tile	0	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$0	
609(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	0	LF	\$80.00	\$0	
TRAFFIC CON	TROL					
670(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Req'd	\$16,440	Inlaid 60K per mile
	Roundabout MMA	0	LS	\$50,000.00	\$0	·
MATERIAL SU	BTOTAL					
Sum					\$210,122	
OTHER						
640	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$16,810	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
641	Erosion/Pollution Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$12,607	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
642	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	1	LS	All Req'd	\$8,405	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
643	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$31,518	15% of pay items
CONSTRUCTION	ON SUBTOTAL					
Sum					\$279,462	
COST ESTIMA	TE SUMMARY				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP
	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$41,919	\$44,086
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Req'd	\$279,462	\$293,910
	Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$41,919	\$44,086
	Misc.		%	10.00%	\$27,946	\$29,391
	Contigency		%	30.00%	\$123,442	\$129,565
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS	3.00%	\$32,462	
Improvements:		l	1		l	1
	PAVEMENT			Total:	\$574,000	

Project Name	N Lucille Street Mill and Pa	N Lucille Street Mill and Pave with Digouts										
DATE	4/15/2025	CATEGORY	Modernization									
NEED ID		REASON	New Project Update SSE									

PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	Mill and pave N	N. Lucille St. fr	om Parks Highv	vay to E/W Ne	lson Ave with	structural digo	uts (max. 25%)				
DI ANINUNIO ESTIMATE			l v 2			١ ٧ ٥				T v 40	
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1 62,000	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design Utilities	· .										62,000
Right of Way	-										
Construction	-		701,000								701,000
TOTAL			701,000					. ,		-	763,000
	52,555		102,000								100,000
CONFIRMED SSE											
CONFIRMED SCOPE											
CONFIRMED SCOPE											
	<u> </u>										
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											-
Utilities											-
Right of Way											-
Construction				·							

N Lucille St. Mill & Pave - Wasilla - 25% Digouts

2025 **Cost Estimate** April

SCOPE

Mill and pave N. Lucille St. from Parks Highway to E/W Nelson Ave with structural digouts (max. 25%).

INPUTS

ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS

Assume no utility conflicts.

AADT 6660

0.27

Length (mi) 1450 ft Width (ft) 36.00 12 foot lanes with no shoulders with turn pockets

Area (SY) 5786.88

Markings Only no

Pave. Exist yes

Excav. (%) 25.00%

3 foot excavation depth max with a max of 25% of project area

Excav. (CY) 1446.72 Surface Excav. (in) 0.00

D-1 Lift (in) 2.00 HMA Lift (in) 2.00

Pathway (PW) no

PW Length (ft) Full length of Corridor 0.00

Paved

PW Width (ft) 0.00 8ft pathway

ROW must be verified - refer to ROW research map that shows potential conflict at NE quadrant of Swanson Ave. intersection. However, as work will be contained within existing roadway footprint, assume prescriptive rights will allow for this project to be completed.

	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	5787	SY	\$12.00	\$69,443	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	1447	CY	\$15.00	\$21,701	
202(9)	Removal of Curb and Gutter	0	LF	\$21.00	\$0	
NSTALLATIO	ONS					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	2812	TON	\$15.00	\$42,186	
601(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	156	TON	\$35.00	\$5,469	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
		655	TON			Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
01(1A) 01(4)	HMA, Type II: Class A	35	TON	\$150.00 \$705.00	\$98,305 \$24,488	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
01(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40 Joint Adhesive	1447	LF	\$1.00	\$1,447	Assume 3.3% Est. Factor
01(14)	Curb Ramp	0	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$1,447	
. ,	Detectable Warning Tile	0	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$0 \$0	
08(17) 09(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	0	LF	\$2,730.00	\$0 \$0	
09(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1		LI	\$60.00	\$0	
RAFFIC CON	VTROL	1				
70(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Req'd	\$16,440	Inlaid 60K per mile
	Roundabout MMA	0	LS	\$50,000.00	\$0	
IATERIAL SU	JBTOTAL					
um					\$279,477	
THER						
40	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$22,358	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
41	Erosion/Pollution Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$16,769	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
42	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	1	LS	All Req'd	\$11,179	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
43	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$41,922	15% of pay items
ONSTRUCTI	ON SUBTOTAL					
bum					\$371,705	
COST ESTIMA	ATE SUMMARY				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP
	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$55,756	\$58,638
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Req'd	\$371,705	\$390,922
	Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$55,756	\$58,638
	Misc.		%	10.00%	\$37,170	\$39,092
	Contigency		%	30.00%	\$164,187	\$172,331
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS	3.00%	\$43,177	
mprovements:						
•	PAVEMENT			Total:	\$763,000	
					,	

Project Name	N Peck Street Mill and Pa	N Peck Street Mill and Pave with Digouts										
DATE	4/15/2025 CATEGORY Modernization											
NEED ID		REASON	New Project Update SSE									

Mill and pave I	N. Peck from E	Bogard Rd. to	N Wasilla Fish	hook Rd. with o	digouts (max. 2	5%).				
Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
125,000										125,000
										-
										-
										1,407,000
125,000	-	1,407,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,532,000
Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
										-
										-
										-
										-
	Year 1 125,000 125,000	Year 1	Year 1	Year 1	Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 125,000 1,407,000 - - - 125,000 - 1,407,000 - - -	Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 125,000 1,407,000 - </td <td>125,000</td> <td>Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 125,000 1,407,000 -</td> <td>Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 125,000 1,407,000 -</td> <td>Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 Year 10 125,000 1,407,000<</td>	125,000	Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 125,000 1,407,000 -	Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 125,000 1,407,000 -	Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 Year 10 125,000 1,407,000<

N Peck St - Wasilla

2025 **Cost Estimate** April

SCOPE

Mill and pave N. Peck from E Bogard Rd. to N Wasilla Fishhook Rd. with digouts (max. 25%).

ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS INPUTS

Assume no ROW or utility conflicts.

AADT 3640

miles 0.80

Width (ft)

12 foot lanes with no shoulders 24.00

Area (SY) 11264.00

Markings Only no

Pave. Exist yes

Excav. (%) 25.00% Excav. (CY)

2816.00

3 foot excavation depth max with a max of 25% of project area

Surface Excav. (in) 0.00 D-1 Lift (in) 2.00 2.00

HMA Lift (in) Pathway (PW) no

PW Length (ft) 0.00 Full length of Corridor

PW Width (ft) 0.00 8ft pathway

	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	11264	SY	\$12.00	\$135,168	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	2816	CY	\$15.00	\$42,240	
202(9)	Removal of Curb and Gutter	0	LF	\$21.00	\$0	
INSTALLATION	NS					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	5474	TON	\$15.00	\$82,115	
301(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	304	TON	\$35.00	\$10,644	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A)	HMA, Type II: Class A	1276	TON	\$150.00	\$191,347	Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40	68	TON	\$705.00	\$47,665	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
401(14)	Joint Adhesive	4224	LF	\$1.00	\$4,224	
608(6)	Curb Ramp	C	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$0	
608(17)	Detectable Warning Tile	C	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$0	
609(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	C	LF	\$80.00	\$0	
TRAFFIC CONT	TROL					
670(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Req'd	\$48,000	Inlaid 60K per mile
	Roundabout MMA	C	LS	\$50,000.00	\$0	
MATERIAL SUI	BTOTAL					
Sum					\$561,403	
OTHER						
640	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$44,912	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
641	Erosion/Pollution Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$33,684	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
642	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	1	LS	All Req'd	\$22,456	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
643	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$84,210	15% of pay items
CONSTRUCTIO	ON SUBTOTAL					
Sum					\$746,666	
COST ESTIMAT	TE SUMMARY				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP
	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$112,000	\$117,790
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Req'd	\$746,666	\$785,268
	Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$112,000	\$117,790
	Misc.		%	10.00%	\$74,667	\$78,527
	Contigency		%	30.00%	\$329,813	\$346,171
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS	3.00%	\$86,733	
Improvements:		<u>I</u>	1		l .	
	PAVEMENT			Total:	\$1,532,000	

Project Name	Palmer MVP Project Totals								
DATE	4/15/2025 CATEGORY Modernization								
NEED ID		REASON	New Project Update SSE						

PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	Total estimate	d cost for all P	almer projects:								
	Gravel to black	:: Caribou Ave	, E Delphin Ave,	E Gold Key Ln	, and N Chuga	ch St.					
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design	46,000										46,000
Utilities											-
Right of Way											-
Construction			516,000								516,000
TOTAL	46,000	-	516,000	-	-	-	-	•		-	562,000
CONFIRMED SSE											
CONFIRMED SCOPE											
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											-
Utilities											-
Right of Way											-
Construction							<u> </u>			<u> </u>	

Project Name	Caribou Avenue								
DATE	4/15/2025 CATEGORY Modernization								
NEED ID		REASON	New Project Update SSE						

1122313							112713011	e	. roject	O opadic 331	•
PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	New pavemen	t (gravel to bla	nck) on Caribou	Ave from N Al	aska St. to N B	onanza St. Ren	nove 2 inch sur	face course, re	place with 2 in	ich D1 and 2 inc	h HMA.
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design	7,000										7,000
Utilities											
Right of Way											
Construction			83,000								83,000
TOTAL	13,000	-	83,000	-	-			-		-	96,000
CONFIRMED SSE											
CONFIRMED SCOPE											
·											
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											•
Utilities											
Right of Way											•

Caribou Ave - Palmer

April 2025

Cost Estimate

SCOPE

New pavement (gravel to black) on Caribou Ave from N Alaska St. to N Bonanza St. Remove 2 inch surface course, replace with 2 inch D1 and 2 inch HMA.

INPUTS

ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS

Assume no utility or ROW conflicts with project or construction.

AADT <1000

Length (mi) 0.064 340 ft Width (ft) 24.00 12 foot lanes with no shoulders

Area (SY) 901.12 Markings Only no Existing Pavement no

Excav. (%) 0.00%
Excav. (CY) 0.00
Surface Excav. (in) 2.00

2 inch surface course removal

D-1 Lift (in) 2.00 HMA Lift (in) 2.00

Pathway (PW) no Paved

PW Length (ft) 0.00 PW Width (ft) 0.00

	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	0	SY	\$12.00	\$0	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	50	CY	\$15.00	\$751	
202(9)	Removal of Curb and Gutter	0	LF	\$21.00	\$0	
. ,				·		
INSTALLATIC	ONS					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	0	TON	\$15.00	\$0	
301(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	97	TON	\$35.00	\$3,406	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A)	HMA, Type II: Class A	102	TON	\$150.00	\$15,308	Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40	5	TON	\$705.00	\$3,813	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
401(14)	Joint Adhesive	338	LF	\$1.00	\$338	
608(6)	Curb Ramp	0	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$0	
608(17)	Detectable Warning Tile	0	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$0	
609(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	0	LF	\$80.00	\$0	
. ,	. 21			·		
TRAFFIC CON	NTROL					
670(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Req'd	\$1,920	Assume surface markings at 30K per mile
	Roundabout MMA	0	LS	\$50,000.00	\$0	
MATERIAL SU	JBTOTAL					
Sum					\$25,536	
OTHER						
640	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$10,000	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
641	Erosion/Pollution Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$3,000	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
642	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	1	LS	All Req'd	\$1,500	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
643	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$3,830	15% of pay items
	ON SUBTOTAL					
Sum					\$43,866	
COST ESTIMA	ATE SUMMARY				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP
	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$6,580	\$6,920
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Req'd	\$43,866	\$46,134
	Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$6,580	\$6,920
	Misc.		%	10.00%	\$4,387	\$4,613
	Contigency	_	%	30.00%	\$19,376	\$20,337
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS	3.00%	\$5,096	
Improvements:						
	PAVEMENT			Total:	\$90,000	
					,	

Project Name	N Chugach Str	N Chugach Street								
DATE	4/15/2025	4/15/2025 CATEGORY Modernization								
NEED ID		REASON	○ New Project ○ Update SSE							

PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	New pavement	on N. Chuga	ch St. from E Bea	ever Ave to E	Caribou Ave. F	Remove 2 inch	surface course	, replace with 2	2 inch D1 and 2	inch HMA.	
					_	_	,	1	_		
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design	14,000										14,000
Utilities											
Right of Way											
Construction			152,000								152,000
TOTAL	14,000	-	152,000	-	-		-			-	166,000
CONFIRMED SSE											
CONFIRMED SCOPE											
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											
Utilities											
Right of Way											
Construction		•									

N. Chugach Street - Palmer

2025 **Cost Estimate** April

SCOPE

New pavement on N. Chugach St. from E Beaver Ave to E Caribou Ave. Remove 2 inch surface course, replace with 2 inch D1 and 2 inch HMA.

INPUTS

ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS

Assume no utility or ROW conflicts with project or construction.

AADT <1000

Length (mi) 0.142 750 ft Width (ft) 24.00

Area (SY) 1999.36 12 foot lanes with no shoulders

Markings Only no

Existing Pavement no Excav. (%) 0.00%

Excav. (CY) Surface Excav. (in) 2.00

2 inch surface course removal

D-1 Lift (in) 2.00

HMA Lift (in) 2.00 Pathway (PW) no

Paved

PW Length (ft) 0.00 PW Width (ft) 0.00

	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	0	SY	\$12.00	\$0	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	111	CY	\$15.00	\$1,666	
202(9)	Removal of Curb and Gutter	0	LF	\$21.00	\$0	
INSTALLATIO	NS					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	0	TON	\$15.00	\$0	
301(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	216	TON	\$35.00	\$7,558	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A)	HMA, Type II: Class A	226	TON	\$150.00	\$33,964	Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A) 401(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40	12	TON	\$705.00	\$8,460	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
401(4)	Joint Adhesive	750	LF	\$1.00	\$750	Assume 3.3 % Est. Factor
608(6)	Curb Ramp	0	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$0	
608(17)	Detectable Warning Tile	0	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$0 \$0	
609(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	0	LF	\$2,730.00	\$0 \$0	
007(2)	Cure and Gamer, Type I	·	2,	\$00.00		
TRAFFIC CON	TROL					
670(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Req'd	\$4,260	Assume surface markings at 30K per mile
	Roundabout MMA	0	LS	\$50,000.00	\$0	
MATERIAL SU	BTOTAL					
Sum					\$56,658	
OTHER						
640	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$10,000	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
641	Erosion/Pollution Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$3,400	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
642	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	1	LS	All Req'd	\$2,266	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
643	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$8,499	15% of pay items
CONSTRUCTIO	ON SUBTOTAL					
Sum					\$80,823	
COST ESTIMA	TE SUMMARY				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP
	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$12,123	\$12,750
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Req'd	\$80,823	\$85,001
	Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$12,123	\$12,750
	Misc.		%	10.00%	\$8,082	\$8,500
	Contigency		%	30.00%	\$35,700	\$37,471
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS	3.00%	\$9,388	4.,
Improvements:						_
•	PAVEMENT			Total:	\$166,000	

Project Name	E Dolphin Ave	nue							
DATE	4/15/2025	4/15/2025 CATEGORY Modernization							
NEED ID		REASON	New Project Update SSE						

PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	New pavement	t on E. Dolphii	n Ave from N Va	lley Way to N	Gulkana St. Re	move 2 inch s	urface course,	replace with 2	inch D1 and 2 i	nch HMA.	
-					1		T	1		1	ı
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design	13,000										13,000
Utilities											•
Right of Way											
Construction			148,000								148,000
TOTAL	13,000		148,000	-	-		-	-		-	161,000
CONFIRMED SSE											
CONFIRMED SCOPE											
•											
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											
Utilities							_				
Right of Way											
Construction		•									

E. Dolphin Ave - Palmer

Cost Estimate

April SCOPE

New pavement on E. Dolphin Ave from N Valley Way to N Gulkana St. Remove 2 inch surface course, replace with 2 inch D1 and 2 inch HMA.

INPUTS

AADT <1000 Length (mi) 0.137 725 ft

2025

Width (ft) 24.00 12 foot lanes with no shoulders

Area (SY) 1928.96 Markings Only no

Existing Pavement no
Excav. (%) 0.00%
Excav. (CY) 0.00

Surface Excav. (in) 2.00 2 inch surface course removal

D-1 Lift (in) 2.00 HMA Lift (in) 2.00

Pathway (PW) no Paved

PW Length (ft) 0.00 PW Width (ft) 0.00

ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS

ROW must be verified - refer to ROW research map that shows potential conflict with private properties along the North side. However, as work will be contained within existing roadway footprint, assume prescriptive rights will allow for this project to be completed.

	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	0	SY	\$12.00	\$0	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	107	CY	\$15.00	\$1,607	
202(9)	Removal of Curb and Gutter	0	LF	\$21.00	\$0	
INSTALLATIO	ONS					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	0	TON	\$15.00	\$0	
301(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	208	TON	\$35.00	\$7,291	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A)	HMA, Type II: Class A	218	TON	\$150.00	\$32,768	Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40	12	TON	\$705.00	\$8,163	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
401(14)	Joint Adhesive	723	LF	\$1.00	\$723	
608(6)	Curb Ramp	0	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$0	
608(17)	Detectable Warning Tile	0	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$0	
609(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	0	LF	\$80.00	\$0	
TRAFFIC CON	TROL					
670(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Req'd	\$4,110	Assume surface markings at 30K per mile
	Roundabout MMA	0	LS	\$50,000.00	\$0	
MATERIAL SU	UBTOTAL					
Sum					\$54,663	
OTHER						
640	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$10,000	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
641	Erosion/Pollution Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$3,280	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
642	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	1	LS	All Req'd	\$2,187	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
643	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$8,200	15% of pay items
CONSTRUCTION	ON SUBTOTAL					
Sum					\$78,329	
COST ESTIMA	TE SUMMARY				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP
	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$11,749	\$12,35
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Req'd	\$78,329	\$82,37
	Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$11,749	\$12,35
	Misc.		%	10.00%	\$7,833	\$8,23
	Contigency		%	30.00%	\$34,599	\$36,31
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS	3.00%	\$9,099	
Improvements:						
	PAVEMENT			Total:	\$161,000	

Project Name	E Gold Key La	ane	
DATE	4/15/2025	CATEGORY	Modernization
NEED ID		REASON	New Project Update SSE

	_										
PLANNING SSE											
PROPOSED SCOPE	New pavement	t on E. Gold Ke	ey Ln from N Val	lley Way to N	Gulkana St. Re	move 2 inch su	ırface course, r	eplace with 2 i	nch D1 and 2 i	nch HMA.	
PLANNING ESTIMATE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design	12,000										12,000
Utilities											
Right of Way											
Construction			133,000								133,000
TOTAL	12,000	-	133,000	-	-		-	-	-	-	145,000
CONFIRMED SSE											
CONFIRMED SCOPE											
ENGINEERS CONFIRMED	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	TOTAL
Design											
Utilities											
Right of Way											
Construction											

E. Gold Key Lane -Palmer

April 2025 Cost Estimate

SCOPE

New pavement on E. Gold Key Ln from N Valley Way to N Gulkana St. Remove 2 inch surface course, replace with 2 inch D1 and 2 inch HMA.

INPUTS

ASSUMPTIONS & REMARKS

Assume no utility or ROW conflicts with project or construction.

AADT <1000

Length (mi) 0.121 Width (ft) 24.00

12 foot lanes with no shoulders

Area (SY) 1703.68 Markings Only no

Existing Pavement no
Excav. (%) 0.00%
Excav. (CY) 0.00

Excav. (CY) 0.00 Surface Excav. (in) 2.00

2 inch surface course removal

D-1 Lift (in) 2.00 HMA Lift (in) 2.00

Pathway (PW) no

Paved

640 ft

PW Length (ft) 0.00 PW Width (ft) 0.00

	Work	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Remarks
REMOVALS						
202(2)	Removal of Pavement	0	SY	\$12.00	\$0	
203(3)	Unclassified Excavation	95	CY	\$15.00	\$1,420	
202(9)	Removal of Curb and Gutter	0	LF	\$21.00	\$0	
INSTALLATIO	ONS					
203(6A)	Borrow, Type A	0	TON	\$15.00	\$0	
301(1)	Aggregate Base Course, Grading D-1	184	TON	\$35.00	\$6,440	Assume 144 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(1A)	HMA, Type II: Class A	193	TON	\$150.00	\$28,941	Assume 151 ft ³ Est. Factor
401(4)	Asphalt Binder, Grade PG 64-40	10	TON	\$705.00	\$7,209	Assume 5.3% Est. Factor
401(14)	Joint Adhesive	639	LF	\$1.00	\$639	
608(6)	Curb Ramp	0	EACH	\$5,000.00	\$0	
608(17)	Detectable Warning Tile	0	EACH	\$2,750.00	\$0	
609(2)	Curb and Gutter, Type 1	0	LF	\$80.00	\$0	
TRAFFIC CO	NTROL					
670(10)	MMA Pavement Markings	1	LS	All Reg'd	\$3,630	Assume surface markings at 30K per mile
, ,	Roundabout MMA	0	LS	\$50,000.00	\$0	
MATERIAL S	UBTOTAL					
Sum					\$48,279	
OTHER						
640	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	All Req'd	\$10,000	8% of pay items OR \$10,000
641	Erosion/Pollution Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$3,000	6% of pay items OR min. \$3000
642	Construction Surveying / Survey Party	1	LS	All Req'd	\$1,931	4% of pay items OR min. \$1500
643	Traffic Maintenance / Flagging / Control	1	LS	All Req'd	\$7,242	15% of pay items
CONSTRUCT	ION SUBTOTAL					
Sum					\$70,452	
COST ESTIM	ATE SUMMARY				COST	WITH 5.17% ICAP
	Preliminary Design (Phase 2)		%	15.00%	\$10,568	\$11,114
	Construction (Phase 4)		LS	All Req'd	\$70,452	\$74,095
	Construction Administration		%	15.00%	\$10,568	\$11,114
	Misc.		%	10.00%	\$7,045	\$7,409
	Contigency		%	30.00%	\$31,120	\$32,663
2027	Inflation (Year)	2	YEARS	3.00%	\$8,184	
Improvements:			1		<u> </u>	
	PAVEMENT			Total:	\$145,000	



Department of Transportation and Public Facilities

Project Delivery

P. O. Box 112500 3132 Channel Drive Juneau, Alaska 99811-2500 Main: 907-465-6958 Fax: 907-465-2460 dot.alaska.gov

December 10, 2025

Ben White 4111 Aviation Ave. Anchorage, AK 99519

Kim Sollen and MVP Policy Board,

During the October Policy Board meeting it was requested that the Department write a letter providing information as to how federal funds sub-allocated for projects within the Mat-Su Valley Planning for Transportation (MVP), Metropolitan Planning Area are programmed. The Policy Board expressed concern regarding communication breakdowns between the Department and MVP when programing projects that utilize MVP sub-allocations, and there was also an interest in getting an official accounting of funding available to MVP in FFY26.

I reached out to our Project Management and Administration Division for some assistance. A summary of this information is provided in Table 1 below. I have also provided you with more a detailed accounting of suballocated federal funds that was shared from Project Management and Administration which includes an accounting of anticipated "Carry Forward" and funding for FFY26. I believe these funding amounts meet or exceed MVP expectations of funding in FFY26

Our team will strive to do a better job in communicating and coordinating federal programming within the MPO boundary going forward. We will make every effort to communicate and coordinate all planned obligations of MVP sub-allocated funding prior to obligation. Our Fed-Aid group has implemented new systems for tracking MPO projects, which were not in place in FFY24 and FFY25 and should greatly improve our communication related to funding obligations within MVP. I would also recommend that we add this as a reoccurring topic at our Quarterly MPO meetings so that the MPOs and the Department are regularly coordinating on programming throughout the year. For case-by-case situations, we will work with you and MVP staff to develop proposals for the Policy Board for their concurrence.

Ultimately, we should explore options to memorialize our expectations on communication and coordination. Documenting this process would allow us to affirm the commitment by the Department to work with the MPO on funding changes. This would include process for addressing funding that is not programmed and how it would be made available at a later date. This would allow the MPO and Department to review, discuss and approve a process that works for all parties.

STBG 50-200K	
FY26 Estimated Allocation	
	7,023,041.72
STBG 50-200K Carry Forward	
	4,963,029.61
TIFIA Redist FY25 Apportionment	
	855,529.17
Total STBG FY26 Allocation	
	12,841,600.50
	12,012,000.00
CDD FO 200V	
CRP 50-200K	
FY26 Estimated Allocation	
	806,690.69
Carry Forward	
	1,950,260.55
Total CRP 50-200K FY26 Allocation	
	2,756,951.23
TAP 50-200K	
FY26 Estimated Allocation	
	448,153.92
Carry Forward	·
,	426,116.05
TIFIA Redist FY25 Apportionment	, , , , ,
	61,760.70
Total TAD EO 200V EV26 Allegation	51,760.76
Total TAP 50-200K FY26 Allocation	000 000 00
	936,030.67

Table 1. Federal funding details.

I am confident that as we develop our Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and establish our Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) – and improve our coordination on the programming of federal funding – that fund allocation and management will be easier to manage going forward.

Sincerely,

Ben White

Urban Planning Chief

Benja M. Whit

Cc: Adam Bradway, ADOT&PF, MVP Planning Coordinator Chris Bentz, ADOT&PF, MDO Chief Judy Chapman, ADOT&PF, Deputy Director Project Delivery

FY26 Small MPO Suballocations				
FY26 Available Revenue		МРО		
STBG 50-200K		111 0		
FY26 New Apportionment	16,405,143.00		% FY26 50-200KAllocations	FY26 Estimated Allocation
		FAST Planning	0.5719	9,382,101.28
		MVP	0.4281	7,023,041.72
STBG 50-200K Carry Forward	3,759,010.18	FAST Planning	NA	537,426.57
STBG Flex Available to 50-200K*	2,596,975.17	MVP	NA	4,963,029.63
Total Carry Forward	6,355,985.35			
TIFIA Redist FY25 Apportionment	1,998,433.00	FAST Planning	0.5719	1,142,903.83
Obligated to FAST projects in FY25		MVP	0.4281	855,529.17
Total STBG FY26 Allocation		FAST Planning		9,919,527.8
		MVP		12,841,600.50
CRP 50-200K				
Total FY25 allocation	1,847,403.00		% FY26 50-200K Allocations	FY26 Estimated Allocation
FY26 New Apportionment	1,884,351.06	FAST Planning	0.5719	1,077,660.37
		MVP	0.4281	806,690.69
Carry Forward	3,553,967.55	FAST Planning	NA	1,603,707.00
		MVP	NA	1,950,260.5
Total CRP 50-200K FY26 Allocation		FAST Planning		2,681,367.38
		MVP		2,756,951.23
TAP 50-200K				
Total FY26 Est.	1,046,844.00		% FY26 50-200K Allocations	FY26 Estimated Allocation
		FAST Planning	0.5719	598,690.08
		MVP	0.4281	448,153.92
Carry Forward	1,290,236.64	FAST Planning	NA	864,120.59
		MVP	NA	426,116.0
TIFIA Redist FY25 Apportionment	144,267.00	FAST Planning	0.5719	82,506.30
		MVP	0.4281	61,760.70
Total TAP 50-200K FY26 Allocation		FAST Planning		1,545,316.97
		MVP		936,030.67

^{*} Due to programming funds incorrectly on a DOT&PF project

MVP 50-200k FY25 Transportation

MVP FY25	All FY25 Project Transactions	Y236	STBG 50-200k FY25 Allocation	MVP portion of 50- 200k Allocation (42.81%)	
			\$16,083,473.00	\$6,885,334.79	
IRIS Code	Project Title	Program Code	Program Description	Federal Funds Change(+ or-)	Advance Construction Funds Change(+ or-)
CFHWY01222	SELDON ROAD RECONSTRUCTION: WASILLA-FISHHOOK TO LUCILLE STREET (PARENT) (CTP AWARD 2023)	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$756,974.00	\$0.00
CFHWY01288	FY25-26 (MVP) ADVANCED PROJECT DEFINITION	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$181,940.00	\$0.00
CFHWY01289	MVP IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FY25-27	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$454,850.00	\$0.00
CFHWY01378	MVP STREETLIGHT INTERSECTION MANAGEMENT PLAN	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$143,844.41	\$0.00
CFHWY01379	MVP SIGN MANAGEMENT PLAN	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$363,678.05	\$0.00
CFHWY01380	MVP PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$272,910.00	\$0.00
CFHWY00723	BOGARD RD PAV PRESERVATION: TRUNK RD TO WASILLA-FISHHOOK RD	Y236	STBG 50-200K	(\$471,724.92)	\$0.00
ALL PROJECTS	MVP FY25 STBG 50-200k TRANSACTIONS TOTAL	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$1,702,471.54	\$0.00
	REMAINING MVP PORTION OF 50-200K ALLOCATION	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$5,182,863.25	\$0.00
CFHWY01378	MVP STREETLIGHT INTERSECTION MANAGEMENT PLAN	Z231	STBG 5-200K (substitution for 50-200k)	\$47,294.48	\$0.00
CFHWY01378	MVPSTREETLIGHT INTERSECTION MANAGEMENT PLAN	Z2E1	STBG 5-200K (substitution for 50-200k)	\$172,539.16	\$0.00
	MVP STBG 50-200k CARRY FORWARD	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$4,963,029.61	

MVP FY25	All FY25 Project Transactions	Y306	TAP 50-200k FY25 Allocation	MVP portion of 50- 200k Allocation (42.81%)	
			\$2,600,201.00	\$1,113,146.05	
IRIS Code	Project Title	Program Code	Program Description	Federal Funds Change(+ or-)	Advance Construction Funds Change(+ or-)
CFHWY01216	TAP: PALMER-FISHHOOK: TRUNK ROAD TO EDGERTON PARKS SEPARATED PATHWAY	Y306	TAP 50K-200K	\$687,030.00	\$0.00
	MVP FY25 TAP 50-200k TRANSACTIONS TOTAL	Y306	TAP 50K-200K	\$687,030.00	\$0.00
	MVP TAP 50-200k CARRY FORWARD	Y306	TAP 50K-200K	\$426,116.05	

MVP FY25	All FY25 Project Transactions	Y606	CRP 50-200k FY25 Allocation	MVP portion of 50- 200k Allocation (42.81%)	
			\$4,555,619.12	\$1,950,260.55	
IRIS Code	Project Title	Program Code	Program Description	Federal Funds Change(+ or-)	Advance Construction Funds Change(+ or-)
		Y606	CRP 50K-200K	\$0.00	\$0.00
	MVP FY25 CRP 50-200k TRANSACTIONS TOTAL	Y606	CRP 50K-200K	\$0.00	\$0.00
	MVP CRP 50-200k CARRY FORWARD	Y606	CRP 50K-200K	\$1,950,260.55	

FAST 50-200k FY25 Transportation

	All FY25 Project Transactions	Y236	STBG 50-200k FY25 Allocation	FAST portion of 50-200k Allocation (57.19%)	
			\$16,083,473.00	\$9,198,138.21	
IRIS Code	Project Title	Program Code	Program Description	Federal Funds Change(+ or-	Advance Construction Funds Change(+ or-)
NFHWY00126	COWLES STREET RECONSTRUCTION	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$454,850.00	\$0.00
NFHWY00139	YANKOVICH-MILLER HILL ROAD RECONSTRUCTION AND MULTI-USE PATH	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$5,912,871.70	
NFHWY00139	YANKOVICH-MILLER HILL ROAD RECONSTRUCTION AND MULTI-USE	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$90,970.00	\$0.00
NFHWY00158	PATH OLD RICHARDSON HWY INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS	Y236	STBG 50-200K	-\$32,355.65	\$0.00
NFHWY00445	Fairbanks 5th Avenue Reconstruction	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$908,864.02	-\$849,207.03
NFHWY00448	NORTH POLE WOLL ROAD RESURFACING AND WIDENING	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$177,017.20	-\$1,083,790.20
NFHWY00511	WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	Y236	STBG 50-200K	-\$58,678.69	\$0.00
NFHWY00816	HOLMES ROAD REHABILITATION	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$441,300.00	\$104,520.00
NFHWY00816	HOLMES ROAD REHABILITATION	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$104,520.00	-\$104,520.00
NFHWY00830	FAST COORDINATOR'S OFFICE FFY2023-2024	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$149,999.53	\$0.00
NFHWY00830	FAST COORDINATOR'S OFFICE FFY2023-2024	Y236	STBG 50-200K	-\$503.34	\$0.00
NFHWY00838	CHENA RIDGE ROAD RESURFACING- KENTSHIRE DRIVE TO FRIAR'S WAY	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$203,190.59	\$0.00
NFHWY00873	FAST HOUSEHOLD TRAVEL SURVEY FFY2024	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$1,455.52	\$0.00
NFHWY00881	FAST IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FFY2024-2026	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$0.00	\$181,940.00
NFHWY00881	FAST IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FFY2024-2026	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$0.00	\$181,940.00
NFHWY00883	COWLES STREET RECONST- AIRPORT WAY TO EAST COWLES STAGE I	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$134,199.85	\$0.00
NFHWY00913	FAST AREA SURFACE UPGRADES FFY2025	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$0.00	\$792,336.87
NFHWY00913	FAST AREA SURFACE UPGRADES FFY2025	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$850,531.29	-\$792,336.87
NFHWY01022	FAST PLANNING METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLAN UPDATE	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$454,850.00	\$0.00
NFHWY01023	FAST ADVANCED PROJECT DEFINITION FFY2025-FFY2027	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$90,970.00	\$0.00
NFHWY01023	FAST ADVANCED PROJECT DEFINITION FFY2025 - FFY2027	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$45,485.00	\$0.00
NFHWY01057	GEIST ROAD / CHENA PUMP ROAD CORRIDOR STUDY	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$168,300.00	\$377,520.00
NFHWY01088	FAST AREA SURFACE UPGRADES FFY2025 - STAGE 2	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$1,180,464.92	\$0.00
ALL PROJECTS	FAST FY25 STBG 50-200k TRANSACTIONS TOTAL	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$11,278,301.94	-\$1,191,597.23
	REMAINING FAST PORTION OF 50-200K ALLOCATION	Y236	STBG 50-200K	-\$2,080,163.73	
NFHWY00830	FAST COORDINATOR'S OFFICE FFY2023-2024	Z2E1	STBG 5-200K POP FAST EXT (substitution for 50-200k)	\$0.00	-\$149,999.53
NFHWY00126	COWLES STREET RECONSTRUCTION	Y042	TIFIA REDIS STBG 50K-200K (substitution for 50-200k)	\$1,091,640.00	\$0.00
NFHWY00448	NORTH POLE WOLL ROAD RESURFACING AND WIDENING	Y042	TIFIA REDIS STBG 50K-200K (substitution for 50-200k)	\$906,773.00	-\$1,083,790.20
	FAST STBG 50-200k CARRY FORWARD	Y236	STBG 50-200K	-\$4,078,576.73	
			TIFIA REDIS to FAST	\$1,142,903.83	
				\$203,190.59	

-\$2,732,482.31

Project Title	Program Code	\$2,600,201.00 Program Description	Federal Funds Change(+ or-	
Project Title	Program Code	Program Description		
NE STRIPING AND SIGNING (TAP)	Y306	TAP 50K-200K	\$453,562.79	0
NE STRIPING AND SIGNING (TAP)	Y306	TAP 50K-200K	\$126,408.26	0
NE STRIPING AND SIGNING (TAP)	Y306	TAP 50K-200K	\$42,963.31	0
NSACTIONS TOTAL	Y306	TAP 50K-200K	\$622,934.36	\$0.00
	Y306	TAP 50K-200K	\$864,120.59	
V	, ,	SACTIONS TOTAL Y306	SACTIONS TOTAL Y306 TAP 50K-200K	SACTIONS TOTAL Y306 TAP 50K-200K \$622,934.36

FAST FY25	All FY25 Project Transactions	Y606	CRP 50-200k FY25 Allocation	FAST portion of 50-200k Allocation (57.19%)	
			\$4,555,619.12	\$2,605,358.57	
IRIS Code	Project Title	Program Code	Program Description	Federal Funds Change(+ or-	Advance Construction Funds Change(+ or-)
NFHWY00922	MORRIS THOMPSON CENTER & LAVERY TRANSP ENHANCMENT (CMAQ.	Y606	CRP 50K-200K	\$181,940.00	0
NFHWY01060	FAIRBANKS AND NORTH POLE ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING STATION	Y606	CRP 50K-200K	\$272,910.00	0
NFHWY00862	CHENA LAKE RECREATION AREA BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ACCESS	Y606	CRP 50K-200K	\$341,137.50	0
NFHWY00885	FAIRBANKS NORTH STAR BOROUGH SIDEWALK SNOW REMOVAL EQUIF	Y606	CRP 50K-200K	\$205,664.07	0
	FAST FY25 CRP 50-200k TRANSACTIONS TOTAL	Y606	CRP 50K-200K	\$1,001,651.57	\$0.00
	FAST CRP 50-200k CARRY FORWARD	Y606	CRP 50K-200K	\$1,603,707.00	

STBG 50-200k FY25 All Transportation

	All FY25 Project Transactions	Y236	STBG 50-200k FY25 Allocation	Total Obligations	Remaining	
			\$16,739,783.66	\$12,980,773.48	\$3,759,010.18	
IRIS Code	Project Title	Program Code	Program Description	Federal Funds Change(+ or-)	Advance Construction Funds Change(+ or-)	МРО
NFHWY00126	COWLES STREET RECONSTRUCTION	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$454,850.00	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY00139	YANKOVICH-MILLER HILL ROAD RECONSTRUCTION AND MULTI-US	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$5,912,871.70	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY00139	YANKOVICH-MILLER HILL ROAD RECONSTRUCTION AND MULTI-US	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$90,970.00	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY00445	Fairbanks 5th Avenue Reconstruction	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$908,864.02	-\$849,207.03	FAST
NFHWY00448	NORTH POLE WOLL ROAD RESURFACING AND WIDENING	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$177,017.20	-\$1,083,790.20	FAST
NFHWY00816	HOLMES ROAD REHABILITATION	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$441,300.00	\$104,520.00	FAST
NFHWY00816	HOLMES ROAD REHABILITATION	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$104,520.00	-\$104,520.00	FAST
NFHWY00830	FAST COORDINATOR'S OFFICE FFY2023-2024	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$149,999.53	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY00838	CHENA RIDGE ROAD RESURFACING- KENTSHIRE DRIVE TO FRIAR'S	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$203,190.59	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY00873	FAST HOUSEHOLD TRAVEL SURVEY FFY2024	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$1,455.52	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY00881	FAST IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FFY2024-2026	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$0.00	\$181,940.00	FAST
NFHWY00881	FAST IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FFY2024-2026	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$0.00	\$181,940.00	FAST
NFHWY00883	COWLES STREET RECONST- AIRPORT WAY TO EAST COWLES STAGE	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$134,199.85	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY00913	FAST AREA SURFACE UPGRADES FFY2025	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$0.00	\$792,336.87	FAST
NFHWY00913	FAST AREA SURFACE UPGRADES FFY2025	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$850,531.29	-\$792,336.87	FAST
NFHWY01022	FAST PLANNING METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLAN UPDATE	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$454,850.00	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY01023	FAST ADVANCED PROJECT DEFINITION FFY2025-FFY2027	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$90,970.00	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY01023	FAST ADVANCED PROJECT DEFINITION FFY2025 - FFY2027	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$45,485.00	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY01057	GEIST ROAD / CHENA PUMP ROAD CORRIDOR STUDY	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$168,300.00	\$377,520.00	FAST
NFHWY01088	FAST AREA SURFACE UPGRADES FFY2025 - STAGE 2	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$1,180,464.92	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY00158	OLD RICHARDSON HWY INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS	Y236	STBG 50-200K	-\$32,355.65	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY00511	WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	Y236	STBG 50-200K	-\$58,678.69	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY00830	FAST COORDINATOR'S OFFICE FFY2023-2024	Y236	STBG 50-200K	-\$503.34	\$0.00	FAST
CFHWY01222	SELDON ROAD RECONSTRUCTION: WASILLA-FISHHOOK TO LUCILLE STREET (PARENT) (CTP AWARD 2023)	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$756,974.00	\$0.00	MVP
CFHWY01288	FY25-26 (MVP) ADVANCED PROJECT DEFINITION	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$181,940.00	\$0.00	MVP
CFHWY01289	MVP IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FY25-27	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$454,850.00	\$0.00	MVP
CFHWY01378	MVP STREETLIGHT INTERSECTION MANAGEMENT PLAN	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$143,844.41	\$0.00	MVP
CFHWY01379	MVP SIGN MANAGEMENT PLAN	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$363,678.05	\$0.00	MVP
CFHWY01380	MVP PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$272,910.00	\$0.00	MVP
CFHWY00723	BOGARD RD PAV PRESERVATION: TRUNK RD TO WASILLA-FISHHOO	Y236	STBG 50-200K	(\$471,724.92)	\$0.00	MVP
ALL PROJECTS	FY25 STBG 50-200k TRANSACTIONS TOTAL	Y236	STBG 50-200K	\$12,980,773.48		

TAP 50-200k FY25 Obs All

	All FY25 Project Transactions	Y236	TAP 50-200k FY25 Allocation	Total Obligations	Remaining	
			\$2,600,201.00	\$1,309,964.36	\$1,290,236.64	
IRIS Code	Project Title	Program Code	Program Description	Federal Funds Change(+ or-)	Advance Construction Funds Change(+ or-)	МРО
NFHWY00835	FAIRBANKS BIKE LANE STRIPING AND SIGNING (TAP)	Y306	TAP 50K-200K	\$453,562.79	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY00835	FAIRBANKS BIKE LANE STRIPING AND SIGNING (TAP)	Y306	TAP 50K-200K	\$126,408.26	\$0.00	FAST
NFHWY00835	FAIRBANKS BIKE LANE STRIPING AND SIGNING (TAP)	Y306	TAP 50K-200K	\$42,963.31	\$0.00	FAST
CFHWY01216	TAP: PALMER-FISHHOOK: TRUNK ROAD TO EDGERTON PARKS SEPARATED PATHV	Y306	TAP 50K-200K	\$687,030.00	\$0.00	MVP
ALL PROJECTS	FAST FY25 STBG 50-200k TRANSACTIONS TOTAL	Y306	TAP 50K-200K	\$1,309,964.36		

CRP 50-200k FY25 All Transportation

	All FY25 Project Transactions	Y236	CRP 50-200k FY25 Allocation	Total Obligations	Remaining	
			\$4,555,619.12	\$1,001,651.57	\$3,553,967.55	
IRIS Code	Project Title	Program Code	Program Description	Federal Funds Change(+ or-)	Advance Construction Funds Change(+ or-)	МРО
NFHWY00922	MORRIS THOMPSON CENTER & LAVERY TRANSP ENHANCMENT (CMAQ/CRP)	Y606	CRP 50K-200K	\$181,940.00	0	FAST
NFHWY01060	FAIRBANKS AND NORTH POLE ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING STATIONS	Y606	CRP 50K-200K	\$272,910.00	0	FAST
NFHWY00862	CHENA LAKE RECREATION AREA BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ACCESS	Y606	CRP 50K-200K	\$341,137.50	0	FAST
NFHWY00885	FAIRBANKS NORTH STAR BOROUGH SIDEWALK SNOW REMOVAL EQUIPMENT	Y606	CRP 50K-200K	\$205,664.07	0	FAST
ALL PROJECTS	FY25 STBG 50-200k TRANSACTIONS TOTAL	Y606	CRP 50K-200K	\$1,001,651.57		

MVP Suballocation for FFY24			
Surface Transportation Program Block Grant Program	STBG	\$7,208,849.00	
Carbon Reduction Program	CRP	\$775,163.00	
Transportation Alternative Program	TAP	\$426,760.00	
	Subtotal	\$8,410,772.00	
MVP FFY24 Program of Projects Nominations	None		
FFV04 Correston	word CTDC	\$7,208,849.00	
FFY24 Carry for	CRP	\$7,208,849.00 \$775,163.00	
	TAP	\$426,760.00	
	Subtotal	\$8,410,772.00	
MVP Suballocations for FFY25	Oubtotat	ψ0,+10,772.00	
Surface Transportation Program Block Grant Program	STBG	\$7,353,026.00	
Carbon Reduction Program	CRP	\$790,666.00	
Transportation Alternative Program	TAP	\$435,295.00	
MVP FFY25 Suballocations plus FFY24 Carryfore	vard	\$16,989,759.00	
MVP Program of Projects Policy Board Approved		4	
Wasilla-Fishhook Road E Seldon to Tex-Al Drive	STBG 50-200k	\$7,641,480.00 Funded but not with MVP suballocations	3
MVP Streetlight Intersection Management Plan	STBG 50-200k	\$363,900.00	
MVP Sign Management Plan	STBG 50-200k	\$363,900.00	
MVP Pavement Management	STBG 50-200k	\$270,900.00	
Seldon Road Reconstruction: Wasilla-Fishhook Road to Snowgoose Dr		¢2.074.000.00	
(Parent) (CTP Award 2023) Palmer-Fishhook Separated Pathway: Trunk Road to Edgerton Parks Ro	STBG 50-200k	\$2,871,000.00	
(TAP Award 2023)	TAP 50-200	\$595,438.00	
FFY25 - 27 MVP Improvement Program	STBG 50-200k	\$909,700.00	
Bogard Road Safety and Capacity Improvements (Parent) (CTP Award	01D0 30-200K	ψ505,700.00	
2023)	STBG 50-200k	\$2,274,250.00	
Inner and Outer Springer Loop Separated Path (TAP Award 2023)	TAP 50-200	\$187,744.00 funded but not with MVP suballocations	
MVP Advance Project Definition	STBG 50-200k	\$191.940.00	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total	\$15,670,252.00	
		Over spent by \$325,195 not sure how to	
		account for this do we subtract but	
		since DOT programmed things	
	STBG	\$14,887,070.00 differently I guess it does not matter	\$14,561,875.00 -\$325,195.00
	TAP	\$783,182.00	
	CRP	\$0.00	
MUD O. In House Co. FEMALO.			
MVP Suballocations for FFY26 Surface Transportation Carbon Reduction Program	STBG	\$7,023,041.29	
Transportation Alternative Program	CRP	\$806,690.69	
Transportation Atternative Flogram	TAP	\$446,840.15	
FFY25 Carryfor		* :>-	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	STBG	\$0.00	
	CRP	\$1,565,829.00	
	TAP	\$78,873.00	
MVP FFY26 suballocation Plus FFY25 Carryford	vard		
	STBG	\$7,023,041.29	
	CRP	\$2,372,519.69	
	TAP	\$446,881.15	

MVD Allocation for EEV24					
MVP Allocation for FFY24 Surface Transportation Program Block Grant Program	STBG		\$7,208,849.00		
Carbon Reduction Program	CRP		\$775,163.00		
Transportation Alternative Program	TAP		\$426,760.00		
FFY 24 Projects					
DOT MVP Projects	Program Description	Limitation Type	Federal Funds Change(+ or-)		
PALMER-FISHHOOK ROAD MILEPOSTS 7-17	STBG 50-200K POP IIJA	Charged to Limitation	\$181,940.00		
SELDON RD EXT PH II: WINDY BOTTOM/BEVERLY LAKES RD - PITTMAN	STBG 50-200K POP IIJA	Charged to Limitation	\$454,850.00		
HEMMER RD EXTENSION AND UPGRADE, PALMER WASILLA HWY TO BOGARD RD (MSB)	STBG 50-200K POP IIJA	Charged to Limitation Charged to Limitation	\$1,364,550.00 \$2,105,375.11		
BOGARD RD PAV PRESERVATION: TRUNK RD TO WASILLA-FISHHOOK RD	STBG 50-200K POP IIJA				
BOGARD RD SAFETY AND CAPACITY IMPROVMENTS [PARENT][CTP AWARD 2023]	STBG 50-200K POP IIJA	Charged to Limitation	\$2,274,250.00	purple are MVP PB projects	
	Total	STBG	\$6,380,965.11		
		CRP	\$0.00		
		TAP	\$0.00		
Carryforward to l			****		
	STBG CRP		\$827,883.89 \$775,163.00		
	TAP		\$775,163.00 \$426,760.00		
	IAP		\$426,760.00		
MVP Allocations FFY25					
Surface Transportation Program Block Grant Program	STBG		\$7,353,026.00		
Carbon Reduction Program	CRP		\$790,666.00		
Transportation Alternative Program	TAP		\$435,295.00		
MVP FFY25 allocaitons Plus carryfo	s carrytorward STRG		\$8,180,909.89		
FIVE TITES autocations rius carryto	CRP		\$1,565,829.00		
	TAP		\$862,055.00		
FFY 25 Projects					
BOGARD RD PAV PRESERVATION: TRUNK RD TO WASILLA-FISHHOOK RD	STBG 50-200K POP IIJA	Charged to Limitation	-\$471,724.92		
FY25-26 (MVP) ADVANCED PROJECT DEFINITION	STBG 50-200K POP IIJA	Charged to Limitation	\$181,940.00		
(MVP) IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FY25-27	STBG 50-200K POP IIJA	Charged to Limitation	\$454,850.00		
MATSU VALLEY PLANNING FOR TRANSPORTATION (MVP) PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN	STBG 50-200K POP IIJA	Charged to Limitation	\$272,910.00		
MATSU VALLEY PLANNING FOR TRANSPORTATION (MVP) STREETLIGHT INTERSECTION MANAGEMENT PLAN	STBG 50-200K POP IIJA	Charged to Limitation	\$143,844.41		
MATSU VALLEY PLANNING FOR TRANSPORTATION (MVP) SIGN MANAGEMENT PLAN	STBG 50-200K POP IIJA	Charged to Limitation	\$363,678.05		
TAP: PALMER-FISHHOOK: TRUNK ROAD TO EDGERTON PARKS SEPARATED PATHWAY SELDON ROAD RECONSTRUCTION: WASILLA-FISHHOOK TO LUCILLE STREET (PARENT) (CTP AWARD 2023)	TRANS ALTERN 50K-200K POP IIJA STBG 50-200K POP IIJA	Charged to Limitation Charged to Limitation	\$687,030.00 \$756,974.00		
SELDON ROAD RECONSTRUCTION: WASILLA-FISHHOOK TO LOCILLE STREET (PARENT) (CTP AWARD 2023)	STBG 50-200K POPTIJA	Charged to Limitation	\$756,974.00		
				I am questioning how to account f	
Subtotal MVP expense for	FFY25 STBG 50-200K POP IIJA	MVP STBG Total	\$2,174,196.46	\$2,645,921.38 the -471,724.92	
	TAP	MVP TAP Total	\$687,030.00		
	CRP	MVP CRP Total	\$0.00		
FFY25 Funding + Carry Forward - Ex			\$6,006,713.43		
	TAP		\$175,025.00		
	CRP		\$1,565,829.00		
MVP FFY26 Allocations					
Surface Transportation Program Block Grant Program	STBG		\$7,023,041.29		
Carbon Reduction Program	CRP		\$806,690.69		
Transportation Alternative Program	TAP		\$446,840.15		
MVP FFY26 Allocation Plus FFY25 carryfo	rward STBG		\$13,029,754.72		
	CRP		\$2,372,519.69		
	TAP		\$621,865.15		



Department of Transportation and Public Facilities

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER Ryan Anderson, P.E., Commissioner

PO Box 112500 Juneau, Alaska 99811-2500 Main: 907.465.3900 dot.alaska.gov

Susan Fletcher, P.E. Regional Administrator Federal Transit Administration, Region 10 915 Second Avenue, Suite 3142 Seattle, WA 98174-1002

Dear Administrator Fletcher,

This letter is to provide formal notification to FTA on the distribution of the Section 5307 Small Urban Apportionment for FY 2024 in the Wasilla - Knik - Fairview - North Lakes (WKFNL) small urban area. This is an interim decision for FY24 only, and DOT&PF will develop and issue a formal statewide policy for allocating future years' apportionment of 5307 program funds in small urban areas, including treatment of eligible rail providers. Future split letters will be issued consistently with this policy.

For FY24, FTA Section 5307 Alaska DOT&PF hereby authorizes the following agency to apply directly to FTA for the funding listed within the WKFNL small urban area.

Agency	FY2024	Total Funds
Matanuska Susitna	\$1,845,938	\$1,845,938
Borough	¢0	ΦΩ
Alaska Railroad	\$0	\$0
Corporation		
Total Annual	\$1,845,938	\$1,845,938
Allocation		

If you have any questions, please contact Julius Adolfsson at (907)-465-6978 or at julius.adolfsson@alaska.gov

Signed by:

12/16/2025

Alaska DOT&PF Commissioner Ryan Anderson



ALASKA RAILROAD CORPORATION

December 10, 2025

MEMORANDUM

To: Commissioner Ryan Anderson

Cc: Bill O' Leary, Michelle Maddox, Christina Isabelle

From: Brian Lindamood

Chief Engineer

Subject: Proposed Formulaic Calculation of the Split for Direct Recipients of FTA

5307 funds for small MPO's

The Alaska Railroad Corporation (ARRC) is a direct recipient of Federal Transit Administration (FTA) 5307 funds for regularly scheduled year-round public passenger service. ARRC is dependent upon these funds to continue to make necessary capital investments in our fixed and mobile infrastructure to ensure the safety and viability of service. The amount of annual 5307 funds available is calculated by FTA in two ways depending upon the size of the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO).

For large MPO's (population over 200,000), the apportionments are calculated by FTA substantially upon Direct Route Mileage (DRM) maintained by the National Transit Database (NTD). The "split" between the Direct Recipients, is established by a letter signed by the Direct Recipients within the MPO, directing the FTA what portion of 5307 funds is to be allocated to each Direct Recipient ("Split Letter"). Typically, the mileage used for these calculations is within the MPO's boundary.

However, ARRC receives additional formula funds for providing year-round, regularly scheduled, fixed-guideway passenger service between Seward, Whittier, Anchorage, and Fairbanks. This additional mileage, calculated at 27% of DRM outside any MPO boundary, is added to ARRC's contribution to the Anchorage MPO (AMATS)¹. ARRC has long insisted, and it has been standard practice, for the Split Letter for 5307 funds in AMATS to be based upon the dollars "earned" by the respective Direct Recipients because the amount of 5307 funds that ARRC receives through AMATS is substantially generated through passenger rail operations outside the AMATS boundary.

¹ 49 United States Code 5336(b)(2)(E)

For small MPO's (under 200,000 people), FTA uses a population-based formula, allocated at the state level, from which it is impossible to calculate a "split" between different transit provider's contributions to the 5307 funds distributed to a specific MPO. FTA uses an Apportionment Letter from ADOT&PF Commissioner's Office to distribute all state small MPO 5307 funds between the small MPOs, and further incorporates a Split Letter generated by ADOT&PF distributing funding between Direct Recipients in those small MPOs. The difference is that the Split Letter for small MPOs comes directly from the Commissioner's Office, not a joint letter from the Direct Recipients from within a large MPO.

Until 2024, the only small MPO within which ARRC operated passenger service was Fairbanks (FAST). The route mileage attributable to ARRC within the FAST boundary pales in comparison to the Fairbanks transit provider, and for this reason, ARRC has not attempted to recoup any of these funds in the past, outside of special circumstances. The creation of the Mat-Su Valley MPO (MVP) has resulted in a larger portion of ARRC route miles being shifted from AMATS to MVP, and a subsequent amount of 5307 funding that ARRC received through AMATS will now have to come through the smaller MPOs.

Due to this shift in funding distribution, ARRC has been actively working to address this issue to both recover critical capital funds needed for the railroad's state of good repair, and that the solution needs to be applied evenly to both small MPO's (and future ones as they develop). Further, it is critical for this process to be standardized and predictable such that each entity can reasonably plan for future funding without time-consuming negotiations on an annual basis.

ARRC is respectfully requesting that, for 2024, 2025, and all future years, the "Split Letter" submitted by ADOT&PF to FTA for the distribution of 5307 funds to Direct Recipients in small MPOs be based upon the formulaic approach outlined below. The result would be that ARRC would be "made whole" for the 5307 funds we have historically received through AMATS that are no longer in the AMATS 5307 split calculation.

Route Miles, from NTD, used by FTA, by MPO:

MPO	NTD
	DRM
AMATS, within boundary	40.2
AMATS, outside boundary	891.4
MVP	20.4
FAST	8.0

For 2024, the calculations would be as follows:

The value of the route mile in the small MPOs is based upon the value of the route mile in AMATS. From FTA Region 10, the ARRC split of 5307 in AMATS is \$14,995,962.00². The calculation of 5307 funding per route-mile is:

$$14,995,962.00 \div (40.2 \text{ miles} + 27\% \times 891.4 \text{ miles}) = 53,389.59$$

The apportionment for each small MPO then becomes 27% of the DRM within each small MPO boundary, multiplied by the AMATS 5307 apportionment per DRM. This represents what ARRC would receive through AMATS if the DRM in the small MPOs had remained outside of any MPO boundary.

MPO	Route Miles	27% of NTD DRM	2024 ARRC 5307 Share
MVP	20.4	5.5	\$293,642.75
FAST	8.0	2.2	\$117,457.10

For 2025 (NTD DRM remain unchanged):

From FTA Region 10, the ARRC split of 5307 in AMATS is \$15,342,576.00³. The calculation of 5307 funding per route-mile is:

MPO	Route Miles	27% of NTD DRM	2025 ARRC 5307 Share
MVP	20.4	5.5	\$300,216.20
FAST	8.0	2.2	\$120,086.48

² FTA Apportionment Table 3 with supplementary split table from FTA Region 10 used for AMATS 2024 Split Letter.

³ FTA Apportionment Table 3 with supplementary split table from FTA Region 10 used for AMATS 2025 Split Letter.

Community Outreach & Engagement Analytics Report

Reporting Period: Last 30 Days

Prepared for: Technical Committee and Policy Board Meetings

Date: December 2, 2025

Executive Summary

This report summarizes the performance of our multi-channel outreach efforts for the Vision, Goal, and Objective Comment Period and Project Nomination Public Open House. Our campaigns demonstrated significant engagement across digital and traditional media platforms.

Website Performance

Our website traffic increased substantially during the outreach period:

- 974 site visits (567% increase over previous months)
- 725 unique visitors (680% increase over previous months)

Top Pages Visited:

- Home page and project nomination materials received the highest traffic
- Analytics indicate strong interest in public participation opportunities

Social Media Engagement

Platform Performance

Facebook:

- 47 Posts, 12K+ views, 60 followers
- Steady engagement with consistent reach across the reporting period
- Post interactions increased during campaign peaks

Instagram:

- 47 Posts, 12K+ views, 104 followers
- Growing follower engagement
- Visual content performed well, particularly project-related updates

Geographic Reach

Our social media presence reached key communities throughout the Mat-Su Valley, with top engagement from:

• Palmer, Wasilla, Greater Mat-Su Borough communities

Paid Social Media Advertising

Targeted ad campaigns, with over 26K views, effectively reached intended audiences in Mat-Su, driving traffic to both the website and public meeting information.

Email Marketing (MailChimp)

Campaign Overview:

- 213 unique contacts on mailing list
- 5 campaigns sent (831 total sends)
- 47.9% average open rate (5% above industry average)
- 0% unsubscribe rate

Campaign Breakdown:

- 3 campaigns: Vision, Goal, and Objective Comment Period
- 2 campaigns: Project Nomination Public Open House (3rd campaign for final reminder will be sent 12/3)

The above-average open rate and zero unsubscribe rate indicate strong subscriber engagement and relevant content delivery.

Print Advertising

Frontiersman and Mat-Su Sentinel:

- Two display advertisements published (Frontiersman and Mat-Su Sentinel) and 4 print advertisements published (Frontiersman)
 - o Vision, Goals, and Objectives Comment Period
 - Project Nomination Public Open House
- Provided broad community awareness for public participation opportunities
- Complemented digital outreach efforts

Direct Stakeholder Outreach

Targeted Email Campaign:

• 35 unique stakeholder contacts directly engaged

Organizations Contacted:

- Government: MSB, City of Palmer, City of Wasilla, Alaska DOT, BLM, ADEC
- Transportation: ARRC, Valley Transit/Transit Providers, LRSAAB, TAB Board
- Community: Mat-Su State Parks, MSSD, Chickaloon Native Village, Knik Tribe
- Other: Trucking Advocate, Health Foundation

This direct outreach ensured key decision-makers and community organizations were informed of participation opportunities.

Conclusion

Our integrated outreach strategy successfully engaged the community through multiple touchpoints. The significant increases in website traffic, strong email performance, and comprehensive stakeholder engagement demonstrate effective communication of public participation opportunities. This multi-channel approach ensured broad awareness across digital, print, and direct communication platforms.